Proposed plan for the Joslyn Manufacturing Company Superfund Site



March 2017

## Authors

Andri Dahlmeier Hans Neve

## Contributors/acknowledgements

Walker Smith Frederick Campbell Steven Schoff Al Timm

## Editing

Sherry Mottonen

The MPCA is reducing printing and mailing costs by using the Internet to distribute reports and information to wider audience. Visit our website for more information.

MPCA reports are printed on 100% postconsumer recycled content paper manufactured without chlorine or chlorine derivatives.

## **Minnesota Pollution Control Agency**

520 Lafayette Road North | Saint Paul, MN 55155-4194 |

651-296-6300 | 800-657-3864 | Or use your preferred relay service. | Info.pca@state.mn.us

This report is available in alternative formats upon request, and online at <u>www.pca.state.mn.us</u>.

## Contents

Conte	ntsi
1.0	Statement of purpose1
2.0	Site location1
3.0	Joslyn Site history2
3.1	History of Middle Twin Lake3
3.2	History of the West Area and Southern Lots (OU5)3
3.3	OU5 investigations and site characteristics
4.0	Human health and ecological risks from the West Area (OU5)4
4.1	Evaluating soil exposure pathways5
4.2	Risk-based soil criteria5
5.0	Remedial alternatives5
5.1	Regulatory classification of OU5 soils5
5.2	Flood plain and wetland considerations6
5.3	Stormwater Management
6.0	Evaluation of proposed cleanup alternatives
7.0	Summary of remedial alternatives7
8.0	Summary of the preferred alternative10
9.0	Public input13

## 1.0 Statement of purpose

The purpose of this Proposed Plan is to solicit public comment on a proposed cleanup action for Operable Unit 5 (OU5) of the Joslyn Manufacturing & Supply Company Superfund Site (the Site). This Proposed Plan will provide background information of the Site, describe various cleanup alternatives, identify the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's (MPCA's) preferred cleanup alternative, and provide an opportunity for public comment on the preferred alternative.

The MPCA is the lead agency at the Site. MPCA will select a final remedy for the Site after considering all written comments submitted during a 30-day public comment period. The public comment period runs from March 27, 2017 to May 5, 2017.

MPCA encourages the public to review and comment on this Proposed Plan. MPCA also encourages community members to attend and participate in an open house and public meeting at the Hennepin County Library, Brookdale Room ABC, located at 6125 Shingle Creek Parkway in Brooklyn Center, Minnesota 55430, on April 12, 2017. The public meeting begins with an open house at 5:30 p.m., and a short formal presentation at 7:00 p.m.

MPCA's decision on the final remedy for the Site will be announced in local newspaper notices and on the MPCA website, and presented in a document called a Record of Decision (ROD). The ROD will include a Responsiveness Summary that summarizes MPCA's responses to public comments on this Proposed Plan. MPCA may modify the preferred alternative or select another response action presented in this Proposed Plan based on new information or public comments. Therefore, the public is encouraged to review and comment on all the alternatives presented in this Proposed Plan.

The implementation of this Proposed Plan will result in the long-term protection of public health and the environment, comply with regulatory requirements, and address other issues posed by the contaminated soils at OU5. This Proposed Plan meets the requirements for remedy selection under the state Superfund law (Minnesota Environmental Response and Liability Act or MERLA) and presents a remedy that is consistent with the requirements of the federal Superfund law (Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act or CERCLA).

This Proposed Plan is based on information from the *"Focused Feasibility Study – REVISION 3, Operable Unit 5 – West Area Soils, Joslyn Manufacturing & Supply Co. Site, Brooklyn Center, Minnesota"* (Feasibility Study) completed by Barr Engineering Company in January 2017 on behalf of Joslyn Manufacturing Company (Joslyn), the responsible party. The Feasibility Study contains detailed information about the evaluated alternatives including the proposed alternative.

## 2.0 Site location

The Site is located in Brooklyn Center, northwest of the intersection of Azelia Avenue North and Lakebreeze Avenue North, and is bounded on the west by Middle Twin Lake, on the north by railroad tracks and open space, and on the south by a residential neighborhood (Figure 1). OU5 consists of the West Area, the westernmost portion of the Site, and two undeveloped residential lots owned by Joslyn, referred to as the Southern Lots. The Southern Lots adjoin the Site to the south of the West Area. The West Area (shown in yellow on Figure 2) is located between a portion of the Site that has been remediated and redeveloped (shown in blue on Figure 2) and Middle Twin Lake.

## 3.0 Joslyn Site history

From the 1920s until 1980, a succession of companies treated wooden poles and ties at the Site. The wood preservatives used at the Site included creosote, pentachlorophenol (PCP) and copper-chromium arsenate. Soil, sediment, and groundwater at the Site became contaminated with PCP and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), a constituent of PCP. As a result, Joslyn undertook the investigation and remediation of the Site as the responsible party, as determined by MPCA in 1983. The Site was listed on the federal National Priorities List (NPL) in 1984 and the state Superfund list referred to as the Permanent List of Priorities (PLP) in 1985.

In 1988, after completing a remedial investigation, an interim response action resulted in the off-site disposal of heavily contaminated soils and wood-treating fluids. In 1989, following public comment, MPCA issued a ROD for the Site that documented the selected remedial actions. Distinct elements of the remedial actions are described using the term "operable unit" (OU). For groundwater (OU1 and OU2), the selected remedy includes groundwater pumpout and long-term groundwater monitoring. A recovery system was selected for collecting waste wood-treating chemicals, which are present in the aquifer as dense non-aqueous phase liquid (DNAPL), (OU3). For the remaining contaminated soil (OU4), the remedial actions included on-site biological treatment. It was later discovered that portions of the Site were contaminated by polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and furans (dioxins), which are impurities of the wood preservative PCP.

## OU1 and OU2: Groundwater

Since 1989, groundwater pumpout wells have been removing contaminated groundwater from the upper aquifer (OU1 and OU2), thereby controlling lateral and vertical migration of contaminants. As verified by routine monitoring and data analysis, the remedial actions have successfully contained contaminated groundwater within the upper aquifer at the site's downgradient (eastern) boundary and have prevented downward migration of contaminants.

## OU3: DNAPL

A recovery system was installed in 1996 to recover the waste wood-treating chemicals (DNAPL). To date, approximately 16,700 gallons of DNAPL have been recovered and sent off-site to a permitted facility and incinerated. This remedial action will continue until the recoverable DNAPL has been removed from the aquifer.

## OU4: Contaminated soil

OU 4 consisted of the visually contaminated soil remaining on the Site after the 1988 interim response action. The remedy for OU4 included the excavation and on-site treatment of these soils through biological degradation of contaminants. The ROD also allowed the off-site disposal of soils that could not be treated on-site.

From 1989 through 1998, approximately 85,000 cubic yards of contaminated soil were remediated onsite. Contaminated soils left on-site were capped by buildings, and in other areas, a clean soil layer. Restrictive covenants were placed on the Site to ensure the remedy remains effective.

In 1999, Joslyn sold a portion of the Site to a redevelopment company called Real Estate Recycling (RER), a redevelopment company specializing in contaminated properties. RER also purchased land adjacent to the Site that eventually led to the construction of three new buildings on and adjacent to the Site. The redevelopment was possible through the cooperative efforts of Joslyn, RER, the City of Brooklyn Center,

and the MPCA. Through the efforts of Joslyn and final actions during site redevelopment, much of the Site was successfully remediated and partially delisted from the PLP (State Superfund list) and NPL (Federal Superfund list). OU1 through OU4 are located within the redeveloped portions (blue portion on Figure 2). No additional actions are planned for those areas.

## 3.1 History of Middle Twin Lake

Due to its location immediately adjacent to the Site, Middle Twin Lake has been investigated a number of times to assess potential adverse impacts caused by former wood treating activities. After dioxins were found at the Site, including in the West Area, the MPCA asked Joslyn to reevaluate potential adverse impacts by investigating fish tissue in Middle Twin Lake. Fish-tissue samples collected from Middle Twin Lake showed that existing fish-consumption advisories are protective of human health for dioxins.

MPCA also had composite sediment samples collected and tested from Middle Twin Lake, which showed that dioxin concentrations were 10 times lower than the health-protective value established by the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) and were unlikely to adversely impact public health. Based on the fish-tissue and sediment-investigation results, MDH and MPCA concluded that further assessment of Middle Twin Lake is not warranted at this time.

## 3.2 History of the West Area and Southern Lots (OU5)

The West Area is comprised of wetlands and wooded uplands, and most of the area is within both the 100-year flood plain and the ordinary high-water level of Middle Twin Lake. The West Area was not used for significant operations associated with wood treating; however, it became impacted by surface-water runoff from the other areas where wood-treating operations were predominantly carried out (i.e. the area of the Site that has been redeveloped). Historical aerial photos show that a pond was present in the southern portion of the West Area.

The Southern Lots are currently zoned for residential use. Some of the area is comprised of wetlands, and most of the area is forested with trees and shrubs. Approximately half of the area is within the 100-year flood plain. Historical aerial photographs indicate that soil filling may have occurred at the Southern Lots.

## 3.3 OU5 investigations and site characteristics

Various investigations were completed from 1981 through 1999, revealing PCP, PAHs and dioxins at concentrations above recommended cleanup levels in the West Area. As a result, Joslyn installed a fence around the West Area in 2001 as an interim response measure to limit exposure of trespassers to contaminated soil.

Joslyn completed additional investigations of the West Area and the Southern Lots between 2003 and 2009, and in 2014 and 2015 in order to more fully evaluate the potential ecological and human health risks associated with exposure to environmental conditions in OU5, and to aid in identifying appropriate remedial options. These investigations revealed dioxin levels in shallow soils in parts of the West Area that are higher than the MPCA's soil screening level. The highest concentration of dioxin detected was 176,621 ng/kg. A summary of all soil analytical data for the West Area of OU5 is found in Table 1, and a summary of soil analytical data for the Southern Lots and samples collected in the roadway is found in Table 2. Sample locations are shown on Figure 3.

Although PCP and PAH concentrations are also above recommended cleanup levels in isolated portions of the West Area, dioxins are the risk driver and are of primary concern. Table 3 shows the concentrations of dioxins found at varying depths in the soil samples (expressed as tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin [TCDD] Toxicity Equivalency Quotient [TEQ]).

Sampling activities in the southern parcel demonstrated that PAHs, PCP, and dioxins were not at concentrations of concern, and that the areas south of the Southern Lots do not need further investigation, or remediation at this time. Additionally, composite samples of shallow soils were collected in 2004 in conjunction with a street improvement project immediately west of the West Area and adjacent to the Southern Lots. Cumulatively, results of these investigations confirm that the contamination does not extend off of the Southern Lots.

Federal law establishes an expectation that treatment will be used to address the "principal threats" posed by a site whenever practicable. The principal threat waste concept is applied to the characterization of source materials at a Superfund Site.

In general, principal threat wastes are the source materials that contain hazardous substances and that act as a reservoir for migration of contaminants to groundwater, surface water, or air. These materials cannot be contained in a reliable manner or present a significant risk to human health or the environment should exposure occur. The decision to treat these wastes is made on a site-specific basis through a detailed analysis of remedial alternatives using the nine remedy selection criteria.

The soils in OU5 are a combination of low-level and principal threat waste. The concentration of dioxins in soils in the central-southern portion of the West Area could present a potentially significant risk to human health or the environment should exposure occur; as a result, this soil is considered a principal threat waste. Although dioxin concentrations in the remainder of OU5 pose a risk, those soils are not considered a principal threat. It should also be noted that the mobility of the contaminants associated with OU5 soils is extremely low.

# 4.0 Human health and ecological risks from the West Area (OU5)

As part of the West Area Feasibility Study, risks to human health and the environment from the existing contamination were evaluated to provide the basis for the proposed remedial action at OU5. The risk assessment evaluated the contaminants and exposure pathways that need to be addressed by the remedy. Three potential exposure pathways through which human or ecological receptors could be exposed to OU5 contaminants include:

- 1. Surface water Based on the results of the fish-tissue and lake sediment studies in Middle Twin Lake, there is not an ecological or human health risk to the lake from site contamination.
- 2. Groundwater Groundwater flows to the east away from Middle Twin Lake and is effectively captured and treated by a groundwater capture and treatment system.
- 3. Soil The risk evaluation focused on the soil exposure pathway and three exposure routes, including ingestion, inhalation, and absorption of contaminants through the skin from direct contact with contaminated soils.

The surface water and groundwater exposure pathways have been addressed; only the soil exposure pathway remains to be addressed by the remedy. Remedial actions to address contamination in soils will effectively eliminate human health and ecological exposure pathways.

## 4.1 Evaluating soil exposure pathways

Two key factors in the risk evaluation are the assumed future land use (e.g., residential, industrial, recreational) and the "accessibility" of OU5 soil contamination to human and ecological receptors. Although Joslyn intends to keep the West Area and Southern Lots as open, undeveloped space with a perimeter fence, the assumed future land use was based on the Brooklyn Center zoning designations of industrial for the West Area and residential for the Southern Lots. MPCA bases its determination of "accessible" soil depths on the likelihood of activities disturbing the soils. For example, it is more likely for shallower soils to be disturbed by common maintenance activities (e.g., landscaping or fencing installation) than for deeper soils to be disturbed.

