

Rule Part(s)	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	MPCA Response	Discussed on SONAR page or supporting documentation	Affiliation Name/ Address	Comment Type	Exhibit#	Notes
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*Actual and potential uses must be protected not just existing uses	See Attachment 2, Item F.4	Hearing Exhibit L.2; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16	Howard Markus	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.1	
7050.0150	Comments related to the determination of impairment based on habitat	*The listing of waters as impaired based on habitat should not be excluded in rule language (see Minn R. 7050.0150, Subp. 6, Item E)	See Attachment 2, Item N	Attachment 10	Howard Markus	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.1	
7050.0470	Comments related to specific proposed use designations	*Cedar Lake should not be "downgraded" to a 2Bd because it has the potential to be restored to a stream trout water	See Attachment 2, Item M.1	Attachment 9	Howard Markus	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.1	
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*Actual and potential uses must be protected not just existing uses; need to designate protective use based on potential and allow waters to recover	See Attachment 2, Item F.4	Hearing Exhibit L.2; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16	Howard Markus	Hearing	L.11	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0150	Comments related to the determination of impairment based on habitat	*The listing of waters as impaired based on habitat should not be excluded in rule language (see Minn R. 7050.0150, Subp. 6, Item E)	See Attachment 2, Item N	Attachment 10	Howard Markus	Hearing	L.11	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0470	Comments related to specific proposed use designations	*Cedar Lake should not be "downgraded" to a 2Bd because it has the potential to be restored to a stream trout water	See Attachment 2, Item M.1	Attachment 9	Howard Markus	Hearing	L.11	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0420	Comments in support of the proposed rule amendments	*Appreciate efforts to perform periodic reviews of aquatic classifications and the need for such reviews	See Attachment 2, Item A.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 1-4, 20-23]	David Zentner, W.J. McCabe Chapter of the Izaak Walton League of America	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.2	
7050.0470	Comments related to coordination with other agencies	*Could not find evidence of agency coordination based on conversations with NE DNR staff. The MPCA needs to coordinate with other agencies and offices as appropriate and not base decisions on communications among St. Paul DNR and MPCA staff only.	See Attachment 2, Item J	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp.13-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 3-10, 16, 20-187]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-37	David Zentner, W.J. McCabe Chapter of the Izaak Walton League of America	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.2	
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Watersheds that have warm water streams in the upper reaches and then become cold water in the downstream portions are at risk if the upstream segments are managed as warm water habitats	See Attachment 2, Item G.2	Attachment 4, Minn. Rule 7050.0155	David Zentner, W.J. McCabe Chapter of the Izaak Walton League of America	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.2	
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Concerned that the rule amendments may result in a more difficult process in listing waters as impaired	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	David Zentner, W.J. McCabe Chapter of the Izaak Walton League of America	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.2	
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Concerned that permittees will avoid obligations under water quality standards by operating outside those obligations and will only pay fines infrequently.	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	David Zentner, W.J. McCabe Chapter of the Izaak Walton League of America	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.2	
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Watersheds that have warm water streams in the upper reaches and then become cold water in the downstream portions are at risk if the upstream segments are managed as warm water habitats	See Attachment 2, Item G.2	Attachment 4, Minn. Rule 7050.0155	David Zentner, W.J. McCabe Chapter of the Izaak Walton League of America	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	
7050.0470	Comments related to coordination with other agencies	*Could not find evidence of agency coordination based on conversations with NE DNR staff. The MPCA needs to coordinate with other agencies and offices as appropriate and not base decisions on communications among St. Paul DNR and MPCA staff only.	See Attachment 2, Item J	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp.13-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 3-10, 16, 20-187]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-37	David Zentner, W.J. McCabe Chapter of the Izaak Walton League of America	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Concerned that the rule amendments may result in a more difficult process in listing waters as impaired	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	David Zentner, W.J. McCabe Chapter of the Izaak Walton League of America	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	
7050.0420, 7050.0420	Comments in support of the proposed rule amendments	*Appreciate efforts to perform periodic reviews of aquatic classifications and the need for such reviews	See Attachment 2, Item A.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 1-4, 20-23]	David Zentner, W.J. McCabe Chapter of the Izaak Walton League of America	Hearing	L.22	
