Loading capacity

How much is too much?

What is needed

Simple, clear statement

- "The loading capacity for the Acme River is 30 lbs/day of phosphorus."
- If in a table, make sure the heading is clear
- If using multiple tables, be clear on which numbers you want the EPA to approve
- Use TMDL or Loading Capacity (LC), not "expected load, target load," etc.
- Needs to meet WQS
- Need to explain how it was determined
- Need to explain what the critical condition is

Determining Loading Capacity

Models

- There are no "approved" EPA models
- Each TMDL is a stand-alone document; don't just reference another TMDL
- Explain why you chose your model
 - Cost, data needs can be factors
 - Explain how it meets the conditions of your TMDL
 - Summarize what and how your model works
 - Provide calibration/validation to show how well the model simulates the waterbody (also helps for MOS)
 - Provide a discussion of the strengths and weaknesses of the model

Model examples

Illinois – Big Muddy R, Homer Lake (P 84-85 of the Stage 1 report)

Minnesota – Long Prairie, Otter Tail

Ohio – Big Darby, Big Walnut (good model discussion, weak on why the model)

Wisconsin – Sugar-Pecatonica (RUSLE2)

Determining Load Capacity

Load Duration Curves

- Explain what this is, why this method was chosen and is appropriate – sometimes missing
- Make sure to include all the details
 - Which gages used, how many years of data, etc.
 - If not in the watershed, explain why the surrogate is acceptable
- Include strengths and weaknesses

LDC Examples

Minnesota: Regional Fecal (App. A)

Indiana: Plummer Creek, Richland Creek

Ohio: Lower Cuyahoga R



Lake Macatawa, MI Loading Capacity

- To determine the loading capacity, MI used the Walker model
 - Listed several assumptions/uncertainties; i.e., Lake Mi intrusion, thermal wedging of cool Lake Mi water, etc
 - Given the target of 50 λg/L, model determined a LC of <u>55,000</u> lbs/yr (151 lbs/day)
- Point Sources: 4 majors, 44 minor
 - Current loads based upon design flow and permitted limit
- Nonpoint source load: used the Beale Ratio calculator

Current Load - 138,000 lbs/yr (378 lbs/day)

- point source load 33,839 permitted (12,400 actual) lbs/yr
 (93 lbs/day)
- nonpoint source load 126,100 lbs/yr (346 lbs/day)

Lake Macatwa Reductions

TMDL = 55,000 lbs/yr (151 lbs/day)
 Current load = 138,000 lbs/yr (378 lbs/day)

Note – if you use the current "real" load, 60% reduction is needed. However, using permitted loads, current load is 160,000 lbs/yr, and a 65% reduction.

Moral of the story – we approve <u>loads</u>, not % reduction.

Lake Macatawa Allocations

WLA = 20,000 lbs/yr (55 lbs/day) 19, 500 to 4 major permits 500 to 40 minor permits (nowadays, prohibit this) LA – 35,000 lbs/day (96 lbs/day)

Facility	Annual WLA (lbs/yr)	Daily load (lbs/day)
Flint Ink-CDR-Holland	1,556	4.3
Holland WWTP	13,153	36
Mead Johnson & Co	1,141	3.1
Zeeland WWTP	3,650	10
All Other Facilities	500	1.4
TOTAL	20,000	54.8

Critical condition

- Required in a TMDL 40 CFR 130.7(c)(1)
 - "Determinations of TMDLs shall take into account critical conditions for <u>stream flow</u>, <u>loading</u>, and water quality parameters." (underline added)
- Does not equate to "high flow-low flow"
- When is the loading the greatest?
 - Storm events
 - Spring run-off

There may not be a single flow condition that is critical – LDC often shows exceedences at many flows

- If this is true, then make sure to explain it

LDC examples



Little Sugar Creek CR 350N

Water Quality Duration Curve (2002 Monitoring Data) Site 11 (WED080-0014)



Daily does not mean only 1 number

You can have a daily number only

You can have a daily number based upon the months

May = 5 lb/d, June = 4 lbs/day, July = 3 lbs/day

You can have a daily number based upon the seasons

– spring = 10 lb/d, summer = 8 lb/d

You can have 2 daily numbers
 Daily average = 5 lb/d
 Daily max = 9 lb/day

NOW, where are we at?

Watershed described Pollutant and impairment ID'ed WQS ID'ed ✓ Target ID'ed Now we have; It the loading capacity determined and justified

Critical condition determined and justified (Parts 1, 2 and 3 of the approval template) USEPA Region 5