## 4.2 Risk-based soil criteria

The MPCA has developed risk-based soil concentration criteria for various exposure scenarios. The riskbased soil criteria are known as soil reference values (SRVs). MPCA selected a set of SRVs to compare to OU5 soil data by using conservative exposure assumptions for future land use and soil accessibility. MPCA then compared the selected SRVs to available OU5 soil-concentration data and determined that concentrations of dioxin in the shallow "accessible" soils of OU5 pose an unacceptable risk to human health if direct exposure to these soils occurred.

The soil cleanup levels for OU5 are the risk-based SRVs derived by the MPCA based on conservative exposure scenarios for the West Area and Southern Lots. These will serve as the Preliminary Remediation Goals during the remedial actions and are found in Table 4.

Figure 4 illustrates those portions of OU5 shallow soils that are proposed to be excavated. Some soils will be disposed off-site and some will be consolidated on-site. See descriptions of remedial alternatives 7 and 8 below.

## 5.0 Remedial alternatives

Important factors considered in developing remedial alternatives are described below.

## 5.1 Regulatory classification of OU5 soils

Five of the eight remedial alternatives considered for OU5 involve excavation of contaminated soils (Alternatives 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8, all summarized below). The excavation of contaminated soils at Superfund Sites and final management, treatment, or disposal location of the excavated soils is guided by a complex set of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and MPCA regulations and policies. A primary factor in determining where excavated contaminated soils can ultimately be managed, treated, or disposed is the defined area of contamination, or AOC. EPA defines this as an area where contamination is generally contiguous and of a similar nature but not necessarily uniform throughout the entire area. By this definition, the entire Joslyn Site is an AOC. Generally, contaminated soil that is excavated needs to either be managed on the property or disposed of off the property. If excavated soil is managed on the property, it will be consolidated into a smaller area and covered by a protective cap, such as a clean layer of soil or a building.

## On-site consolidation within the AOC

Contaminated soils may be excavated from OU5 and consolidated within the AOC (the Site) with the appropriate engineering controls to restrict human and ecological exposure. This scenario was used to develop a remedial alternative that consolidates and caps excavated soils within the West Area (Alternatives 5 and 8) and alternatives that consolidate and cap excavated soils outside of OU5 but within the Site (Alternatives 6 and 7).

## Off-site treatment and/or disposal outside of the AOC

If soils excavated from OU5 are to be treated and/or disposed off-site (outside of the AOC), the soils must be classified for proper management under federal and state regulations. Under MPCA policies, approximately 40% of the OU5 soils are considered to contain a listed waste and must be managed as hazardous waste if they are to be disposed off-site. Under those same policies, approximately 60% of the OU5 soils do not contain a listed waste and can be disposed of in a Subtitle D landfill.

Off-site disposal of all contaminated OU5 soils was considered (Alternative 3), as well as a combination of off-site disposal/on-site consolidation remedies where a portion of the soils are disposed off-site at a Subtitle D landfill and a portion of the soils are consolidated on site (Alternatives 7 and 8).

## 5.2 Flood plain and wetland considerations

Much of OU5 is comprised of wetlands and is within the flood plain of Middle Twin Lake. Any construction or excavation in the wetlands and flood plain at OU5 requires substantial planning and permitting coordination with regulatory agencies including the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, the Shingle Creek Watershed Management Commission, the United States Army Corps of Engineers, and the City of Brooklyn Center. Those alternatives that would involve altering the ground surface in OU5, whether by excavating, placing clean soil caps, or consolidating soils, would impact wetlands and the flood plain. These impacts were considered carefully when developing and evaluating the potential remedial alternatives described below.

## 5.3 Stormwater Management

All eight alternatives except for the "no action" alternative include modifications to the current path of stormwater flow adjacent to and through OU5. Stormwater management modifications will improve the overall water quality of stormwater entering Middle Twin Lake and will protect the lake during and after the remedial action.

## 6.0 Evaluation of proposed cleanup alternatives

The superfund process prescribes nine criteria used to evaluate proposed cleanup alternatives. The nine criteria are categorized into three groups and are described below.

Threshold Criteria must be satisfied in order for a remedy to be eligible for selection as the preferred remedy:

- 1. **Overall protection of human health and the environment** pertains to how risks posed through each exposure pathway are eliminated, reduced, or controlled through treatment, engineering controls, and/or institutional controls.
- 2. Compliance with applicable and/or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs) from the federal, state, and local governmental and regulatory agencies.

**Balancing Criteria** are used to evaluate alternatives that meet the Threshold Criteria and are listed below. The alternative that most effectively balances these criteria, taking into consideration site-specific circumstances, will be selected by the MPCA as the final cleanup action:

- 3. Long-term effectiveness and permanence refers to the ability of a remedy to reliably protect human health and the environment over time once cleanup levels have been met. This criterion includes consideration of residual risk that will remain on site following remediation and the adequacy and reliability of controls.
- 4. **Reduction of toxicity, mobility, or volume of contaminants through treatment** refers to the anticipated performance of the treatment technologies that may be included as part of a remedy.
- 5. **Short-term effectiveness** addresses the period of time needed to implement the remedy and any adverse impacts that may be posed to workers, the community, and the environment during construction and operation of the remedy until cleanup levels are achieved.
- 6. **Implementability** addresses the technical and administrative feasibility of a remedy from design through construction and operation. Factors such as availability of services and materials, administrative feasibility, and coordination with other governmental entities are also considered.
- 7. Cost-effectiveness addresses how much the remedy will cost.

**Modifying Criteria** listed below may be considered in selecting a preferred alternative. Community comments on the Proposed Plan may be used to modify the MPCA preferred alternative:

- 8. State acceptance determines whether the MPCA accepts an option after receiving public comments.
- 9. **Community acceptance** considers the opinions of nearby residents and other stakeholders about the proposed cleanup plan.

## 7.0 Summary of remedial alternatives

Eight remedial alternatives for OU5 were evaluated and are summarized below. For more information, please review the Feasibility Study and the associated MPCA approval and comment letters.

## Alternative 1 – No further action

To establish a baseline for comparison, the no-action alternative is evaluated at every Superfund Site. For this alternative, the only actions associated with OU5 are long-term routine operations and maintenance (O&M) tasks including maintaining fencing and other site maintenance and repairs, quarterly site inspections, and reporting.

*Estimated cost: \$500,000 Estimated implementation time: ongoing* 

## Alternative 2 – Stormwater management modifications

Modification of the current path of stormwater flow adjacent to and through OU5 can help remove the potential of contaminant transport into Middle Twin Lake. This alternative includes installation of several stormwater treatment best management practices, including construction of stormwater treatment basins and installation of new storm sewers to convey surface runoff. Routine maintenance such as maintaining fencing and other site maintenance and repairs, quarterly site inspections and reporting would be performed in the long term following implementation of the remedy. This alternative was developed as a stand-alone remedial alternative and for use in conjunction with Alternatives 3, 4, and 5 described below.

*Estimated cost: \$2,300,000 Estimated implementation time: less than one year* 

## Alternative 3 – Excavation for off-site treatment and disposal

Under this alternative, the stormwater management modifications of Alternative 2 would be combined with the excavation of OU5 shallow soils that exceed cleanup levels. Excavated soils would undergo incineration at an out-of-state hazardous-waste incinerator and the incinerated soil would be disposed of at a hazardous-waste landfill. Excavations would be backfilled with clean soil to original grade and the area would be re-vegetated and wetlands re-established. Institutional controls would be placed on the property deed to restrict future land use as necessary and long-term routine maintenance, site inspections, and reporting would be conducted following implementation of the remedy.

*Estimated cost: \$68,000,000 Estimated implementation time: more than one year* 

## Alternative 4 – In-place soil cover

Under this alternative, the stormwater management modifications of Alternative 2 would be combined with placement of a clean soil cap over the West Area. Contaminated soils excavated from the Southern Lots as part of the stormwater management modifications would be placed in the West Area prior to capping. The cap would consist of a geotextile overlaid with two feet of vegetated clean soil. This alternative would require significant flood plain and wetland mitigation due to the loss of flood plain and wetlands through placement of the soil cover. Institutional controls would be placed on the property deed to restrict future land use as necessary. Long-term routine maintenance, site inspections, and reporting would be conducted following implementation of the remedy.

Estimated cost: \$15,000,000

Estimated implementation time: less than one year

## Alternative 5 - On-site consolidation with soil cover at West Area

This alternative combines the stormwater management modifications of Alternative 2 with excavation of shallow contaminated soils from the northern portion of the West Area and from the Southern Lots for on-site consolidation. Excavated contaminated soils would be consolidated in the southern part of the West Area. The consolidation area would be capped with a vegetated soil cover (geotextile overlaid with two feet of clean soil). Flood plain and wetland mitigation would be required as part of this alternative, although less than for Alternative 4. Institutional controls would be placed to restrict future land use as necessary. Long-term routine maintenance, site inspections, and reporting would be conducted following implementation of the remedy.

## Estimated cost: \$5,000,000

Estimated implementation time: less than one year

## Alternative 6 - On-site consolidation with soil cover at Azelia Avenue Pond

Contaminated shallow soils from OU5 (approximately 35,000 cubic yards) would be excavated and consolidated east of Building 1 within the Site. The proposed soil consolidation area is the current location of a stormwater pond (Azelia Avenue Pond) adjacent to a contaminated soil consolidation area created during development of the redeveloped portion of the Site. The consolidation area would be capped with a vegetated soil cover (geotextile overlaid with two feet of clean soil). A new stormwater pond would be constructed south of Building 1 and other stormwater management modifications would be implemented. Portions of the OU1 and OU2 groundwater pumpout systems within the proposed consolidation area would be modified. Excavations would be backfilled with clean soil to original grade and the area would be re-vegetated and wetland areas restored. Because there will be minimal net change in existing grade within OU5, it is anticipated that no significant flood plain mitigation will be required as part of this remedial alternative. Institutional controls would be placed on the property deed

to restrict future land use as necessary and long-term routine maintenance, site inspections, and reporting would be conducted following implementation of the remedy.

*Estimated cost: \$5,900,000 Estimated implementation time: less than one year* 

## Alternative 7 – Limited on-site consolidation with soil cover at Building 1A Pond

Contaminated shallow soils from OU5 (approximately 35,000 cubic yards) would be excavated and a portion of that soil would be consolidated north of Building 1 within the Site and a portion of the excavated soil would be disposed off-site in a Subtitle D landfill. The proposed soil consolidation area is directly adjacent to the West Area and is the current location of a stormwater pond (Building 1A Pond) created during development of the redeveloped portion of the Site. The soil consolidation area would be capped with a vegetated soil cover (geotextile overlaid with two feet of clean soil). Modifications to the Azeila Avenue Pond would be needed to replace the functions of the filled Building 1A Pond. Excavations would be backfilled with clean soil to original grade and the area would be re-vegetated and wetland areas restored. Flood plain and wetland mitigation would be required as part of this alternative, although less than for Alternative 4, 5, and 8. Institutional controls would be placed on the property deed to restrict future land use as necessary and long-term routine maintenance, site inspections, and reporting would be conducted following implementation of the remedy.

### Estimated cost: \$5,400,000

Estimated implementation time: less than one year

## Alternative 8 - Limited On-site consolidation with soil cover in West Area

Alternative 8 will require mitigation for flood plain and wetlands. Two options for obtaining the flood plain mitigation necessary to implement Alternative 8 were evaluated. The first option was to create additional flood plain at an off-site location (Alternative 8A) and the second option was to create additional flood plain on site within OU5 (Alternative 8B). In each variation of Alternative 8, contaminated shallow soils from OU5 (approximately 35,000 cubic yards) would be excavated and a portion of that soil would be consolidated in the West Area and a portion of the excavated soil would be disposed off-site in a Subtitle D landfill. The proposed soil consolidation area is located in the West Area and is the same location used in Alternative 5. The soil consolidation area would be capped with a vegetated soil cover (geotextile overlaid with at least two feet of clean soil). Excavations would be backfilled with clean soil to original grade and the area would be re-vegetated and wetland areas restored. Flood plain and wetland mitigation would be required as part of this alternative, although less than for Alternative 5. As noted above, two options for obtaining the flood plain mitigation necessary to implement Alternative 8 were evaluated: off-site flood plain mitigation (Alternative 8A) and on-site flood plain mitigation (Alternative 8B). Institutional controls would be placed on the property deed to restrict future land use as necessary and long-term routine maintenance, site inspections, and reporting would be conducted following implementation of the remedy.

## Alternative 8A – Off-site flood plain mitigation

*Estimated cost: \$5,400,000 Estimated implementation time: less than one year* 

### Alternative 8B – On-site flood plain mitigation

*Estimated cost: \$4,800,000 Estimated implementation time: less than one year* 

## 8.0 Summary of the preferred alternative

Applying the nine criteria to the eight alternatives evaluated, the preferred alternative selected by MPCA for cleaning up OU5 is **Alternative 8B**, **Limited on-site consolidation with soil cover in the West Area (On-site flood plain mitigation)**. Figure 5 illustrates the excavation and consolidation proposed for Alternative 8B.

The Preferred Alternative achieves substantial risk reduction and protects human health and the environment through a combination of on-site containment and off-site disposal, engineering controls, and institutional controls. This alternative substantially reduces risk to human receptors because direct contact, inhalation, or ingestion of contaminated soil will be prevented. Institutional controls will also be implemented to ensure appropriate future land use. The Preferred Alternative will reduce the risks to both human and ecological receptors and, with proper planning and monitoring during implementation, is anticipated to manage of short-term risks.