7050.0470	Comments related to coordination with other agencies	*Could not find evidence of agency coordination based on conversations with NE DNR staff. The MPCA needs to coordinate with other agencies and offices as appropriate and not base decisions on communications among St. Paul DNR and MPCA staff only.	See Attachment 2, Item J	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp.13-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 3-10, 16, 20-187]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-37	David Zentner, W.J. McCabe Chapter of the Izaak Walton League of America	Hearing	L.22	
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Watersheds that have warm water streams in the upper reaches and then become cold water in the downstream portions are at risk if the upstream segments are managed as warm water habitats	See Attachment 2, Item G.2	Attachment 4, Minn. Rule 7050.0155	David Zentner, W.J. McCabe Chapter of the Izaak Walton League of America	Hearing	L.22	
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Concerned that the rule amendments may result in a more difficult process in listing waters as impaired	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	David Zentner, W.J. McCabe Chapter of the Izaak Walton League of America	Hearing	L.22	
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Concerned that permittees will avoid obligations under water quality standards by operating outside those obligations and will only pay fines infrequently.	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	David Zentner, W.J. McCabe Chapter of the Izaak Walton League of America	Hearing	L.22	

7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	"The proposed changes to Mn Rules chapter 7050 regarding class 2 and class 7 use designations will result in degradation of waters of Minnesota through progressive removal of protections. Our precious resources should not loose status designation & protections due to lack of or failure of those protections. The rule should remain as is."	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	William Barton	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.3	
7050.0470	Comments related to biomonitoring tools for "put-in-take" fisheries	"Under the proposed rules, does MPCA intend to apply the cold water habitat waters use to waters where trout are stocked but otherwise support a cool or warm water aquatic community? If so, how does MPCA intend to apply its biological criteria to assess these waters?"	See Attachment 2, Item I	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 13-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-37	Aaron Johnson, United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 5	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.4	
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	"EPA recommends that MPCA edit the proposed revisions to Minn. R. 7050.0420(B) to ensure that the proposed use can be applied to waters where the cold water habitat waters use is determined to be attainable, not just where it is an existing use."	See Attachment 2, Item F.4	Hearing Exhibit L.2; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16	Aaron Johnson, United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 5	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.4	
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	"How do new designations affect ability the ability of drainage authorities to implement repairs, commence improvements, and to manage public drainage systems according to Minnesota Statute 103E?"	See Attachment 2, Item G.3	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-29; Attachment 13: Minnesota drainage law and analysis [pp. 18-20]	Robert Sip, Red River Watershed Management Board	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.5	
7050.0470	Comments related to 7050.0470 use classification tables	"The RRWMB requests that a table be developed listing both DNR designated trout streams, current Use Class, and Draft Use Class for comparison purposes."	See Attachment 2, Item H	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 12]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-31 [p. 50]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32	Robert Sip, Red River Watershed Management Board	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.5	
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to the use review process and requirements for evidence supporting use designations	"The RRWMB is requesting more clear information about what parts of streams, creeks, or waterway have new proposed changes or designations in addition to existing designations. The maps and information including in this process were not overly clear about new or changed designations. It would also be advantageous to have maps developed illustrating which waters are also DNR public waters and public drainage system under MS 103E."	See Attachment 2, Item D	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 20-187]; Interactive map: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/map-draft-beneficial-use-designations	Robert Sip, Red River Watershed Management Board	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.5	
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to economic analysis	"The RRWMB requests that the MPCA share detailed information related to any economic analysis that was conducted for this rulemaking process to support this claim." This comment generally refers to 2 separate bullets and are comments statements in the SONAR on pp. 24 and 41.	See Attachment 2, Item L.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [39-48]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-51; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-52; Attachment 5; Attachment 6; Attachment 7; Attachment 8	Robert Sip, Red River Watershed Management Board	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.5	Combines 2 separate bullets in comment letter
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to economic analysis	"The RRWMB recommends that recognition be given to the watershed districts, soil and water conservation districts, cities, townships, agricultural stakeholder groups, farmers, and landowners, that also work to protect and restore Minnesota's waters."	See Attachment 2, Item L.2	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 39-48]	Robert Sip, Red River Watershed Management Board	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.5	
