

Water Quality

Wastewater Technical Review and Guidance

RAPID INFILTRATION BASINS – REVIEW CHECKLIST

	Water/Wastewater/#5.65, May 2001
FACILITY NAME	DATE
CONSULTING ENGINEER	SITE INSPECTION (DATE & INSPECTOR
PLANNING OR DESIGN PHASE	
Primary or secondary treatment before discharge?	
Number of days of storage	
Will the effluent be chlorinated (if so, possible chlorinated organics developing)	
Effluent standards before discharge to RI's	
Reason for RI discharge (ammonia, phosphorus)	
Effluent chemical characteristics:	
(CBOD ₅
	Phos
	ζj-N νH₄
	NO
	Fecals

Contents:

Site Characteristics	2
Basin Design	.7
Embankments	
O & M	.12
Monitoring	12
Permit Requirements	

lbs/acre/day

wq-wwtp5-65

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, 520 Lafayette Road North, St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 (651) 296-6300, toll-free (800) 657-3864, TTY (651) 282-5332 or (800) 657-3864 This material can be made available in alternative formats for people with disabilities.



Printed on recycled paper containing at least 20 percent fibers from paper recycled by consumers.



Water/Wastewater/#5.65, May 2001

SITE CHARCTERISTICS

SURFACE

	Does the site show signs of disturbance compaction?	
	Are there any pipelines, cables, tile, etc. going through the site?	
	Location and direction of any residences	
	Is a statement made that it is the contractor's responsibility to determine to his/her satisfaction to the location and nature of all surface and subsurface soil and water conditions which will be encountered during construction?	
	Landscape position	
	General description of elevations and contours of the sites and adjacent area.	
	Average Slope	
	Is the pond located in a drainageway that will receive significant amounts of runoff?	
	Are there adequate provisions to divert storm water around the ponds?	
stif	tification	
	Is the pond site located over Karst areas?	

REMARKS Ponds shall not be located on sites which show evidence of karstification (ie sinkholes). If site is located in SE MN it shall be subject to siesmic and resistivity studies. The MPCA must be included in the scope of this study prior to commencement. If ponds are approved in SE MN they may be required to use additional lining materials.

Bedrock

Kar

Is there a separation distance of 10 feet between the top of seal and bedrock?

WATER TABLE DETERMINATION

Has a hydrogeologist either from the agency or consultant assessed the site from a groundwater perspective?

	obser n v/surf e	elev/GW	# of borings elev/GW	extent % Elev/pond	bott net
ocat elev	obser n v/surf e	mott elev/GW	-		bott net
ocat elev	obser n v/surf e	mott elev/GW	-		bott net
ocat elev	v/surf e	elev/GW	-	Elev/pond	bott net
ocat elev	v/surf e	elev/GW	-	Elev/pond	bott net
ocat elev	v/surf e	elev/GW	elev/GW	Elev/pond	bott net
ers installed in bore	holes to	o collect data			
ers installed in bore	holes to	o collect data			
me, particularly in t	he spring		Si	ite	Site
gs identified in the P ometers be backfille tion of 4 feet betwee h water table?	2&S d accord en the top	op of the pond			
	gs identified in the F ometers be backfille tion of 4 feet betwee h water table?	tion of 4 feet between the to h water table?	gs identified in the P&S ometers be backfilled according to code? tion of 4 feet between the top of the pond	gs identified in the P&S	gs identified in the P&S

Groundwater depth? (10 feet from bottom of system)	
ROUNDWATER IMPACTS:	
Are there any regional long term groundwater fluctuations that may affect the system over the 20 year life?	
Has the hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer been determined?	
Are there any compacted or differing soil conditions downgradient which could change G.W. flow direction or impede flow?	
Has the groundwater flow direction been determined?	
Are there any drawdown or artificial recharge (other onsites) that could be affecting GW flow direction?	
Has the GW discharge area been identified?	
By what method?	
If in inland area, a GW study must be conducted to determine deleterious effects	
Any wells between the RI's and the discharge point?	
What is the expected GW mound height?	
How determined?	
Basins constructed long and narrow to minimize mounding?	
Can the system be managed to minimize mounding if necessary?	
Will mounding of one basin cause hydraulic problems in adjacent basins?	
What is the travel time of the pollutants?	
What is the configuration of contaminant plume?	
Has a survey been done to determine the characteristics of the nearby drinking water wells?	