The Preferred Alternative will comply with ARARs.

The Preferred Alternative for OU5 also offers the best balance of tradeoffs for the five balancing criteria. It provides long-term effectiveness by removing contaminants from the Site and provides long-term reduction in the pathways associated with contaminants remaining on-site. Although the principal threat wastes are being contained without treatment, the Preferred Alternative best balances technical feasibility and implementability, consideration of short-term risk to human and ecological receptors, and cost.

For the Preferred Alternative, flood plain and wetland mitigation will be required and to the extent practicable, wetlands disturbed during the cleanup will be restored. Stormwater management modifications implemented as part of the Preferred Alternative will also help minimize the threat of contaminants migrating into Middle Twin Lake via stormwater runoff through OU5.

MPCA will oversee Joslyn's actions to monitor air quality, control traffic, and control noise to minimizing impacts to the Site neighbors during cleanup construction activities. Trees will be preserved wherever possible on the western portion of OU5, and new trees will be placed to provide continued screening of the Site for neighbors to the south and across Middle Twin Lake.

This remedy will result in some contaminated soil remaining on-site at depths greater than two feet beneath a clean soil layer, or in the consolidation area beneath a clean soil layer. Some of the contaminants in the soils are above levels that allow unlimited use and unrestricted exposure; as a result, a statutory review will be conducted within five years after initiation of remedial action, and every five years thereafter, to ensure that the remedy is, or will be, protective of human health and the environment.

## Applying the Evaluation Criteria to the eight remedial alternatives

The nine evaluation criteria were applied to each of the eight remedial alternatives that are briefly summarized below. Please refer to the Feasibility Study for more information.

1. Overall protection of human health and the environment

Because Alternative 1 and Alternative 2 are not protective of human health and the environment, they were eliminated from consideration as stand-alone remedial alternatives under the remaining eight criteria.

## 2. Compliance with ARARs

Alternatives 2 through 8, with appropriate design and planning, will meet ARARs. The ARARs are not applicable to Alternative 1 as no actions would take place.

## 3. Long-term effectiveness

Alternative 3 provides the greatest long-term effectiveness by removing contaminated materials from the Site and permanently eliminating the exposure pathways associated with contaminated soil. Alternatives 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 provide long-term reduction of the exposure pathways associated with the contaminated soil, but not as well as Alternative 3. Alternatives 7 and 8 provide better long-term effectiveness by removing a portion of contaminated soil from the Site.

## 4. Reduction of toxicity, mobility, or volume of contaminants through treatment

Alternative 3 reduces toxicity and volume through treatment. Alternatives 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 do not include treatment as a component of the remedy and would not significantly alter the toxicity or volume of contamination at the Site. Alternatives 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 would reduce mobility of the contaminated soils by capping or the removal of those soils to a Subtitle D landfill.

### 5. Short-term effectiveness

Significant stormwater events during soil excavation could result in erosion and/or potential releases of contaminated soil or runoff to Middle Twin Lake. Scheduling the contaminated soil excavation during late fall or winter for Alternatives 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 would reduce the potential for significant stormwater events that could affect remedial operations. Stormwater controls proposed as part of Alternatives 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 would also help prevent releases of contaminants to Middle Twin Lake via soil erosion or runoff.

Alternatives 3, 6, and 7 involve excavating the most highly contaminated soils in the West Area. These materials would be staged and loaded for off-site transportation under Alternative 3 and for consolidation at the Site under Alternative 6 and 7. Compared to Alternative 4, 5, or 8, this staging and loading could result in longer potential exposure to higher concentrations of COCs for workers, residents of the local neighborhoods, and to surface water.

### 6. Implementability

The six remaining alternatives can be implemented using generally available construction methods, equipment, and materials. However, there are several implementability issues that pertain to specific alternatives:

- Alternatives 3 through 8 require work in wetlands and the associated regulatory agency coordination and permitting.
- The regulatory permitting to treat and dispose of excavated OU5 soils off-site under Alternative 3 has greater administrative and logistical complexity than some of the other alternatives.
- Alternatives 4 and 5 would need significant flood plain mitigation. Alternatives 7 and 8 require flood plain mitigation as well, but less than required under Alternative 5.
- Alternative 8A would require coordination with off-site property owner(s) to obtain necessary flood plain mitigation. Alternative 8B would create flood plain within OU5 of the Site.
- Alternatives 6 and 7 would require coordination with the lessee of the developed portion of the Site and significant stormwater management changes due to the proposed filling of the Azelia Avenue Pond in Alternative 6 and the Building 1A Pond in Alternative 7.

## 7. Cost effectiveness

The estimated cost of Alternative 3 (\$68M) is significantly higher than those of Alternatives 5, 6, 7, and 8—which have similar total estimated cost (\$4.9M to \$5.9 M).

The table that follows illustrates whether the nine criteria are met or not met for each alternative.

### Summary of cleanup options

Option	Description of cleanup activity	Protects human health and the environment	Complies with federal, state, and local law	Long-term effectiveness and permanence	Reduces toxicity, mobility, or volume of contaminants	Short-term effectiveness (can be implemented promptly)	Implement- ability	Cost
1	Take no action, monitor the Site	Û	N/A	Û	Û	Û	Û	\$0.5M
2	Modify stormwater path	Û	ü	Û	Û	ü	ü	\$2.3M
3	Excavate, incinerate, and dispose of contaminated soils; modify stormwater path	ü	ü	ü	ü	û	û	\$68M
4	Cover area with clean soil	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	Û	\$15M
5	Consolidate & dispose excavated soils; mitigate flood plain	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	û	\$5M
6	Move contaminated soils to existing pond; cap with clean soil; construct new stormwater pond	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	û	\$5.9M
7	Consolidate & dispose excavated soils; implement extensive stormwater management changes	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	û	\$5.4M
8A	Consolidate & dispose excavated soils; create flood plain <u>off</u> property	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	\$5.4M
8B	Consolidate & dispose excavated soils; create flood plain <u>on</u> property	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	\$4.8M

## 9.0 Public input

The MPCA will present this Proposed Plan at a public meeting on April 12, 2017. There will be an open house beginning at 5:30 p.m., and a short formal presentation at 7:00 p.m. The meeting will be held at the Hennepin County Library, Brookdale Room ABC, located at 6125 Shingle Creek Parkway in Brooklyn Center, Minnesota 55430.

The MPCA will accept written public comments until May 5, 2017.

The MPCA will review these comments and make a final decision on the remedy selection, which will be documented in the ROD. The ROD will include a summary of significant comments, criticisms, and any new relevant information, along with MPCA staff response to those comments. Joslyn will then implement the selected remedy in accordance with the ROD.



Figure 1: Site location. Figure from: "Focused Feasibility Study – REVISION 3, Operable Unit 5 – West Area Soils, Joslyn manufacturing & Supply Co. Site, Brooklyn Center, Minnesota", Barr Engineering Co., January 2017.



Figure 2: Site map



Figure 3: West Area sample location map. Figure from: "Focused Feasibility Study – REVISION 3, Operable Unit 5 – West Area Soils, Joslyn manufacturing & Supply Co. Site, Brooklyn Center, Minnesota", Barr Engineering Co., January 2017.



Figure 4: Map of OU5 soil excavation areas



Figure 5: Alternate 8B Proposed Plan. Figure from: "Focused Feasibility Study – REVISION 3, Operable Unit 5 – West Area Soils, Joslyn manufacturing & Supply Co. Site, Brooklyn Center, Minnesota", Barr Engineering Co., January 2017.

### Table 1. Historic Soil Quality Data

		Location Date	WA-1 12/04/1998	WA-1 10/06/2000	WA1-2014-1 1/16/2014	WA1-2014-2 1/16/2014	WA-2 12/04/1998	WA-2 10/06/2000	WA-3 12/04/1998	WA-3 10/06/2000	WA-4 12/04/1998	WA-5 12/04/1998	WA-6MID 10/06/2000	WA-6N 10/06/2000	WA-68 10/06/2000	W 10/04	'A-8 6/2000	WA4-2014-1 (0-0.5) 1/16/2014	WA4-2014-2 (0-2.5) 1/16/2014	WA4-2014-3 (0-5.5) 1/16/2014
		Depth																0 - 0.5 ft	0 - 2.5 ft	0 - 5.5 ft
	San	npie Type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	FD	N	N	N
Parameter	Location	Units																		
General Parameters													24.8		21.4					
Carbon, total organic	Lab	%		4.97				1.31	-	2.90			24.0	2.51	21.4	1.32	1.08			-
pH Solids percent	Field	pH units %		7.85				7.99	-	7.43			6.21	7.58	6.01	7.32	7.28			
Solids, total	Lab	%	73		70.4	86.8	85		58	87.3	89	27	30.9	77.0	33.5	88.4	90.1	85.2	94.2	94.8
SVOCs																				
1,6-Dinitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg					-		-	-			-			-			-	-
1,8-Dinitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg			-	-		-				-	-							-
1-Nitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg						-					-	-						-
2-Nitrofluorene	Lab	mg/kg							-				-							
3-Methylcholanthrene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-			-			-	-						-	
4-Nitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg							-				-							-
5-Methylchrysene	Lab	mg/kg								-			-							-
5-Nitroacen apthene	Lab	mg/kg										-	-						-	-
6-Nitrochrysene	Lab	mg/kg											-							-
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg				-		-	-	-			-					-	-	
7h-Dibenzo(c.g)carbazole	Lab	mg/kg							-										-	
Benz(a)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	1.8		0.59	< 0.29	< 0.33		0.44	0.14	< 0.33	< 0.33	1.4	0.22	0.17	0.36	0.26	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
Benzo(a)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	2.8		0.65	< 0.29	0.36		< 0.33	0.17	0.42	< 0.33	2.3	0.24	0.56	0.37	0.29	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	7.2		1.2	0.36	0.90		2.4	0.48	0.78	< 0.33	6.1	0.6	0.94	0.6	0.45	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	2.1		0.40	< 0.29	0.34		0.76	0.28	< 0.33	< 0.33	2.7	0.24	0.43	0.41	0.32	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
Chrysene	Lab	mg/kg	2.6		1.2	< 0.29	0.34		1.0	0.33	0.41	< 0.33	2.7	0.31	0.48	0.59	0.43	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
Dibenz(a,h)acridine	Lab	mg/kg							-				-							
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.33		< 0.35	< 0.29	< 0.33	-	< 0.33	0.054	< 0.33	< 0.33	0.77	0.094	0.16	0.091	0.068	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
Dibenz(a,j)acridine	Lab	mg/kg		-				-	-				-						-	
Dibenzo(a,e)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg							-	-			-							-
Dibenzo(a,h)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg						-					-							-
Dibenzo(a,i)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg												-					-	-
Dibenzo(a,i)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg							-	-			-						-	
Indeno(1.2,3-od)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	0.65		0.52	< 0.29	< 0.33		< 0.33	0.24	< 0.33	< 0.33	11	1.1	0.71	0.57	0.42	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 0, 2002 PEFs	Calc	mg/kg			0.93	0.036		-	-									ND	ND	ND
B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 1/2, 2002 PEFs B(a)P Equivalent non-detects at 1/2, 2002 PEFs	Calc	mg/kg			1.0	0.31			-	-								0.29	0.27	0.26
2-Chloronaphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.33	-	< 0.35	< 0.29	< 0.33	-	< 0.33	< 0.005	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
2-Methylnaphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.33		< 0.35	< 0.29	< 0.33	-	7.2	0.022	< 0.33	< 0.33	0.26	< 0.005	0.11	0.033	0.007	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
Acenaphthene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.33		< 0.35	< 0.29	< 0.33		< 0.33	< 0.005	< 0.33	< 0.33	0.063	0.048	< 0.05	0.01	0.007	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
Acenaphthylene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.33		< 0.35	< 0.29	< 0.33		< 0.33	0.023	< 0.33	< 0.33	0.54	0.053	0.13	0.032	0.021	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26