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	"Therefore, regardless of whether trout is naturally reproducing, or if trout were found during MPCA biological assessments, MPCA must either provide a Use Attainability Analysis or provide equivalent protection."	See Attachment 2, Item F.4	Hearing Exhibit L.2; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16	Margaret Watkins, Grand Portage Band of Lake Superior Chippewa	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.6	
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	"Under the new application of Tiered Aquatic Life Uses, Exceptional Use, cold water aquatic life and habitat (2Ae) appears to be equivalent protection to the previous "Trout" waters designation."	See Attachment 2, Item F.6	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 84]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-37 [pp. 9-10]	Margaret Watkins, Grand Portage Band of Lake Superior Chippewa	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.6	
7050.0420, 7050.0420	Comments in support of the proposed rule amendments	*Appreciate efforts to perform periodic reviews of aquatic classifications	See Attachment 2, Item A.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 1-4, 20-23]	Ted Suus and Craig Sterle, Izaak Walton League of America	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.7	This comment largely duplicates the comment from Zentner
7050.0470	Comments related to coordination with other agencies	*Could not find evidence of agency coordination based on conversations with NE DNR staff. The MPCA needs to coordinate with other agencies and offices as appropriate and not base decisions on communications among St. Paul DNR and MPCA staff only.	See Attachment 2, Item J	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp.13-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 3-10, 16, 20-187]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-37	Ted Suus and Craig Sterle, Izaak Walton League of America	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.7	This comment largely duplicates the comment from Zentner
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Watersheds that have warm water streams in the upper reaches and then become cold water in the downstream portions are at risk if the upstream segments are managed as warm water habitats	See Attachment 2, Item G.2	Attachment 4, Minn. Rule 7050.0155	Ted Suus and Craig Sterle, Izaak Walton League of America	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.7	This comment largely duplicates the comment from Zentner
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	"There is nothing in the proposed amendments that we can find that allows "re-listing." *Restoration projects can recover a cold water stream and it should not be too difficult to get these waters "re-listed" as cold water habitat.	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	Ted Suus and Craig Sterle, Izaak Walton League of America	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.7	This comment largely duplicates the comment from Zentner
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Concerned that permittees will avoid obligations under water quality standards by operating outside those obligations and will only pay fines infrequently.	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	Ted Suus and Craig Sterle, Izaak Walton League of America	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.7	This comment largely duplicates the comment from Zentner

7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	Comments 1.A., 1.B. and 1.C.: "MPCA's proposed rule would add new language limiting the protection for trout waters or "cold water habitat" waters to the situation where current conditions support a healthy population and habitat" and "MPCA is not authorized to adopt a reclassification of trout waters as cold water habitat waters that considers neither the past nor the future, but only whether there is a beneficial use that currently permits propagation and maintenance of a healthy community and habitat." and "Under the CWA and implementing regulations a state may not use a new designation to remove an existing use of a water body."	See Attachment 2, Item F.3	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 13-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 20-187]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-37 [pp. 18-21]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-18	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Dual-notice Comment Period	1.8	
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	Comment 2: "The proposed rules change a rule that now requires designation of tributaries of trout waters as Class 2A waters for purposes of water quality standards protection. MPCA has not shown that removing the language providing this protection of beneficial uses is needed or reasonable."	See Attachment 2, Item F.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 13-15]; Attachment 4	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Dual-notice Comment Period	1.8	
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to the use review process and requirements for evidence supporting use designations	Comment 2: "...both MPCA's proposed rules and the explanatory documents provided with them are unreasonably opaque to the public."	See Attachment 2, Item D	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp 11-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 20-187]	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Dual-notice Comment Period	1.8	
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to economic analysis	Comment 2: "WaterLegacy is further concerned that neither the Technical Report nor the SONAR explain the significance of any of the proposed reclassifications of waters."	See Attachment 2, Item L.3	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 39-48]	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Dual-notice Comment Period	1.8	
