Rule Part(s)	Comment Topic	Summary of comment	MPCA Response	Discussed on SONAR page or supporting documentation	Affiliation Name/ Address	Comment Type	Exhibit#	Notes
	Request for clarification or additional information to support the proposed use designation	"Clarify language for Nicadoo Creek segment 04010102-985 due to conflicting use desingation information in TSD"	The MPCA's confirms that the proposed use desingation for this reach is default Class 2Ag	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-18	David Pfeifer, United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 5	Notice of Hearing Comment Period	I	
	Request for clarification or additional information to support the proposed use designation	"Use change segments with no biological data"	See Attachment 2, Item C.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-18; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-26	David Pfeifer, United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 5	Notice of Hearing Comment Period	I	
	Request for clarification or additional information to support the proposed use designation	"Coldwater use changes segments where more information may be useful"	See Attachment 2, Item C.2	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibits S-18, S-23, S-24, and S-25	David Pfeifer, United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 5	Notice of Hearing Comment Period	I	
	Request for clarification or additional information to support the proposed use designation	"Clarification for some Lake Superior – South Watershed segments"	See Attachment 2, Item C.3	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-18; Attachment 3	David Pfeifer, United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 5	Notice of Hearing Comment Period	I	
	Comments in support of the proposed rule amendments	"MCEA supports the use of TALUs (Tiered Aquatic Life Uses) with regular data monitoring for scientifically accurate and timely rulemaking changes"	See Attachment 2, Item A	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 1-3, 18-20]		Notice of Hearing Comment Period	l	
	Request for clarification on the use designation review timeline	Commenter is seeking clarification on the use designation review timeline and the use of data that is outside the 10-year assessment window	See Attachment 2, Item B	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 8-10]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-18	, 5	Notice of Hearing Comment Period	l	
	Comments related to the use review process and requirements for evidence supporting use designations	"The MPCA must achieve the highest attainable uses for Minnesota's waters and should not downgrade based on little-to-no data"	See Attachment 2, Item D	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 10-16]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibits S-11, S-18, S-21, S-23, and S-29; Hearing Exhibit F; Attachments 4, 5, 6, and 7		Notice of Hearing Comment Period	ı	
	Comments related to coordination with other agencies	"The MPCA and Department of Natural Resources (DNR) should coordinate their management of agricultural ditches for aquatic life and water quality"	See Attachment 2, Item E	Attachment 8		Notice of Hearing Comment Period	l	
	Comments regarding coordination with Tribal nations	"The MPCA should more closely coordinate with the Tribal nations in rulemakings that may impact subsistence fishing and drinking water"	See Attachment 2, Item F	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 28-29, 33-36]	Nadia Alsadi, Leigh Currie, and Carly Griffith, Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy (MCEA)	Notice of Hearing Comment Period		