		Location	WA-1	WA-1	WA1-2014-1	WA1-2014-2	WA-2	WA-2	WA-3	WA-3	WA-4	WA-5	WA-6MID	WA-6N	WA-6S	w	'A-8	WA4-2014-1 (0-0.5)	WA4-2014-2 (0-2.5)	WA4-2014-3 (0-5.5)
		Date	12/04/1998	10/06/2000	1/16/2014	1/16/2014	12/04/1998	10/06/2000	12/04/1998	10/06/2000	12/04/1998	12/04/1998	10/06/2000	10/06/2000	10/06/2000	10/04	6/2000	1/16/2014	1/16/2014	1/16/2014
		Depth																0 - 0.5 ft	0 - 2.5 ft	0 - 5.5 ft
	Sar	npie Type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	FD	N	N	N
	Analysis																			
Parameter	Location	Units																		
Anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	0.56		0.53	< 0.29	< 0.33		1.3	0.11	< 0.33	< 0.33	3.1	0.44	0.38	0.89	0.11	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
B(a)P Equivalent, 1999 PEFs	Lab	mg/kg	3.8				0.45		0.29	0.31	0.50	ND	4.9	0.53	0.91	0.62	0.47			
Benzo(e)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg				-	-	-	-				-							-
Benzo(g,h,l)perylene	Lab	mg/kg	0.67	-	0.52	< 0.29	< 0.33		< 0.33	0.15	< 0.33	< 0.33	3.1	0.49	0.38	0.32	0.26	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
Carbazole	Lab	mg/kg		-															-	-
Fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	4.1	-	1.3	< 0.29	< 0.33		< 0.33	0.2 b	< 0.33	< 0.33	3.6	0.55	0.29	0.64	0.46	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
Fluorene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.33	-	< 0.35	< 0.29	< 0.33		< 0.33	< 0.005	< 0.33	< 0.33	0.065	0.055	< 0.05	0.068	0.007	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
Naphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.33	-	< 0.35	< 0.29	< 0.33		< 0.33	0.012	< 0.33	< 0.33	0.2	< 0.005	0.061	0.025	0.006	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
Pentachiorophenol	Lab	mg/kg	4.2	-	< 2.2	2.2	2.3		880	39	< 0.33	< 0.33	120 e	0.72	120	0.83	0.71	< 1.8	< 1.6	< 1.6
Perylene	Lab	mg/kg		-			-	-					-							
Phenanthrene	Lab	mg/kg	1.5	-	0.59	< 0.29	< 0.33	-	3.2	0.066	< 0.33	< 0.33	0.85	0.16	0.14	0.23	0.09	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
Pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	5.1		1.2	< 0.29	0.41	-	6.1	0.33	< 0.33	< 0.33	3.6	0.48	0.49	0.62	0.43	< 0.29	< 0.27	< 0.26
Chlorinated Dioxins / Furans																				
2,3,7,8-Dioxin, tetra	Lab	ng/kg	7.8		5.56	2.65 EMPC	6.5		-	9.61	< 0.1	0.56 JEMPC	2000	7.18	430	1.41	2.76	0.443 EMPC	< 0.281	< 0.241
1,2,3,7,8-Dioxin, penta	Lab	ng/kg	63.4		52.3	24.8	51.7			256	0.44 JEMPC	4.2	29000	61.6	8000 e	15.3	22.1	1.64	0.337	< 0.191
1,2,3,4,7,8-Dioxin, nexa	Lab	ng/kg	177		231	94.2	218			561	1.6	12.1	180000	233	26000	381	72.1	4.79	0.738	< 0.198
1,2,3,6,7,8-Dioxin, nexa	Lab	ng/kg	1280	-	867	645	1000		-	10500	5.0	45.1	210000	627	110000	435	82.5	16.7	4.06 EMPC	< 0.203
1,2,3,7,8,9-Dioxin, nexa	Lab	ng/kg	302	-	620	247	4/5	-	-	1520	3.3	33.3	140000	326	28000	01.0	36.5	12.2	1.63	< 0.201
Dioxin_octa	Lab	ng/kg	267630.0		30200	388000	237280.0		-	465000	908	11330.0	7000000 el	117000	2300000 el	120000	102000	5390.0	1400	4.15
2.3.7.8 Dibertefuran fetra	Lab	ny/kg	26/630 6		301000	12.1	237200 0		-	463000	0.921	17 1500 0	1300 e	4.75	130	9.91	102000	3330 0	- 0.155	40.0
1.2.2.7.9.Diberzofuran, pena	Lab	ng/kg	42.0		27.0	12.1	20.3			6.31	0.52	1.7 JEMPO	10000	4.70	1000	62.2	70.5	1.171	= 0.100	+ 0.140
2.3.4.7.8.Dibenzofuran, penta	Lab	ng/kg	183		113	71.3	105			145	0.00	5.0	8600	60.8	1300	123	138	1.17	0.178 FMPC	< 0.102
1.2.3.4.7.8-Diberzofuran, perioa	Lab	ng/kg	1170		887	630	793			3050	371	33.0	79000	239	37000 A	458	554	10.7	0.935 EMPC	< 0.147
1.2.3.6.7.8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	341		202	121	214			1770	1.3	10.0	22000	101	8900 e	149	186 EMPC	3.92 EMPC	< 0.587	< 0.123
2.3.4.6.7.8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	no/ko	514		344	204	307			1440	2.0 IEMPC	16.2	12000	123	5200	1410	206	7.19	0.742 EMPC	< 0.139
1.2.3.7.8.9-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	na/ka	58.9		< 10.7	< 7.44	44.4 *			286	< 0.06	2.1  *	3600	110	500	302	197	< 0.811	< 0.982	< 0.209
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Dibenzofuran, hepta	Lab	ng/kg	9640 e		8560	7050	8500 e			101000	40.1	357	1100000 ej	4230	1200000 ej	4250	4740	183	79.0	1.28
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-Dibenzofuran, hepta	Lab	ng/kg	1110		504	421	686			7300	2.2	24.1	91000 J	286	88000 J	437	460	8.93	2.83 EMPC	< 0.314
Dibenzofuran, octa	Lab	ng/kg	41080 BQU		29400	35900	39420 e			618000	111	961	3400000 ej	17700	3200000 ej	12200	12800	749	413	5.07 j
TEQ or WHO05 non-detects at zero for the detection limit	Calc	ng/kg	1065.173	-		-	775.13			6182.317	4.5055	42.3523	157700	514.029	68733	571.707			-	
TEQ DF WHO05, non-detects at half of the detection limit	Calc	ng/kg	1065.173				775.13			6182.317	4.5585	42.3523	157700	514.029	68733	571.707				
Dioxin TEQ (by method 4425)	Lab	ng/kg							-										-	
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 0, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg			905	733 a												17.3 a	3.82 a	0.0680 a
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 0, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1/2)	Calc	ng/kg			905	731 a												16.8 a	3.50 a	0.068 a
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg			907	733 a	-											17.4 a	4.28 a	0.689 a
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1/2)	Calc	ng/kg			907	732 a												17.0 a	3.95 a	0.689 a
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1/2, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg			906	733 a		-	-							-		17.3 8	4.05 a	0.378 a

		Location	WA4-2014-3 (5.5-6)	WA4-2014-4 (0-4.5)	WA4-2014-4 (4.5-5)	WA4-2014-5 (0-0.5)	WA4-2014-5 (2-4.5)	WA4-2014-5 (4.5-5)	WA4-2014-6 (2-3.5)	WA4-2014-6 (3.5-4)	A-1	A-2	A-3 0-0.5*	A-3 0.5-1.5'	A-3 1	5-2.5	A-3 2.5-4'	A-4	В
		Date	1/16/2014	1/16/2014	1/16/2014	1/16/2014	1/16/2014	1/16/2014	1/16/2014	1/16/2014	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04	2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/
		Depth	5.5-6rt	0 - 4.5 ft	4.5-5 ft	0 - 0.5 ft	2 - 4.5 ft	4.5 - 5 ft	2 - 3.5 ft	3.5 - 4 ft									
	Sa Analysis	mpie iype	e N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	FD	N	N	N
Parameter	Location	Units																<b> </b> '	
General Parameters																	┝───┘	<b>├</b> ───'	
Carbon, total organic	Lab	%	-				-		-	-	0.44	5.08	9.63	3.36	0.51	0.61	0.65	5.38	6.05
pH Solids, percent	Field	pH units					-		-		7.27	7.06	6.82	7.01	7.26	7.58	7.27 85.5	7.31	63.2
Solids, total	Lab	%	91.4	94.2	97.5	80.7	93.1	91.5	95.1	76.3				-		-			
SVOCs																		<u> </u>	
1,6-Dinitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg							-	-	-	-		-		-		-	
1,8-Dinitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg					-		-	-	-	-	-	-				-	
1-Nitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg									-							-	
2-Nitrofluorene	Lab	mg/kg					-		-	-								-	
3-Methylcholanthrene	Lab	mg/kg							-	-				-				-	
4-Nitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-				-	-	-	-			-	-				-	
5-Methylchrysene	Lab	mg/kg								-				-		-		-	
5-Nitroacenapthene	Lab	mg/kg							-	-				-		-	-	-	
6-Nitrochrysene	Lab	mg/kg							-	-	-					-		-	
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg							-		-							-	
7h-Dibenzo(c,g)carbazole	Lab	mg/kg																-	
Benz(a)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.35	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 0.45
Benzo(a)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.36	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 2.3
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.35	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 2.3
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.36	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 2.3
Chrysene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.36	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 0.45
Dibenz(a,h)acridine	Lab	mg/kg	-				-	-	-	-	-							-	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.35	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 2.3
Dibenz(a,j)acridine	Lab	mg/kg	-				-			-								-	
Dibenzo(a,e)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg							-	-				-				-	
Dibenzo(a,h)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-			-	-		-	-				-				-	
Dibenzo(a,I)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-						-	-				-			-	-	
Dibenzo(a,I)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg							-	-				-		-		-	
Indeno(1.2,3-cd)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.36	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 2.3
B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 0, 2002 PEFs	Calc	mg/kg	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND								
B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 1/2, 2002 PEFs B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 1y, 2002 PEFs	Calc	mg/kg	0.28	0.27	0.26	0.31	0.33	0.33	0.26	0.33	0.35	0.41	0.6	0.46	0.39	0.33	0.38	0.47	
2-Chloronaphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.35	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 0.45
2-Methylnaphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.35	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 0.45
Acenaphthene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.35	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 0.45
Acenaphthylene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.35	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 0.45

		Location	MA4-2014-3 (5.5-6)	WA4-2014-4 (0-4.5)	WA4-2014-4 (4.5-5)	WA4-2014-5 (0-0.5)	WA4-2014-5 (2-4.5)	WA4-2014-5 (4.5-5)	WA4-2014-6 (2-3.5)	WA4-2014-6 (3.5-4)	A-1	A-2	A-3 0-0.5	A-3 0.5-1.5	A-3 1	.5-2.5'	A-3 2.5-4	A-4	B
		Date	e 1/16/2014	1/16/2014	1/16/2014	1/16/2014	1/16/2014	1/16/2014	1/16/2014	1/16/2014	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/	2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04
		Depti	h 5.5-61t	0 - 4.5 ft	4.5 - 5 ft	0 - 0.5 ft	2 - 4.5 ft	4.5 - 5 ft	2 - 3.5 ft	3.5 - 4 ft				1 1	1				
	Sa	mpie Type	e N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	FD	N	N	N
Parameter	Analysis Location	Units																	
Anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.36	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 0.45
B(a)P Equivalent, 1999 PEFs	Lab	mg/kg			-	-		-		-						-			
Benzo(e)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg		-		-	-	-	-	-									
Benzo(g,h,l)perylene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.35	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 2.3
Carbazole	Lab	mg/kg				-		-	-	-									
Fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.35	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	0.63	< 0.45
Fluorene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.35	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 0.45
Naphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.36	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 0.45
Pentachiorophenoi	Lab	mg/kg	< 1.7	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.9	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 1.6	< 2.0	< 2.2	< 2.6	< 3.7	< 2.8	< 2.4	< 2.0	18	< 2.9	69
Perylene	Lab	mg/kg		-		-	-	-	-	-									
Phenanthrene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	< 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.35	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	< 0.48	< 0.45
Pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.28	< 0.27	< 0.26	≪ 0.31	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.26	< 0.33	< 0.35	< 0.42	< 0.61	< 0.47	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 0.39	0.57	0.54
Chlorinated Dloxins / Furans																		1	
2,3,7,8-Dioxin, tetra	Lab	ng/kg	< 0.250	< 0.216	< 0.201	< 0.254	< 0.148	< 0.180	< 0.222	< 0.188									
1,2,3,7,8-Dioxin, penta	Lab	ng/kg	< 0.151	0.191 EMPC	< 0.163	1.53 ]	< 0.140	< 0.141	< 0.134	0.205 EMPC						-			
1,2,3,4,7,8-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	< 0.212	0.431 EMPC	< 0.156	3.39	< 0.303	< 0.198	< 0.153	0.367 j						-			
1,2,3,6,7,8-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	< 0.208	1.69 ]	< 0.153	13.2	0.780	0.450 EMPC	0.398 EMPC	2.07 j	-					-			
1,2,3,7,8,9-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	< 0.211	1.20 j	< 0.155	8.50	0.406 jb	< 0.202	0.377 EMPC	1.05 jb	-								
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Dioxin, hepta	Lab	ng/kg	1.87 J	50.3	0.622 J	393	16.1	8.29	5.62	74.5									
Dioxin, octa	Lab	ng/kg	19.8	498	4.50 Jb	3320	154	84.0	31.4	1050									
2,3,7,8-Dibenzofuran, tetra	Lab	ng/kg	< 0.154	< 0.123	< 0.107	< 0.750	< 0.0853	< 0.112	< 0.121	< 0.137									
1,2,3,7,8-Dibenzofuran, penta	Lab	ng/kg	< 0.148	< 0.128	< 0.137	1.09 EMPC	< 0.132	< 0.115	< 0.121	< 0.169									
2,3,4,7,8-Dibenzofuran, penta	Lab	ng/kg	< 0.144	0.274 EMPC	< 0.135	1.22 EMPC	< 0.141	< 0.121	< 0.121	< 0.172									
1,2,3,4,7,8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	< 0.112	1.52	< 0.144	10.5	0.673	0.375	< 0.145	1.86 j						-			
1,2,3,6,7,8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	< 0.0918	0.387	< 0.121	2.42 EMPC	0.217 j	< 0.145	< 0.119	0.502 EMPC						-			
2,3,4,6,7,8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	< 0.104	0.703 EMPC	< 0.136	5.09	0.374 EMPC	< 0.168	< 0.133	0.762 EMPC						-			
1,2,3,7,8,9-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	< 0.153	< 0.368	< 0.205	< 0.991	< 0.250	< 0.252	< 0.199	< 0.370									
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Dibenzofuran, hepta	Lab	ng/kg	0.759 EMPC	17.4	0.209 EMPC	141	6.74	3.29	1.40	28.0									
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-Dibenzofuran, hepta	Lab	ng/kg	< 0.327	1.26 ]	< 0.211	9.23	0.753 bEMPC	< 0.272	< 0.237	1.77 ]									
Dibenzofuran, octa	Lab	ng/kg	2.31	61.3	0.956 J	580	17.2	8.91	3.85 J	118									
TEQ or WHO05 non-detects at zero for the detection limit	Calc	ng/kg			-	-			-	-									
TEQ DF WHO05, non-detects at half of the detection limit	Calc	ng/kg			-		-	-	-	-									
Dioxin TEQ (by method 4425)	Lab	ng/kg									40 b	194	227	189	56 *	15 b*	106	229	13720
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 0, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg	0.0329 a	1.72 a	0.00860 a	13.0 a	0.484 a	0.226 a	0.158 a	2.15 a			-					-	
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 0, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1/2)	Calc	ng/kg	0.0291 a	1.53 a	0.00755 a	12.7 a	0.465 a	0.204 a	0.120 a	1.99 a									
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg	0.609 a	1.99 a	0.537 a	13.4 a	0.882 a	0.697 a	0.644 a	2.45 a				-	-			-	
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1/2)	Calc	ng/kg	0.606 a	1.80 a	0.536 a	13.1 a	0.864 a	0.675 a	0.605 a	2.28 a			-	-	-	-		-	
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1/2, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg	0.321 a	1.86 a	0.273 a	13.2 a	0.683 a	0.462 a	0.401 a	2.30 a	-					-			
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1/2, TEF 2005 (EMPC@1/2)	Calc	na/ka	0.317 a	1.66 a	0.272 a	12.9 a	0.665 a	0.439 a	0.362 a	2.14 a									