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	Comment 3: "Under the TALU rules adopted in 2017, should trout waters no longer retain their distinctive classification, "cold water aquatic life and habitat" waters could be reclassified from a Class 2A use to a Class 2B or 2B use if they are currently only "general" and not "exceptional" waters, even if they still provide cold water habitat."	See Attachment 2, Item F.3	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 13-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 20-187]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-37 [pp. 18-21]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-18	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Dual-notice Comment Period	1.8	
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	Comment 3: "Neither the Technical Report nor the SONAR discuss the potential adverse effects of removing drinking water protection from trout waters as a result of reclassification that would be allowed under the proposed rules."	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Dual-notice Comment Period	1.8	
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	Comment 3: "...it must be underscored that MPCA's proposed changes in the text of Minnesota Rule 7050.0420 would support additional reclassifications not specifically described in the SONAR or Technical Report."	See Attachment 2, Item F.2		Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Dual-notice Comment Period	1.8	
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	Comment 3: "WaterLegacy requests that MPCA be required to explain:1) the consequences of proposed reclassifications to application of water quality standards now and in MPCA's planned future; ..."	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Dual-notice Comment Period	1.8	
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	Comment 3: "WaterLegacy requests that MPCA be required to explain: ... 2) the nature of land use and discharge conditions, particularly mines and coal plants, that might render specific proposed changes significant; ..."	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Dual-notice Comment Period	1.8	
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	Comment 3: "WaterLegacy requests that MPCA be required to explain: ... 3) existing trout streams downstream of major sulfate dischargers that could be evaluated for reclassification even if they are not currently listed in the Technical Report."	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Dual-notice Comment Period	1.8	
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	Comment 3: "MPCA should also be required to assess as a supplement to its SONAR the cumulative effects of the rule in terms of potential increases in sulfate and/or inability to control sulfate discharge downstream of major dischargers"	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Dual-notice Comment Period	1.8	
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*The commenter suggests language that requires trout waters to be designated as Class 2A and adds language clarifying that removal of a Class 2A water from 7050.0470 requires demonstration that attainment is not feasible.	See Attachment 2, Item F.4	Hearing Exhibit L.2; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Dual-notice Comment Period	1.8	
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments questioning the impetus for the rule amendments	*Concerned that these rule amendments are being proposed due to mining permitting and that this rule change is part of a larger strategy to erode protections	See Attachment 2, Item O	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 1], Attachment 11; Attachment 12	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only at hearing
7050.0420	Comments expressing concern that the proposed rule amendments will make it easier to change use designations in future rules	*Concerned that that rules do not require maintenance of Class 1B for the 2Bd waters	See Attachment 2, Item R	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only at hearing
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*Opposed to term "feasibly attainable" because it is not sufficiently defined	See Attachment 2, Item F.5	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to the use review process and requirements for evidence supporting use designations	*A change from Class 2A to 2B requires proof that the 2A never existed since Nov 28, 1975 and the proposed rule amendments are therefore inconsistent with Clean Water Act and Minnesota water quality rules	See Attachment 2, Item D	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp 11-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 20-187]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-37; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-31; Attachment 3	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only at hearing

7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*The term "must" in 7050.0420 Item C could force the MPCA to make use designations based on mining requests	See Attachment 2, Item F.7	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16; Hearing Exhibit L.2	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only at hearing
7050.0470	Comments related to the need for rule making when designating uses with more stringent standards	*Use designations with more stringent standards do not require rule changes	See Attachment 2, Item P		Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only at hearing
7050.0222	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	*A sulfate standard is needed to protect aquatic life	See Attachment 2, Item K.3	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Paula Maccabee, WaterLegacy	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0420	Comments in support of the proposed rule amendments	*Support for removal of the link between trout waters and Class 2A	See Attachment 2, Item A.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 1-4, 20-23]	David Koltz, Hibbing Taconite Company	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.9	