W	Water Quality – Wastewater Technical Review and Guidance	Water/Wastewater/#5.65, May 2001
	What is the capacity of the aquifer to dilute the effluent?	
	Have nearby wells been tested to determine if GW drinking standards have already been exceeded?	
	Can GW drinking standards be met at the property boundary?	
	What affects will there be on receiving surface waters (NH4 and P)?	
	Has a monitoring scheme been developed to determine GW flow direction and its fluctuations throughout the seasons?	
	Is this determination influenced by the GW mound?	
	Location, proximity and direction of water supplies	
	Are any wells within ¹ / ₄ mile of the proposed site?	
	Ground water flow/relation to possible contamination	
Soils	_	
	Soil series at site	
	Landscape position	
	Number of borings	
	Type of borings	
	Number of pits	
	Quality of descriptions	
	Estimated seasonal watertable height	
	Any observed standing water in hole	
	Bedrock:	
	Depth:	
	Type: Geophysical data needed?	
	Flooding Potential	

Water Quality – Wastewater Technical Review and Guidance	Water/Wastewater/#5.65, May 200
Estimated hydraulic conductivity	
Conducted on the most limiting soil layer above the limiting layer (WT/BR)	
Test used	
In site	
Correct Procedure	
Do the test results compare well to the soil texture estimation of	
Water movement?	
Depth to restricting layers	
Any lithogical discontinuities/abrupt textural changes?	
Suitable soil textures?	
Chemical analysis conducted on the soils for effectiveness of treatment? (ie phosphorus removal)	
Loading rate calculated taking into account the test method? (EPA Design Manual)	
Are soil borings located in relation to the pond location on the plan sheet?	
Are soil borings located in the Plans & Specs?	
Are the cross sections shown on the plans sheet?	
N DESIGN	
Is the total square feet of basin bottom adequate?	
Is the number of basins adequate for dosing and resting? (2"/day, load 1 to 2 days, rest 5 to 7 days) (minimum of three ponds)	
Are there enough basins so one is always available for loading?	

Water Quality – Wastewater Technical Review and Guidance	Water/Wastewater/#5.65, May 2001
Is the height of the basins such that there will be 1 foot of freeboard?	
Is the size of the basins such that the basins will completely fill? (typical size ¹ / ₂ to 5 acres)	
Are spillways designed in the dikes to allow for emergencies?	
Will the dikes be rip rapped?	
Can the basins be accessed for maintenance?	
Is the configuration such to allow for easy maintenance with equipment? (ie corners)	
Are the dike slopes 1:1 or 2:1?	
Are the bottoms of the basins to be covered with gravel? (not acceptable)	
Is loading less than 400 gallons/acre/year	
Is loading less than 2.5 to 3 inches per day?	
If flooding around the basins is expected, is the outside of the dike going to be rip rapped?	
ONSTRUCTION	
General description of work?	
Is it specified that erosion control be practiced so that no fines enter the basins during construction?	
it specified that topsoil will be removed from the entire pond site?	
it specified where the topsoil will be disposed of?	
as an earthwork balance been done?	
borrow needed?	
as a borrow/disposal area been identified on the plan sheet?	

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency Water Quality – Wastewater Technical Review and Guidance

Water/Wastewater/#5.65, May 2001

BASIN BOTTOM:

Do the plans and specifications indicate that the basin bottom not be compacted during construction?

If filling is needed to reach subgrade elevation how will the fill material be placed/compacted to provide stability but not lower the permeability?

Is it specified that any proposed borrow material areas have been identified on the plan sheet?