		Location Date Depth	-1 2003	B-1 2/02/2015	B-1 2/02/2015	B-1 2/02/2015	B-1 2/02/2015	B-2 2/04/2003	B-3 2/02/2015	B-3 2/02/2015	B-3 2/02/2015	B-3 0.5-1.5' 2/04/2003	B-3 0-0.5' 2/04/2003	B-3 1.5-2.5' 2/04/2003	B-3 2.5-4' 2/04/2003	B-4 2/04/2003	B-5 2/04/2003	C-1 2/04/2003	2/03	>-2 //2003	C-3 0-0.5' 2/04/2003	C-3 0.5-1.5' 2/04/2003	C-3 1.5-2.5' 2/04/2003	C-3 2.5-4' 2/04/2003	C-3 4/21/2003
	San	nple Type	FD	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	FD	N	N	N	N	N
Darameter	Analysis	Units																							
General Parameters	Location	Grinds																							
Carbon, total organic	Lab	%	5.52	3.81	2.47 h	0.553 h	0.124 h	3.03	43.7	42.2 h	11.3 h	21.2	22.8	31.3	42.3	13.9	5.34	10.5	2.79	2.87	25.8	24.3	38.2	40.4	
pH Callife assess	Fleid	pH units	7.47					6.69				6.34	6.65	6.24	6.22	5.93	7.37	6.87	5.95	6.09	6.07	6.24	6.03	6.13	
Solids, percent Solids, total	Lab	76 %	64./	80.5	74.0	82.3	83.6	70.1	22.1	19.0	27.6		4.10		23.5		73.5	44.4				20.0	20.5	21.7	
SVOCs																									
1,6-Dinitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg							-		-			-		-	-	-							< 50.0
1,8-Dinitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg									-					-								-	< 50.0
1-Nitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg								-	-	-				-	-							-	< 25.0
2-Nitrofluorene	Lab	mg/kg						-	-	-		-	-	-		-						-		-	< 25.0
3-Methylcholanthrene	Lab	mg/kg			-				-		-	-			-	-	-					-		- '	< 5.00
4-Nitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg						-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-		-			-	< 25.0
5-Methylchrysene	Lab	mg/kg								-	-					-	-							-	< 5.00
5-Nitroacenapthene	Lab	mg/kg							-		-			-	-	-	-							- '	< 25.0
6-Nitrochrysene	Lab	mg/kg									-	-				-	-							-	< 25.0
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg							-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-					-		-	< 5.00
7h-Dibenzo(c,g)carbazole	Lab	mg/kg			-				-	-	-	-			-	-		-						-	< 5.00
Benz(a)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.45					< 0.46	-		-	< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	< 0.70	< 0.51	< 0.36	< 3.0	1.7	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 5.00
Benzo(a)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.3					< 0.46	-		-	< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	< 7.0	< 0.51	< 0.36	3.3	2.5	< 1.6	< 1.6	7.26
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.3					< 0.46		-	1	2.6	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	< 7.0	0.65	0.58	14	11	< 1.6	< 1.6	8.10 c
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.3					< 0.46			-	< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	< 7.0	< 0.51	< 0.36	6.5	4.6	< 1.6	< 1.6	8.10 c
Chrysene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.45					< 0.46		-		< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	1.0	< 0.51	< 0.36	5.6	4.4	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 5.00
Dibenz(a,h)acridine	Lab	mg/kg										-					-								< 5.00
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.3					< 0.46	-	-		< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	< 7.0	< 0.51	< 0.36	< 3.0	1.8	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 5.00
Dibenz(a,j)acridine	Lab	mg/kg						-	-		-			-	-	-	-		-		-	-			< 5.00
Dibenzo(a,e)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg			-				-	-		-		-	-	-								-	< 25.0
Dibenzo(a,h)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg							-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-							-	< 25.0
Dibenzo(a,I)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg							-	-	-					-						-			< 25.0
Dibenzo(a,l)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg							-		-	-		-	-						-				< 25.0
Indeno(1,2,3-od)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.3					< 0.46	-		-	2.2	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	< 7.0	< 0.51	0.39	9.2	8.5	< 1.6	< 1.6	2.5 pp
B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 0, 2002 PEFs	Calc	mg/kg												-											
B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 1/2, 2002 PEPs B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 1x, 2002 PEFs	Calc	mg/kg mg/kg											-	-			-								
2-Chloronaphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.45					< 0.46				< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	< 0.70	< 0.51	< 0.36	< 3.0	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.6	
2-Methylnaphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.45					< 0.46				< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	< 0.70	< 0.51	< 0.36	< 3.0	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 5.00
Acenaphthene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.45					< 0.46	-			< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	< 0.70	< 0.51	< 0.36	< 3.0	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 5.00
Acenaphthylene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.45					< 0.46	-			< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	< 0.70	< 0.51	< 0.36	< 3.0	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 5.00

		Location	n-1	B-1	B-1	B-1	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-3	B-3	B-3 0.5-1.5'	B-3 0-0.5'	B-3 1.5-2.5	B-32.5-4	B-4	B-5	C-1	0	2-2	C-3 0-0.5	C-3 0.5-1.5	C-3 1.5-2.5	C-3 2.5-4	C-3
		Date	92003	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/04/2003	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/03	1/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	4/21/2003
		Dent		05.05	0.054		0.000		25.54											1					
				0.5-210	2-3.5 11	6.5 - 5 IL	3-1010		3.3 - 3 R	5-6.510	0.0-01														
	Sar	пріє туре	e FD	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	FD	N	N	N	N	N
Parameter	Location	Units																							
Anthraoene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.45					< 0.46	-	-	-	< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	< 0.70	< 0.51	< 0.36	< 3.0	2.4	< 1.6	< 1.6	8.92
B(a)P Equivalent, 1999 PEFs	Lab	mg/kg															-								
Benzo(e)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg					-					-	-		-	-	-								< 5.00
Benzo(g,h,l)perylene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.3		-		-	< 0.46	-		-	< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	2.0	< 0.39	< 7.0	< 0.51	0.38	8.4	7.8	< 1.6	< 1.6	5.06
Carbazole	Lab	mg/kg											-		-		-								2.5 pp
Fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.45					< 0.46				< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	1.1	< 0.51	< 0.36	4.6	3.6	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 5.00
Fluorene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.45					< 0.46				< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	< 0.70	< 0.51	< 0.36	< 3.0	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 5.00
Naphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.45				-	< 0.46				< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	< 0.70	< 0.51	< 0.36	< 3.0	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 5.00
Pentachlorophenol	Lab	mg/kg	69				-	8.1				51	71	59	< 8.4	< 4.4	< 2.4	76	< 3.1	< 2.2	55	62	13	< 9.3	450
Perylene	Lab	mg/kg			-				-		-	-			-	-			-			-			< 5.00
Phenanthrene	Lab	mg/kg	< 0.45		-			< 0.46			-	< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	< 0.70	< 0.51	< 0.36	< 3.0	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 5.00
Pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	0.56					< 0.46			-	< 2.0	< 8.1	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 0.73	< 0.39	1.6	< 0.51	< 0.36	5.8	4.8	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 5.00
Chlorinated Dioxins / Furans																									
2,3,7,8-Dioxin, tetra	Lab	ng/kg		5.88	0.575 EMPC	0.247 EMPC	< 0.307		< 0.572	4.59	< 0.592		< 1.0 h				-								
1,2,3,7,8-Dioxin, penta	Lab	ng/kg		149	17.9	5.77	< 0.773		14.5	41.4	< 0.755		3266.494 Jh				-								
1,2,3,4,7,8-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg		522	96.4	74.2	6.51		160	194	< 0.454		13807.604 Jh									-			
1,2,3,6,7,8-Dioxin, nexa	Lab	ng/xg		11900	4510 EMPC	4620	329		3430	1530	2.37 EMPC		34183.592 h									-			
1,2,3,7,8,9-Dioxin, nexa	Lab	ng/kg		10/0	232	437	101		306	336	< 0.435		35156,664 h									-			
Diavis, asta	Lab	ng/kg		455000 A	3050000 *	762000 *	289000 *		3730000	1430000 *	828		5282956.4 b												
2.3.7.8-Diberzofuran fetra	Lab	ng/kg		49.8	41.3	0.5291	< 0.280		< 0.622	< 0.910	c 1.64		<10h											<u> </u>	
1 2 3 7 8-Diberzofuran, nenta	Lab	ng/kg		316	322	2 39 EMPC	< 1.07		3.22	6 09 EMPC	< 0.379		< 2.5 h				-								
2.3.4.7.8-Dibenzofuran, penta	Lab	ng/kg		702	697	10.9	< 1.07		2.51	16.4 EMPC	< 0.368		< 2.5 h				-								
123478-Dibenzofuran hexa	Lab	ng/kg		4820	2230 EMPC	1040 *	16.8		443*	299 *	1.09 EMPC		13247 806 lb									-			
1.2.3.6.7.8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	na/ka		724	643	96.8	< 1.31		< 3.74	< 4.57	< 0.354		3687.053 lh												
2.3.4.6.7.8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg		1390	1210	383	9.31		197	133	< 0.372		8107.860 lh												
1,2,3,7,8,9-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg		957	1080	98.4	< 1.44		< 4.35	< 5.28	< 0.471		3505.404 Jh												
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Dibenzofuran, hepta	Lab	ng/kg		120000	39600	24100	1330		57800	23700	25.7 EMPC		394237.764 h												
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-Dibenzofuran, hepta	Lab	ng/kg		8990	3080	1260 EMPC	63.0		1800	911	< 0.812		17944.152 Jh												
Dibenzofuran, octa	Lab	ng/kg		3160000	479000 *	308000 *	9780 *		856000	264000 *	153		1609545.0 h												
TEQ or WHO05 I non-detects at zero for the detection limit	Calc	ng/kg															-								
TEQ DF WHO05, non-detects at haif of the detection limit	Calc	ng/kg											-												
Dioxin TEQ (by method 4425)	Lab	ng/kg	808 °					4270				76960	17290	13000	552	355	38 b	1425	222	174	5562	3003	756	766	
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 0, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg		13800	3950 a	2260 a	427 a		4360 a	2000 a	1.62 a		-				-								
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 0, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1/2)	Calc	ng/kg		13800	3620 a	2250 a	427 a		4360 a	2000 a	1.32 a		-												
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg		13800	3950 a	2260 a	429 a		4360 a	2000 a	3.47 a										-	-			
TODD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1/2)	Calc	ng/kg		13800	3620 a	2250 8	429 8		4360 a	2000 a	3.17 8														+ +
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1/2, TEP 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg		13800	3620 a	2260 a	426 a		4360 9	2000 a	2.55 a	-				-	-		-			-		<u> </u>	+ - +