7050.0420	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	*Requests that the MPCA reevaluate the linkage between Class 1B and Class 2A	See Attachment 2, Item K.2	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	David Koltz, Hibbing Taconite Company	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.9	
7050.0470	Comments related to specific proposed use designations	*Requests that Wyman Creek be designated in this rule making as Class 2Bg	See Attachment 2, Item M.2	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 1]	Rob Beranek, Cleveland-Cliffs, Inc.	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.10	
7050.0420, 7050.0420	Comments in support of the proposed rule amendments	"CE would also like to take this opportunity to offer its overall support to this rulemaking. We concur with the MPCA that the "designated uses for each water body needs to be correct and appropriate because the designated use affects many water quality protection and restoration efforts..."	See Attachment 2, Item A.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 1-4, 20-23]	Rob Beranek, Cleveland-Cliffs, Inc.	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.10	
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	"We agree in part that these waterbodies should retain the Class 1B designation because this rulemaking does not contain the necessary use attainability or use and value demonstration necessary to remove a use designation, however, we do not think that it is either necessary or reasonable to confound future efforts to review a Class 1B use designation by carrying forward the domestic consumption designation into the cool/warm water habitat use designation as a 2Bd or 2Bdg."	See Attachment 2, Item K.2	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Rob Beranek, Cleveland-Cliffs, Inc.	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.10	
7050.0420, 7050.0420	Comments in support of the proposed rule amendments	"We applaud the MPCA's steps to change the use designation of 34 stream segments to Class 2A (Class 2Ag and Class 2Ae), thereby increasing protections for these coldwater systems."	See Attachment 2, Item A.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 1-4, 20-23]	John Lenczewski, Minnesota Trout Unlimited	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.11	
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	"We believe the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules 7050.0420 are either not necessary or are overly broad and create new criteria."	See Attachment 2, Item F.2		John Lenczewski, Minnesota Trout Unlimited	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.11	
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to the use review process and requirements for evidence supporting use designations	"We believe that in those instances where MPCA proposes to downgrade a stream segment from Class 2A that the agency has not met its burden of demonstrating that in fact a coldwater use never exiting at any time since November 28, 1975."	See Attachment 2, Item D	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp 11-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 20-187]	John Lenczewski, Minnesota Trout Unlimited	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.11	
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Concerned about Class 2A designations for small streams in areas without many opportunities for trout fishing	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	John Lenczewski, Minnesota Trout Unlimited	Hearing	L.15, Hearing transcript	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Broadly opposed to any Class 2A change to Class 2Bd	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	John Lenczewski, Minnesota Trout Unlimited	Hearing	L.15, Hearing transcript	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to the use review process and requirements for evidence supporting use designations	*The MPCA's documentation demonstrating that a cold water habitat is not an existing or attainable use is not sufficient	See Attachment 2, Item D	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp 11-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 20-187]	John Lenczewski, Minnesota Trout Unlimited	Hearing	L.15, Hearing transcript	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0470	Comments related to specific proposed use designations	*Detailed documentation of Cory Brook indicates that cold water habitat is an existing use	See Attachment 2, Item M.4	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-31 [pp. 28-31]	John Lenczewski, Minnesota Trout Unlimited	Hearing	L.15, Hearing transcript	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to trout biology	*Proposed use designations do not consider fish biology. For example some trout may move from cold water reaches to warm water sections seasonally	See Attachment 2, Item Q	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 6-7]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16	John Lenczewski, Minnesota Trout Unlimited	Hearing	L.15, Hearing transcript	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0219, 7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to the public notice to adopt rules	*The proposed amendments change numeric or narrative standards	See Attachment 2, Item C	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 1-2, 31]; Hearing Exhibit F	Form Letter from 261 separate parties	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.12	Form letter
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*Opposed to removing the classification of "trout waters" in favor of "cold water habitat	See Attachment 2, Item F.8	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 3]	Form Letter from 261 separate parties	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.12	Form letter