UNIFORMITY OF COMPLETED POND BOTTOM

Is it specified that finished elevations of the pond bottom and 6 inch seal
lifts shall not be more than 0.2 foot from the average elevation of the
bottom?

Is it specified that pond bottom uniformity will be verified by a minimum of one spot elevation per 5,000 square feet?

Is it specified that deviation from stated tolerance shall be corrected prior to prefilling?

EMBANKMENTS

SUBGRADE

Will vegetation, topsoil and other unsuitable materials be removed from the area upon which the embankment is to be placed?

Is it specified that the subgrade will be scarified and compacted to a depth of 6 inches?

compacted by what method?

If dikes will be built into natural slopes, is it specified that the slope be benched or flattened to less than 4:1?



Minnesota Pollution Control Agency Water Quality – Wastewater Technical Review and Guidance

Water/Wastewater/#5.65, May 2001

DIKE CORE MATERIALS:

PLANNING:		
Has relatively incompressable debris, and rocks been located	material free of organic matter, ?	
	anticipated due to a high percentage of usable material for construction?	
Is adequate material available	on site or is borrow needed?	
Has it been tested for opt. mois	sture and density?	
Contractor responsible for test	s?	
SPECIFICATIONS:		
Is it specified that the soil to be and tight?	e used be relatively incompressible	
Is it specified that the material debris, and rocks?	be free of organic matter, vegetation	
Is it specified that topsoil will of the 1:1 slope down and outw	not be used for more than the outside ward from the shoulder lines?	
Has a maximum rock size beer	n specified?	
Is a cross section drawn on the be placed in what parts of the c	plans, showing what materials will dike?	
CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS	5:	
Is a density specified?		
Is a moisture specified?		
Do the specifications generally	agree with MN DOT 2105.3?	
Is a maximum lift thickness sp	ecified?	
Is there any settlement time sp	ecified before structure placement?	

Water Quality – W	Minnesota Pollution Control Agency Water Quality – Wastewater Technical Review and Guidance		Water/Wastewater/#5.65, May 2001
Is it specified that the outside of the d for seeding purposes?		like will be covered by topsoil	
INSPECT	FION/TESTING:		
What is the placed?	he required testing v	while the material is being	
What is s placed?	pecified for inspect	ion while the material is being	
EEDING:			
Is it specified that areas?	t 4 inches of fertile	topsoil will cover all disturbed	
Is topsoil defined	?	-	
Specs included for: Vegetative		2	
Seeding rate		Seeding date	
Pertilization N		P	K
Mulch?		Weed control?	
the watering schedule specified?		by whom?	with what water?
		will be established from the pond operating depth?	
Is the are	a to be reseeded sho	own on the plans?	
Explained in the specifica		ns?	
Is erosior	n control specified d	luring construction?	
	tional erosion contr es to protect from se	ol be necessary on the exterior ever flooding?	
Is it plan	ned for?		



Minnesota Pollution Control Agency Water Quality – Wastewater Technical Review and Guidance

Water/Wastewater/#5.65, May 2001

TESTING REQUIREMENTS

Is it specified that all soil test results: density, permeability, moisture on all the dikes be submitted for approval?	
Is it specified that the results of a survey of the pond bottom indicating that the level is within the proper tolerances be submitted for approval?	
Is a seepage test specified to determine seepage performance?	
Is an acceptable seepage rate given?	
Does the plan sheet agree with the specifications? Facility Plan? Soil Firm Recommendations?	
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MONITORING, AND PERMIT REQUIN	<u>REMENTS</u>
Is the GW monitoring adequate?	
Are piezometers located in the basins to check for GW mounding?	
Does the permit indicate monitoring frequency for wells and piezometers?	
Does the permit indicate maximum GW mounding and max. contaminant concentrations at the property boundary?	
Does the permit specify effluent quality into the ponds?	
Is equipment available to maintain the basin bottom?	
Does the O & M Manual state that the basin bottom be scarified every 6 months to a year?	