		Location	C-3	C-3	C-3	C-3	C-4	C-5	C-5	C-5R	D-1	D	-1	D-1	D-1	D-1	D-2	D-3 0-0.5'	D-3 0.5-1.5	D-3 1.5-2.5'	D-3 2.5-4	D-4	D-5	E-1	E-2
		Date Depth	2/02/2015 3.5 - 5.ft	2/02/2015 5-65 ft	2/02/2015 6.5 - 9.ft	2/02/2015 9 - 10 ft	2/03/2003	2/03/2003	4/21/2003	4/21/2003	2/03/2003	4/21/	2003	2/02/2015 0.5 - 2.ft	2/02/2015 2 - 3.5 ft	2/02/2015 3.5 - 9.ft	2/03/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/03/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003
	Sa	mple Type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	FD	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Darameter	Analysis	Linits																							
General Parameters	Location	Crinto																							
Carbon, total organic	Lab	%	39.4	40.2 h	32.2 h	7.33 h	23.8	9.06		4.07	4.35			1.33	1.37 h	14.3 h	3.13	35.1	34.7	37.5	38.3	26.6	28.9	6.01	34.1
pH	Field	pH units					6.30	6.87		6.82	7.55						6.59	6.07	6.38	6.74	6.83	7.18	7.22	6.78	5.84
Solids, percent	Lab	%					31.8	78.6		81.5	83.8						75.0	18.6	26.5	25.3	23.7	29.4	14.9	47.6	7.19
SVOC5	Lau		22.1	17.0	10.7	33.3								67.0	04.2	33.3	-			-		-			
1,6-Dinitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg			-	-			< 20.0		-	< 20.0	< 20.0 < 20.0										-	-	
1,8-Dintropyrene	Lab	mg/kg			-	-	-	-	< 20.0		-	< 20.0	< 20.0 < 20.0					-			-	-	-	-	
1-Nitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	-	-	< 10.0			< 10.0	< 10.0 < 10.0					-					-	-	
2-Nitrofluorene	Lab	mg/kg			-	-			< 10.0			< 10.0	< 10.0 < 10.0											-	
3-Methylcholanthrene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	-	-	< 2.00			< 2.00	< 2.00 < 2.00	-	-		-				-	-		-	
4-Nitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg			-	-	-	-	< 10.0			< 10.0	< 10.0 < 10.0		-							-		-	
5-Methylchrysene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	-	-	41.9		-	ND pp	ND pp ND pp	-	-	-	-				-	-		-	
5-Nitroacenapthene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	-	-	< 10.0			< 10.0	< 10.0 < 10.0		-							-		-	
6-Nitrochrysene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	-	-	< 10.0			< 10.0	< 10.0 < 10.0		-						-	-		-	
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	-	-	< 2.00		-	< 2.00	< 2.00 < 2.00				-					-		-	
7h-Dibenzo(c,g)carbazole	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	-	-	< 2.00		-	< 2.00	< 2.00 < 2.00		-		-					-		-	
Benz(a)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	1.6	160	41.7	43	2.7	ND pp	ND pp ND pp				1.1	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	< 0.70	< 4.6
Benzo(a)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	3.4	240	57.5	80	1.7	2.09	ND pp 2.24		-		1.2	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	< 0.70	< 4.6
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	5.5	300	120 c	89	5.1	8.14 c	7.92 c 8.36 c		-		2.8	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	1.1	< 4.6
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	2.8	230	120 c	76	2.1	8.14 c	7.92 C 8.36 C		-		1.5	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	0.71	< 4.6
Chrysene	Lab	mg/kg			-	-	3.6	320	49.6	68	3.9	3.63	3.47 3.79				2.4	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	0.82	< 4.6
Dibenz(a,h)acridine	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	-	-	4.87	-		< 2.00	< 2.00 < 2.00	-	-	-	-				-	-		-	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	< 0.95	49	17.8	23	0.53	< 2.00	< 2.00 < 2.00		-		< 0.38	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	< 0.71	< 4.7
Dibenz(a,j)acridine	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	-	-	6.27			< 2.00	< 2.00		-							-		-	
Dibenzo(a,e)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	-	-	< 10.0			< 10.0	< 10.0									-		-	
Dibenzo(a,h)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg		-		-			23.8			< 10.0	< 10.0								-			-	
Dibenzo(a,I)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	-	-	< 10.0		-	< 10.0	< 10.0		-		-				-	-		-	
Dibenzo(a,i)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	-	-	42.5		-	< 10.0	< 10.0		-		-				-	-		-	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg		-	-	-	2.5	140	39.4	53	1.7	ND pp	ND pp		-		1.2	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	< 0.71	< 4.7
B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at U, 2002 PEPs B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 1/2, 2002 PEPs	Calc	mg/kg																				-		-	
B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 1x, 2002 PEFs	Calc	mg/kg		-	-	-	-	-							-					-	-	-			
2-Chloronaphthaiene	Lab	mg/kg					< 0.95	< 0.67		< 0.66	< 0.33						< 0.38	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	< 0.70	< 4.6
2-Methyinaphthalene	Lab	mg/kg			-	-	< 0.95	8.4	< 2.00	0.83	0.48	< 2.00	< 2.00 < 2.00				0.43	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	< 0.70	< 4.6
Acenaphthene	Lab	mg/kg				-	< 0.95	22	< 2.00	< 0.66	< 0.33	< 2.00	< 2.00 < 2.00				< 0.38	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	< 0.70	< 4.6
Acenaphthylene	Lab	mg/kg			-	-	< 0.95	2.2	7.02	1.1	< 0.33	< 2.00	< 2.00 < 2.00		-		< 0.38	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	< 0.70	< 4.6

		Location	C-3	C-3	C-3	C-3	C-4	C-5	C-5	C-5R	D-1	D	-1	D-1	D-1	D-1	D-2	D-3 0-0.5'	D-3 0.5-1.5	D-3 1.5-2.5"	D-3 2.5-4	D-4	D-5	E-1	E-2
		Date	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/03/2003	2/03/2003	4/21/2003	4/21/2003	2/03/2003	4/21	2003	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/03/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/04/2003	2/03/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003
		Donth			0.5.0.4		2.000.2000	1.00.2000			2.0012000			0.5.0.0	0.054		2100.2000	2.04.2000	2.042000	2.04.2000	2.042000	2100.2000			
		Depui	3.5 - 5 R	5-6.5 IL	6.5-3 IL	5-10 R								0.5 - 2 11	2-3.510	3.5 - 5 ft									
	Sar	mple Type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	FD	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Parameter	Analysis Location	Units																							
Anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	-	-		-	< 0.95	99	17.9	11	0.64	3.11	3.18 3.04	-			11	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	< 0.70	< 4.6
B(a)P Equivalent, 1999 PEFs	Lab	mg/kg																							
Benzo(e)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg		-			-		83.7			3.32	3.23 3.41				-			-		-	-	-	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	Lab	mg/kg		-			2.2	120	42.6	53	1.6	ND pp	ND pp 2.01		-		1.0	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	< 0.71	< 4.7
Carbazole	Lab	mg/kg		-					2.67			ND pp	ND pp ND pp		-					-		-	-	-	
Fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg		-			1.3	150	20.5	25	6.2	3.87	3.94				1.6	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	0.87	< 4.6
Fluorene	Lab	mg/kg					< 0.95	24	1.00 pp	0.89	< 0.33	< 2.00	< 2.00				0.71	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	< 0.70	< 4.6
Naphthalene	Lab	mg/kg		-			< 0.95	12	1.00 pp	2.0	0.53	< 2.00	< 2.00				< 0.38	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	< 0.70	< 4.6
Pentachlorophenol	Lab	mg/kg					< 5.8	< 4.1	< 10.0	< 4.0	77	227	238 215				23	9.2	< 5.7	< 6.5	< 14	< 5.1	< 14	7.5	< 28
Perylene	Lab	mg/kg							17.5			< 2.00	< 2.00									-		-	
Phenanthrene	Lab	mg/kg					< 0.95	110	6.86	4.7	1.6	2.69	2.65			-	1.9	< 1.6	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	< 0.70	< 4.6
Pyrene	Lab	mg/kg					1.8	180	51.0	48	9.1	5.09	5.16 5.03				2.2	2.1	< 0.94	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 0.84	< 2.3	0.92	< 4.6
Chlorinated Dloxins / Furans																									
2,3,7,8-Dioxin, tetra	Lab	ng/kg	< 0.156	2.04 EMPC	< 2.50	< 0.224								24.1	1.88 EMPC	< 0.824							< 1.0		
1,2,3,7,8-Dioxin, penta	Lab	ng/kg	11.8	104	29.4	0.268 EMPC			-					521	32.0	1.72 EMPC							0.628 J		
1,2,3,4,7,8-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	79.0	501	111	1.11 EMPC								1700	171	7.17							1.613 j		
1,2,3,6,7,8-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	6270	18500	1280	8.76								21400	2180	52.3						-	29.186		
1,2,3,7,8,9-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	385	1100	284	1.78 ]	-							4160	359	13.5 EMPC							5.946		
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Dioxin, hepta	Lab	ng/kg	290000	382000 *	73200	351								650000	52000 *	1860				-			1156.072		
Dioxin, octa	Lab	ng/kg	5390000	4450000 *	725000	3750								5200000	684000 *	25300 e				-			8082.694 eb		
2,3,7,8-Dibenzofuran, tetra	Lab	ng/kg	61.3	327	17.3 EMPC	< 0.291								201	5.36	< 0.863							1.208 JC		
1,2,3,7,8-Dibenzofuran, penta	Lab	ng/kg	411	2350	85.6	0.700	-				-			950	25.7	3.03 EMPC	-						1.623		
2,3,4,7,8-Dibenzoruran, penta	Lab	ng/kg	3.39	4770	200	1.22	-							3090	138	5.14	-						6.145		
1,2,3,4,7,8-Dibenzofuran, nexa	Lab	ng/kg	3630	20500	890	4.50	-	-	-					16800	1000	41.6		-	-	-	-	-	32./54		
1,2,3,6,7,6-Diberzofuran, nexa	Lab	ng/kg	1220	4370	205	1.45			-					5220	100	3.30 EMPC							4.254 JEMPC	_ <u> </u>	
1.2.3.7.8.0.Diberzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	1400	7660	288	171								4190	320	10.5							5.520		
1.2.3.4.6.7.8.Dibertofuran, hexa	Lab	ngika	141000	171000	200	101							-	151000	22700	547				-			333.079		
1,2,3,4,0,7,0-Dibertzolulari, nepta	Lab	ng/kg	5500	1000	1060	5.891			-				-	15400	2020	38.0						-	13 245		
Dibenzofuran, orta	Lab	ng/kg	1850000	1290000 *	147000	571								2800000	180000 *	2750							2018 758		
TEO WHOD5: non-detects at zero for the detection limit	Calc	ng/kg	1030000	1250000	14/000	371	-							2000000	100000	2/30							2010.750		
TEQ DF WHOOS non-detects at half of the detection limit	Calc	ng/kg							-																
Dioxin TEQ (by method 4425)	Lah	ng/kg					493	829		1126	9010						2850	7810	4920	222	223	448	25	5440	1624
TCDD Equivalent reporting limit at 0 TEE 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg	7940 a	15000 a	1790 a	8 74 a								17800	1540 a	51 a									1024
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 0, TEE 2005 (EMPC @ 1/2)	Calc	ng/kg	7940 a	15000 a	1790 a	8.55 a								17800	1540 a	49.8									
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg	7940 a	15000 a	1800 a	8,99 a								17800	1540 a	51.9 a							-		
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1/2)	Calc	ng/kg	7940 a	15000 a	1800 a	8.8 a								17800	1540 a	49.9 8				-				-	
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1/2, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/ka	7940 a	15000 a	1800 a	8.86 a					-			17800	1540 a	51.5 a				-					
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1/2, TEF 2005 (EMPC@1/2)	Calc	ng/kg	7940 a	15000 a	1790 a	8.67 a								17800	1540 a	49.4 a								-	