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*Opposed to removal of reference to Minn. R. 6264.0050 from Minn. R. 7050.0420. Concerned that this will remove trout waters if it can't be proved that these waters supported a cold water habitat. Also concerned this removes protections from tributaries. (Bullets 2-4 of letter)	See Attachment 2, Item F.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 3, 14-15, 21-22]	Form Letter from 261 separate parties	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.12	Form letter

7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	*Concerned that changing designations from Class 2A to Class 2Bd will remove sulfate standards	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Form Letter from 261 separate parties	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.12	Form letter
7050.0470	Comments related to 7050.0470 use classification tables	"These changes mean that people like me won't be able to look at an easily-available list and see which waters are protected as "trout waters.""	See Attachment 2, Item H	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 12]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-31 [p. 50]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32	Form Letter from 261 separate parties	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.12	Form letter
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to the use review process and requirements for evidence supporting use designations	"The specific changes in class designations in the MPCA rule aren't clearly explained. "	See Attachment 2, Item D	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp 11-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 20-187]	Form Letter from 261 separate parties	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.12	Form letter
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	"more than 70 percent of the changes would downgrade classifications"	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	Form Letter from 261 separate parties	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.12	Form letter
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	"Aside from the pre-made letter below let me just say that I find even the slightest infringement on current standards as wrong. We should be increasing water standards to protect water quality for future generations when increased populations will need that water."	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	Michael Poisson	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.12	Part of form letter with additional comments added by the commenter
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	"The proposed rule amendments would effect how reports of pollution are investigated"	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	Monta Hayner	Dual-notice Comment Period	I.12	
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Generally concerned with the loss of protections for trout and drinking waters; the proposed rules are not consistent with the spirit of the Clean Water Act	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	John Sadusky	Hearing	L.4	no oral comments
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to the use review process and requirements for evidence supporting use designations	*The evidence supporting Class 2Bd designations are not sufficient to demonstrate that these stream reaches did not lose cold water communities due to degradation	See Attachment 2, Item D	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp 11-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 20-187]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-37; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-31; Attachment 3	John Sadusky	Hearing	L.4	no oral comments
7050.0219, 7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to the public notice to adopt rules	*MPCA failed to notify the public in clear language that the Class 2A designation would be removed from 31 waters	See Attachment 2, Item C	Hearing Exhibit F	John Sadusky	Hearing	L.4	no oral comments
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to trout biology	*The MPCA designations fail to consider biology for mobile species such as trout	See Attachment 2, Item Q	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 6-7]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16	John Sadusky	Hearing	L.4	no oral comments
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Concerned that the rule changes will reduce protections for drinking water and aquatic life	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	Margot Monson	Hearing	L.5	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420	Comments expressing concern that the proposed rule amendments will make it easier to change use designations in future rules	*It is not appropriate to change rules so that it is easier to change use designations based on the fact that human degradation of these waters has caused the loss of trout habitat	See Attachment 2, Item R	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16	Margot Monson	Hearing	L.5	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments regarding impacts to indigenous peoples	*Trout waters need to be protected because of their importance to native populations and their economic value to the State of Minnesota	See Attachment 2, Item E	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 31-35, 35-39]	Margot Monson	Hearing	L.5	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	*Concerned that the proposed rule amendments will allow increased sulfate and mercury pollution	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Eric Morrison	Hearing	L.6, L.7, L.8, L.9	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0219, 7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments regarding the MPCA's statutory authority to adopt these rule amendments	*The MPCA does not have legal authority to adopt these rules	See Attachment 2, Item B	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 18-20]	Eric Morrison	Hearing	L.6, L.7, L.8, L.9	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments questioning the impetus for the rule amendments	*Opposed to rule because it is based on stakeholder (i.e., mining) requests; Who are the stakeholders that requested the use designations?; The timing is suspicious and suggests that the proposed changes are to allow mining permits	See Attachment 2, Item O	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 1], Attachment 11; Attachment 12	Jaci Christenson	Hearing	L.12	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Opposed the rule amendments because they will cause a decline in water quality protections	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	Jaci Christenson	Hearing	L.12	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*Opposed to changing "trout waters" to "cold water habitat."	