		Location	E-3	E-3	E-4	E-4	E-4	E-4	F-1	F-2	F-3	F-3	F-3	F-3	F-3	F	4	G-1	G-2	G-3 0-0.5'	G-3 0.5-1.5'	G-3 1.5-2.5'	G-3 2.5-4'	G-3 H-3	G-4 0-0.5"
		Date	1/20/2003	4/21/2003	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	1/20/	2003	1/20/2003	2/04/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	12/04/1998	1/21/2003
	Por	Depth Turns	м		3.5 - 5 ft	5-6.5 ft	6.5-9 ft	9-10 ft	N			2.5 - 4 ft	4 - 5.5 ft	5.5-9 ft	9-10 ft		50		N						
	Analysis	пріе туре	N	N	N	N	п	N	N	N	N	N	n	N	N	14	FU	N	n	N	n	N	n	N	IN .
Parameter Constal Parameters	Location	Units																							<u> </u>
Carbon tatal eranale	Lab	~	40.0			91 G b	0.01 b	0.01.6	4.00	0.70	99.1	11.0	47.9.b.	20 4 h	44.8.5	29.4		1.12	0.95	95.0	95.4	40.2	40.1		40.0
nH	Field	79 DH units	40.6		44.3	31.311	8.01 h	3.311	4.00	7.31	5.77	11.0	17.3 11	30.4 11	11.011	6.32	6 30	7.88	7.71	55.2	55.4 6.19	40.2	40.1		40.2
Solids, percent	Lab	%	16.4						79.1	55.5	8.67					9.64	10.3	83.5	85.2	7.43	7.72	11.8	13.2		8.05
Solids, total SVOCs	Lab	%			22.0	16.5	32.9	40.8	-			31.3	25.8	13.4	30.6					-				84	
1,6-Dinitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg		< 10.0				-				-	-	-				-		-					-
1,8-Dinitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 10.0	-			-	-			-	-	-	-			-		-		-	-		-
1-Nitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg		< 5.00									-	-	-										
2-Nitrofluorene	Lab	mg/kg		< 5.00					-				-	-	-				-	-			-		-
3-Methylcholanthrene	Lab	mg/kg		< 1.00	-							-		-	-									-	
4-Nitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 5.00	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5-Methylchrysene	Lab	mg/kg		< 1.00	-				-			-	-	-	-				-	-				-	
5-Nitroacenapthene	Lab	mg/kg		< 5.00	-			-	-	-	-	-	-		-			-	-	-	-				-
6-Nitrochrysene	Lab	mg/kg		< 5.00	-				-			-	-	-					-					-	
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg		< 1.00	-				-			-	-	-				-	-	-				-	-
7h-Dibenzo(c,g)carbazole	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.00	-				-			-						-		-					-
Benz(a)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	< 41	< 1.00							< 3.8		-	-		< 3.5	< 3.2	< 0.40	0.49	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	0.64	< 4.1
Benzo(a)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	< 41	< 1.00							< 3.8		-		-	< 3.5	< 3.2	< 0.40	0.47	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	1.0	< 4.1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	99	< 1.00	-				-	-	< 3.8		-			< 3.5	< 3.2	< 0.40	0.70	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	1.8	< 4.1
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	< 41	< 1.00					-	-	< 3.8		-	-		< 3.5	< 3.2	< 0.40	0.48	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	0.76	< 4.1
Chrysene	Lab	mg/kg	< 41	< 1.00	-				-		< 3.8		-	-		< 3.5	< 3.2	< 0.40	0.64	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	0.78	< 4.1
Dibenz(a,h)acridine	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.00	-			-	-	-		-	-					-	-	-					-
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	< 41	< 1.00						-	< 3.9		-	-		< 3.5	< 3.3	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	< 0.33	< 4.2
Dibenz(a,J)acridine	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.00	-			-	-		-	-	-	-					-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dibenzo(a,e)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 5.00					-			-							-						
Dibenzo(a,h)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg		< 5.00	-				-		-	-	-	-					-	-	-	-		-	
Dibenzo(a,I)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 5.00					-			-	-	-										-	-
Dibenzo(a,I)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 5.00	-				-	-	-	-	-	-					-	-					-
Indeno(1,2,3-od)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	97	< 1.00	-				-		< 3.9			-	-	< 3.5	< 3.3	< 0.40	0.37	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	< 0.33	< 4.2
B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 0, 2002 PEFs B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 1/2, 2002 PEFs	Calc	mg/kg mg/kg	-																						
B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 1x, 2002 PEFs	Calc	mg/kg	-						-																
2-Chioronaphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	< 8.1								< 3.8					< 3.5	< 3.2	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	< 0.33	< 4.1
2-Methylnaphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	< 8.1	< 1.00	-		-	-	-		< 3.8	-	-	-	-	< 3.5	< 3.2	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	< 0.33	< 4.1
Acenaphthene	Lab	mg/kg	< 8.1	< 1.00				-	-		< 3.8		-	-	-	< 3.5	< 3.2	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	< 0.33	< 4.1
Acenaphthylene	Lab	mg/kg	< 8.1	< 1.00	-			-	-		< 3.8					< 3.5	< 3.2	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	< 0.33	< 4.1

		Location	n E-3	E-3	E-4	E-4	E-4	E-4	F-1	F-2	F-3	F-3	F-3	F-3	F-3	F-4	L	G-1	G-2	G-3 0-0.5'	G-3 0.5-1.5"	G-3 1.5-2.5	G-3 2.5-4'	G-3 H-3	G-4 0-0.5"
		Date	e 1/20/2003	4/21/2003	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	2/02/2015	1/20/2	003	1/20/2003	2/04/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	12/04/1998	1/21/2003
		Denti	h		35.5#	5-05#	65.9#	9 . 10 #				25.4#	4.55#	55.9#	9-10.0										
					0.0-01	5-6.5 K	6.5-510	5-101				2.5-41	4-5.51	5.5-510	5-1010										1
	sar	тріе туре	e N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	PD	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Parameter	Location	Units																							
Anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	14	0.50 pp	-					-	< 3.8	-	-	-		< 3.5	< 3.2	< 0.40	0.69	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	< 0.33	< 4.1
B(a)P Equivalent, 1999 PEFs	Lab	mg/kg																							
Benzo(e)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.00	-				-			-		-	-			-	-		-	-			
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	Lab	mg/kg	100	< 1.00	-						< 3.9					< 3.5	< 3.3	< 0.40	0.34	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	< 0.33	< 4.2
Carbazole	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.00	-								-	-											-
Fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	35	< 1.00	-						< 3.8		-	-		< 3.5	< 3.2	< 0.40	0.97	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	0.91	< 4.1
Fluorene	Lab	mg/kg	< 8.1	< 1.00	-			-	-	-	< 3.8		-	-		< 3.5	< 3.2	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	< 0.33	< 4.1
Naphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	< 8.1	< 1.00	-						< 3.8	-	-	-		< 3.5	< 3.2	< 0.40	< 0.33	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	< 0.33	< 4.1
Pentachlorophenol	Lab	mg/kg	77	< 5.00					< 2.6	4.6	< 24		-	-		< 21	< 20	< 2.4	3.3	< 27	< 26	< 17	< 16	1.0	< 25
Perylene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.00								-	-	-											
Phenanthrene	Lab	mg/kg	< 8.1	< 1.00	-						< 3.8		-	-		< 3.5	< 3.2	< 0.40	0.94	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	< 0.33	< 4.1
Pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	74	< 1.00							< 3.8		-	-		< 3.5	< 3.2	< 0.40	1.0	< 4.5	< 4.3	< 2.8	< 2.5	1.1	< 4.1
Chlorinated Dioxins / Furans																	-								<u> </u>
2.3.7.8-Dioxin, tetra	Lab	na/ka	140.802		6,54	3.80 EMPC	47.2	< 1.47				21.6	26.5	17.7	< 0.298										
1.2.3.7.8-Dioxin, penta	Lab	ng/kg	2003.655		66.4	55.3	124	35.9 EMPC				119	190	98.3	0.850										
1,2,3,4,7,8-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	10035.896		164	275	31.6	184				538	547	265	0.826 EMPC										
1,2,3,6,7,8-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	69659.364		634	1050	279	2050				2560	2440	480	2.63 EMPC										
1,2,3,7,8,9-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	33422.315 b		487	407	54.1	168				995	800	301	1.37 J										
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Dioxin, hepta	Lab	ng/kg	2442188.8 eb		40500	55100 *	22500*	232000 *				122000	72000 *	11500	62.7										
Dioxin, octa	Lab	ng/kg	5830616.8 e		366000	397000 *	134000 *	2220000 *				1450000	602000 *	145000	685										
2,3,7,8-Dibenzofuran, tetra	Lab	ng/kg	81.296 J		6.36	< 2.91	40.7	< 1.17				27.0	28.9 EMPC	18.6 EMPC	< 0.312					-					
1,2,3,7,8-Dibenzofuran, penta	Lab	ng/kg	396.863 J		34.2	34.1	123	1.66 EMPC				75.4	88.3	61.2	1.01 EMPC					-					
2,3,4,7,8-Dibenzofuran, penta	Lab	ng/kg	187.590		25.8	75.2	2.66	< 3.02				179	237	136	0.732					-					
1,2,3,4,7,8-Dibenzoturan, nexa	Lab	ng/kg	10050.701 D		260	316	21.7	170*	-			720*	847	379	1.53										
1,2,3,6,7,6-Dibertzoluran, nexa	Lab	ng/kg	2324.535		12.5	01.5	12.1	30.3	-			330	230	106	0.044 EMPC			-							
1.2.3.7.8.9.Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	2274.637		144	85.2 *	- 4.22 °	54.0 < 31.5				358	258 *	162	1.00 j	-									
1,2,3,4,6,7 8.Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	642346 511 A		3730	7290	1350	12400 #				30700	17800	3150	167										
1 2 3 4 7 8 9 Dibenzofuran henta	Lah	ng/kg	23567.064		279	422	67.9	533+				1220	1270	298	2.021										
Dibenzofuran octa	Lab	na/ka	3021912 8 eb		29900	29300 EMPC*	9360 *	91700 *				228000	91300 *	7360	106										
TEQ or WHO05' non-detects at zero for the detection limit	Calc	na/ka																							
TEQ DF WHO05, non-detects at half of the detection limit	Calc	ng/kg																							
Dioxin TEQ (by method 4425)	Lab	na/ka	38110						64	440	2300					586	285	101	171	774	1791	94	64		270
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 0, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg	-		834	1070 a	509 a	3450 a	-			2840 a	1950 a	542 a	3.14 a				-			-			
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 0, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1/2)	Calc	ng/kg			834	1070 a	509 a	3430 a				2840 a	1940 a	541 a	2.91 a										
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/ka			834	1070 a	509 a	3450 a				2840 a	1950 a	542 a	3.47 a	-									
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1/2)	Calc	ng/kg			834	1070 a	509 a	3430 a				2840 a	1940 a	541 a	3.24 a	-									
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1/2, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg			834	1070 a	509 a	3450 a				2840 a	1950 a	542 a	3.3 a	-									
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1/2, TEF 2005 (EMPC@1/2)	Calc	ng/kg			834	1070 a	509 a	3430 a				2840 a	1940 a	541 a	3.07 a										

	G-4 0.5-1.5'	G-4 0.5-1.5'	G-4 1.5-2.5'	G-4 2.5-4	G-5 0-0.5	G-5 0.5-1.5'	G-5 1.5-2.5'	G-5 2.5-4'	H-1	H-2	H	-3	H-4		
	1/20/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	2/04/	2003	2/04/2003		
	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	FD	N		
Parameter	Analysis Location	Units													
General Parameters															
Carbon, total organic	Lab	%	-	31.7	32.0	4.33	35.3	30.0	10.8	4.70	0.96	5.16	2.61	2.46	6.45
pH Solids nercent	Field	pH units	-	6.26	6.53	7.16	7.05	7.19	7.38	7.43	7.43	7.00	7.39	7.27	9.98
Solids, total	Lab	%	-						-						
SVOCs															
1,6-Dinitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-			-	-		-	-		-			
1,8-Dinitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-			-	-		-	-		-		-	-
1-Nitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-							-		-			
2-Nitrofluorene	Lab	mg/kg	-				-			-		-			
3-Methylcholanthrene	Lab	mg/kg	-			-	-			-		-			
4-Nitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-				-			-		-		-	
5-Methylchrysene	Lab	mg/kg								-		-			
5-Nitroacenapthene	Lab	mg/kg	-				-			-		-			
6-Nitrochrysene	Lab	mg/kg	-						-	-		-			
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	-						-	-		-			
7h-Dibenzo(c,g)carbazole	Lab	mg/kg	-						-	-		-			
Benz(a)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 2.9	< 0.99	< 0.63	< 0.41	1.7	0.58	0.40	< 1.7
Benzo(a)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 2.9	< 0.99	< 0.63	0.43	< 5.0	< 1.7	< 1.7	< 1.7
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 2.9	< 0.99	< 0.63	< 0.41	< 5.0	< 1.7	< 1.7	< 1.7
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 2.9	< 0.99	< 0.63	< 0.41	< 5.0	< 1.7	< 1.7	< 1.7
Chrysene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 2.9	< 0.99	< 0.63	0.44	1.8	0.77	0.55	< 1.7
Dibenz(a,h)acridine	Lab	mg/kg	-				-			-		-			-
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 3.0	< 0.99	< 0.63	< 0.42	< 5.0	< 1.7	< 1.7	< 1.7
Dibenz(a,j)acridine	Lab	mg/kg	-						-	-		-			
Dibenzo(a,e)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-				-		-	-		-			
Dibenzo(a,h)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-				-		-	-		-		-	
Dibenzo(a,l)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-							-		-			
Dibenzo(a,I)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-							-		-			
Indeno(1,2,3-od)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 3.0	< 0.99	< 0.63	< 0.42	< 5.0	< 1.7	< 1.7	< 1.7
B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 0, 2002 PEFs B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 1/2, 2002 PEFs	Calc	mg/kg	-							-		-			
B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 1/2, 2002 PEFs	Calc	mg/kg	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-			
2-Chloronaphthalene	Lab	mg/kg		< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 2.9	< 0.99	< 0.63	< 0.41	< 0.50	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.33
2-Methylnaphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 2.9	< 0.99	< 0.63	< 0.41	< 0.50	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.33
Acenaphthene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 2.9	< 0.99	< 0.63	< 0.41	< 0.50	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.33
Acenaphthylene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 2.9	< 0.99	< 0.63	< 0.41	< 0.50	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.33