See Attachment 2, Item F.8	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 3]	Jaci Christenson	Hearing	L.12	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	*The MPCA needs to determine the consequences of the proposed rule amendments including impacts to increased sulfate in drinking water	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Jaci Christenson	Hearing	L.12	also provided oral comments at hearing

7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments regarding impacts to indigenous peoples	*Need to uphold treaties	See Attachment 2, Item E	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 31-35, 35-39]	Jaci Christenson	Hearing	L.12	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	*Concerned that the proposed rule amendments will increase sulfate pollution	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Stephanie Digby	Hearing	L.13	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to the use review process and requirements for evidence supporting use designations	*The CWA does not allow "downgrading" of waters if a single species (i.e., trout) is not present; concerned that the use designations are based solely on the presence or absence of a trout especially if their absence is the result of past degradation	See Attachment 2, Item D	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 11-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 20-187]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-37; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-31; Attachment 3	Stephanie Digby	Hearing	L.13	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	*Concerned that the proposed rule amendments will increase sulfate pollution (and methyl mercury) by removing drinking water standards	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Steven Ring	Hearing	L.14	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*Opposed to changing "trout waters" to "cold water habitat" because this term is too austere and would lead to lower water quality standards applicable to trout streams	See Attachment 2, Item F.8	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 3]	Barton Winter	Hearing	L.16	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments questioning the impetus for the rule amendments	*Wants transparency regarding why this rule was proposed; Were there special interests proposing this rule?	See Attachment 2, Item O	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 1], Attachment 11; Attachment 12	Barton Winter	Hearing	L.16	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0420	Comments in support of the proposed rule amendments	*Supports designation of cold water habitats even if the DNR does not include them on the trout waters list	See Attachment 2, Item A.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 1-4, 20-23]	Barton Winter	Hearing	L.16	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Concerned with impacts from agricultural activity and the need to protect waters that have the potential to support trout	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	Barton Winter	Hearing	L.16	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	*Concerned that the proposed rule amendments will increase sulfate pollution thereby negatively impacting fish, wild rice, and human health	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Terry McCarthy	Hearing	L.18	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	*Concerned that the proposed rule amendments will increase sulfate (and mercury) pollution thereby negatively impacting fish and human health	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Lisa Fitzpatrick	Hearing	L.19	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	*Concerned that the proposed rule amendments will increase sulfate pollution and negatively impact fish, wild rice, and human health; the MPCA needs to protect waters from sulfate pollution	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Doretta Reisenweber	Hearing	L.20	also provided oral comments at hearing
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	*Concerned that the proposed rule amendments will increase sulfate pollution and negatively impact fish, wild rice, and human health; the MPCA needs to protect waters from sulfate pollution	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Laura Gauger	Hearing	L.21	no oral comments
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*Opposed to changing "trout waters" to "cold water habitat."	See Attachment 2, Item F.8	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 3]	Amy Cordry	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*Opposed to term "feasibly attainable" because it is not sufficiently defined	See Attachment 2, Item F.5	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16	Amy Cordry	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0470	Comments related to coordination with other agencies	*MPCA and DNR need to coordinate	See Attachment 2, Item J	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp.13-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 3-10, 16, 20-187]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-37	Amy Cordry	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*What if a water body is warm water due to human action?	See Attachment 2, Item F.9	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-18; Hearing Exhibit L.1	Amy Cordry	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*What does feasibly attainable mean specifically?	See Attachment 2, Item F.5	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16	Amy Cordry	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0470	Comments related to specific proposed use designations	*Use designation map shows Winona county has only General Use cold waters, but our monitoring data shows a much higher quality.	See Attachment 2, Item M.3	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-31 [pp. 28-31]	Amy Cordry	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments questioning the impetus for the rule amendments	*What is the origin of these changes?	See Attachment 2, Item O	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 1], Attachment 11; Attachment 12	Dan Iverson	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*What categorical changes to use designations are planned for future rules?	See Attachment 2, Item F.2	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 1]	Dan Iverson	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420, 7050.0420	Comments in support of the proposed rule amendments	*Which comments were in support of the use designations?	See Attachment 2, Item A.2		Dan Iverson	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Concerned with a loss of protections	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	Dan Iverson	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments questioning the impetus for the rule amendments	*MPCA has lost trust with the public and this appears to be a circumspect rule to reduce protections	See Attachment 2, Item O	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 1], Attachment 11; Attachment 12	Dan Iverson	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only

7050.0470	Comments related to coordination with other agencies	*Concerned with lack of coordination between the MPCA and DNR	See Attachment 2, Item J	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp.13-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 3-10, 16, 20-187]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-37	Cecilia Dingledey	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	*Will the rule result in the loss of sulfate standards? Specifically for waters designated from 2A to 2Bd will protections for trout and drinking water bet lost?	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Cecilia Dingledey	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to trout biology	"Why are we just using cold and warm for these designations for streams and nothing more specific as far as temperature?"	See Attachment 2, Item Q	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 6-7]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16	Cecilia Dingledey	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Concerned with the loss of protections and that actions will not be taken to protect or restore water quality	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	Cecilia Dingledey	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*Opposed to changing "trout waters" to "cold water habitat."	See Attachment 2, Item F.8	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 3]	Ricky DeFoe	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to sulfate standards and drinking water use designations	*Concerned with sulfate and mercury pollution and human health impacts	See Attachment 2, Item K.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [footnote p. 2]	Ricky DeFoe	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments questioning the impetus for the rule amendments	*Proposed rule creates confusion and obfuscates and is intended to reduce protections	See Attachment 2, Item O	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [p. 1], Attachment 11; Attachment 12	Ricky DeFoe	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments regarding impacts to indigenous peoples	*The proposed rules are not morally right; does not respect treaties; native peoples are often not heard	See Attachment 2, Item E	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 31-35, 35-39]	Ricky DeFoe	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*Concerned that upstream use designations with less stringent standards will negatively impact downstream uses	See Attachment 2, Item G.2	Attachment 4, Minn. Rule 7050.0155	Bernie Meyer	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420	Comments on the proposed Minn. R. 7050.0420 rule language	*Opposed to term "feasibly attainable" because it is not sufficiently defined	See Attachment 2, Item F.5	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-16	Willis Mattison	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420, 7050.0470	Comments related to the use review process and requirements for evidence supporting use designations	*Worried that these use designations are based on best professional judgement and may not sufficiently protect existing and attainable uses; Need to protect cold water habitats based on their potential; DNR data may not be sufficient to make that determination	See Attachment 2, Item D	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp 11-15]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-32 [pp. 20-187]; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-37; Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR Exhibit S-31; Attachment 3	Willis Mattison	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0420, 7050.0420	Comments in support of the proposed rule amendments	*Support for 2A designations	See Attachment 2, Item A.1	Hearing Exhibit D, SONAR [pp. 1-4, 20-23]	Dylan Koltz-Hale	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*The MPCA has not justified the need to increase levels of contaminants	See Attachment 2, Item G.1	Hearing Exhibit D [pp. 1-4, 9]	Dylan Koltz-Hale	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only
7050.0470, 7050.0420	Comments related to the protection and restoration of water quality and aquatic life habitat	*What are the differences in standards between Class 2A and 2Bd	See Attachment 2, Item G.4	Attachment 14	Dylan Koltz-Hale	Hearing	Hearing Transcript	oral comments only