	G-4 0.5-1.5	G-4 0.5-1.5'	G-41.5-2.5	G-4 2.5-4	G-5 0-0.5	G-5 0.5-1.5'	G-5 1.5-2.5	G-5 2.5-4	H-1	H-2	н	-3	H-4		
		Date	1/20/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/21/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	2/04/	2003	2/04/2003
		Denth												_	
		Depui													
	Sar	npie Type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	FD	N
Parameter	Analysis Location	Units													
Anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 2.9	< 0.99	< 0.63	< 0.41	0.62	0.89	1.2	< 0.33
B(a)P Equivalent, 1999 PEFs	Lab	mg/kg		-											
Benzo(e)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	-	-											
Benzo(g.h.l)perylene	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 3.0	< 0.99	< 0.63	< 0.42	< 5.0	< 1.7	< 1.7	< 1.7
Carbazole	Lab	mg/kg							-						
Fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg		< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 2.9	< 0.99	< 0.63	0.47	3.0	1.2	0.68	< 0.33
Fluorene	Lab	mg/kg		< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 2.9	< 0.99	< 0.63	< 0.41	< 0.50	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.33
Naphthalene	Lab	mg/kg		< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 2.9	< 0.99	< 0.63	< 0.41	< 0.50	< 0.33	< 0.33	< 0.33
Pentachiorophenol	Lab	mg/kg	-	< 11	< 9.4	< 4.7	< 27	< 18	< 6.0	< 3.8	< 2.5	< 3.1	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
Perylene	Lab	mg/kg	-				-		-						
Phenanthrene	Lab	mg/kg		< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 2.9	< 0.99	< 0.63	< 0.41	2.7	1.2	0.63	< 0.33
Pyrene	Lab	mg/kg		< 1.7	< 1.6	< 0.77	< 4.5	< 2.9	< 0.99	< 0.63	0.71	3.5	1.7	1.2	< 1.7
Chlorinated Dioxins / Furans															
2.3.7.8-Dioxin. tetra	Lab	na/ka	< 1.0									< 1.0			
1.2.3.7.8-Dioxin. penta	Lab	na/ka	14,2061									11.183			
1.2.3.4.7.8-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	48.588									25.260			
1.2.3.6.7.8-Dioxin. hexa	Lab	ng/kg	246.881									295.504			
1.2.3.7.8.9-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	104.928									77.656			
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Dioxin, hepta	Lab	ng/kg	8658.012 b	-								7855.079			
Dioxin. octa	Lab	na/ka	61751.673 eb									61893.534 eb			
2.3.7.8-Dibenzofuran, tetra	Lab	na/ka	12,805 lc						-			39.043 lc			
1.2.3.7.8-Dibenzofuran, penta	Lab	na/ka	36,098						-			51,525		-	
2.3.4.7.8-Dibenzofuran, penta	Lab	ng/kg	21.062									42.979			
1.2.3.4.7.8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	na/ka	225.651 b									310.538			
1.2.3.6.7.8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	69.542									79.983			
2.3.4.6.7.8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	na/ka	105.359									133.655			
1.2.3.7.8.9-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	75,530									96.315 EMPC			
1.2.3.4.6.7.8-Dibenzofuran. hepta	Lab	na/ka	2426,956									2551.074			
1.2.3.4.7.8.9-Dibenzofuran. hepta	Lab	na/ka	169.898									229.146		-	
Dibenzofuran, octa	Lab	na/ka	6130.769 b									5278.028			
TEQ ~ WHO05 · non-detects at zero for the detection limit	Calc	na/ka	-						-						
TEQ DF WHO05, non-detects at half of the detection limit	Calc	ng/kg							-						
Dioxin TEQ (by method 4425)	Lab	na/ka		723	114	-5	523	850	643	28	102	306	62 *	42 b*	59
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 0, TEF 2005 (EMPC #0.1)	Calc	ng/kg				~			-			-			
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 0, TEE 2005 (EMPC 40 1/2)	Calc	ng/kg													
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1, TEE 2005 (EMPC (0, 1)	Calc	ng/kg													
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1, TEF 2006 (EMPC 40 1/2)	Calc	ng/kg	-									-			
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1/2, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg	-									-			
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1/2, TEF 2005 (EMPC@1/2)	Calc	ng/kg	-									-		-	

		Location	н	-5	1-1	I-2 0-0.5'	I-2 0.5-1.5'	I-2 1.5-2.5'	1-2 2.5-4	1-3	J-1	J-2	J-3
	1/20/2003		1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003		
	Depth												
	San	nple Type	N	FD	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Parameter	Location	Units											
General Parameters													
Carbon, total organic	Lab	%	38.3	34.5	39.2	39.4	37.9	38.4	42.5	38.6	39.4	38.3	39.3
pH	Field	pH units	6.06	6.07	6.03	6.25	5.89	6.44	6.53	6.29	5.90	5.90	6.22
Solids, percent Solids, total	Lab	%	11.8	12.6	5.68	4.90	7.30	10.6	9.09	10.5	7.49	6.22	15.8
SVOC5	Lau	<i>.</i> /e			-		-					-	
1,6-Dinitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg					-					-	
1,8-Dinitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg			-		-					-	
1-Nitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg			-		-					-	
2-Nitrofluorene	Lab	mg/kg			-		-					-	
3-Methylcholanthrene	Lab	mg/kg			-				-	-		-	-
4-Nitropyrene	Lab	mg/kg			-					-		-	-
5-Methylchrysene	Lab	mg/kg			-	-		-	-		-	-	-
5-Nitroacenapthene	Lab	mg/kg			-							-	
6-Nitrochrysene	Lab	mg/kg					-		-	-		-	-
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg			-			-	-			-	-
7h-Dibenzo(c,g)carbazole	Lab	mg/kg			-				-			-	-
Benz(a)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.3	< 2.1
Benzo(a)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.3	< 2.1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.3	< 2.1
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.3	< 2.1
Chrysene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.3	< 2.1
Dibenz(a,h)acridine	Lab	mg/kg			-				-			-	-
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.9	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.4	< 2.2
Dibenz(a,j)aoridine	Lab	mg/kg			-				-			-	
Dibenzo(a,e)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg			-		-		-	-		-	-
Dibenzo(a,h)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg			-				-	-		-	-
Dibenzo(a,l)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg			-				-			-	
Dibenzo(a,l)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg			-				-			-	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.9	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.4	< 2.2
B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 0, 2002 PEFs B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 1/2, 2002 PEFs	Calc	mg/kg			-				-				
B(a)P Equivalent, non-detects at 1x, 2002 PEFs	Calc	mg/kg			-				-			-	
2-Chloronaphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.3	< 2.1
2-Methylnaphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.3	< 2.1
Acenaphthene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.3	< 2.1
Acenaphthylene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.3	< 2.1

	H	-5	1-1	1-2 0-0.5	I-2 0.5-1.5	I-2 1.5-2.5'	1-2 2.5-4"	1-3	J-1	J-2	J-3		
		Date	1/20	/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003	1/20/2003
		Depth			t								
		ania Tuna		1 ===									
	Analysis						N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Parameter	Location	Units											
Anthracene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.3	< 2.1
B(a)P Equivalent, 1999 PEFs	Lab	mg/kg											
Benzo(e)pyrene	Lab	mg/kg			-	-			-				-
Benzo(g,h,l)perylene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.9	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	≤ 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.4	< 2.2
Carbazole	Lab	mg/kg							-			-	-
Fluoranthene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.3	< 2.1
Fluorene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.3	< 2.1
Naphthalene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.3	< 2.1
Pentachlorophenol	Lab	mg/kg	< 17	< 16	< 36	< 41	< 28	< 19	< 23	< 20	< 27	< 33	< 13
Perylene	Lab	mg/kg							-			-	
Phenanthrene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.3	< 2.1
Pyrene	Lab	mg/kg	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 5.9	< 6.8	< 4.6	< 3.2	< 3.7	< 3.2	< 4.5	< 5.3	< 2.1
Chlorinated Dloxins / Furans													
2,3,7,8-Dioxin, tetra	Lab	ng/kg			< 1.0							1.013 JEMPC	
1,2,3,7,8-Dioxin, penta	Lab	ng/kg			< 2.5				-			4.348 J	
1,2,3,4,7,8-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg			44.231 ]							10.716 J	
1,2,3,6,7,8-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg			184.018 J							45.538	
1,2,3,7,8,9-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg			62.073 JEMPC							27.005	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Dioxin, hepta	Lab	ng/kg			7180.028 eb							1272.648	
Dioxin, octa	Lab	na/ka			37177.779 b							7284.551 eb	
2.3.7.8-Dibenzofuran, tetra	Lab	ng/kg			< 1.0							5.352 lc	
1.2.3.7.8-Dibenzofuran, penta	Lab	na/ka			< 2.5					-		6.5761	
2.3.4.7.8-Dibenzofuran, penta	Lab	na/ka			< 2.5					-		6.918 IEMPC	
1.2.3.4.7.8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	na/ka			106.487 lb							39,183	
1.2.3.6.7.8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	na/ka			31.46							11.4191	
2.3.4.6.7.8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	na/ka			42.957 IEMPC				-			18.091	
1.2.3.7.8.9-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg			11,995							8.0591	
1.2.3.4.6.7.8-Dibenzofuran, hepta	Lab	na/ka			1490.469							358.658	
1 2 3 4 7 8 9-Dibenzofuran, benta	Lab	na/ka			67 155							27 197 EMPC	
Dibenzofuran octa	Lab	naka			6414 033 h							976 888	
TEQ == WHOD5 : non-detects at zero for the detection limit	Calc	ng/kg										-	
TEO DE WHO05 non-detects at half of the detection limit	Calc	ng/kg			-	-							
Dioxin TEO (by method 4425)	Lab	ng/kg	534	465	1921	<44	689	145	181	35	17	252	123
TODD Equivalent reporting limit at 0. TEE 2005 (EMOC 49.4)	Calc	ng/kg											
TODD Equivalent, reporting limit at 0, TEF 2008 (EMPC @ 1)	Calo	ng/kg	-	-	-	-			-	-			
TODD Equivalent, reporting limit at 0, TEP 2006 (EMPC @ 1/2)	Calc	ng/kg			-		~	,	-				
TODD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg			-				-			-	
TODD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1, TEP 2005 (EMPC @ 1/2)	Calc	ng/kg				-			-	-		-	
TODD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1/2, TEF 2005 (EMPC @ 1)	Calc	ng/kg			-	-			-	-			
I CDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1/2, TEF 2005 (EMPC@1/2)	calc	ng/kg			-				-				

### Table 2. Historic Soil Quality Data – Southern Lots and Roadway

#### Historic Soil Quality Data - Southern Lots and Roadway Joslyn Manufacturing and Supply Company Brooklyn Center, Minnesota

Locati		ocation	RE\$1-SI1	RES1-SI2	RES	1-813	RE\$2-\$I1	RE\$2-\$I3	RE\$2-\$I4	SA1-Comp	SA2-Comp	SA3-COMP	SA4-COMP	\$A5-Comp	SA6-Comp	SA7-Comp	T1-Comp	T2-Comp	T3-Comp	T4-1
		Date	3/04/2005	3/04/2005	3/04	3/04/2005		3/04/2005	3/04/2005	9/02/2004	9/02/2004	9/02/2004	9/02/2004	9/02/2004	9/02/2004	9/02/2004	07/29/2009	07/29/2009	07/29/2009	07/29/2009
		Depth	0 - 0.5 ft				0 - 0.5 ft	0.5 - 1.5 ft	1.5 -4 ft								0-4 ft	0-4 ft	0-4 ft	0-4 ft
	Samp	іе Туре	N	N	N	FD	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Parameter	Analysis Location	Units																		
General Parameters																				
Carbon, total organic	Lab	%	-		-			-							-	-	19.3	7.15	5.75	28.8
Solids, total	Lab	%								82.5	80.6	75.2 h	68.1 h		-					
Herbicides																				
Pentachlorophenol	Lab	mg/kg	-							< 0.0061	< 0.0062	< 0.0067 h	< 0.0073 h				-	-		-
Chlorinated Dioxins / Furans																				
2,3,7,8-Dioxin, tetra	Lab	ng/kg	< 1.0	< 1.0	2.725 EMPC	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 0.992	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 0.019 h	< 0.057 h	< 1.0	< 1.0	0.165 JEMPC	2.26	0.913 J	0.610 JEMPC	< 0.167
1,2,3,7,8-Dioxin, penta	Lab	ng/kg	0.642 j	1.956 J	4.333	5.957	< 2.5	< 2.5	< 2.481	< 2.5	< 2.5	0.280 Jh	< 0.035 h	0.214 J	0.179 JEMPC	0.317 j	10.8	8.07	4.47	3.57 J
1,2,3,4,7,8-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	1.944 j	7.1940	77.327	102.864	0.232 J	0.285 j	< 2.481	< 2.5	< 2.5	0.471 JhEMPC	0.078 Jh	0.455 J	0.313 JEMPC	0.347 JEMPC	34.7	26.7	12.6	5.78
1,2,3,6,7,8-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	7.813	62.854	390.921	719.823	0.660 J	0.911 j	2.830 J	< 2.5	< 2.5	1.277 Jh	0.306 Jh	1.255 J	0.948 J	1.206 J	794 e	471	108	169
1,2,3,7,8,9-Dioxin, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	5.529	25.329	58.555	122.937	0.490 j	0.708 j	< 2.481	< 2.5	< 2.5	1.134 Jh	0.224 JhEMPC	1.128 J	1.003 J	1.227 J	123	83.6	35.1	25.4
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Dioxin, hepta	Lab	ng/kg	248.611	2656.809	16540.965	36059.420	21.136	29.226	145.291	132.713	46.878	39.439 bh	7.937 bh	35.232	27.327	29.941	32900	17300	5360	10100
Dioxin, octa	Lab	ng/kg	1843.382 eb	19942.814 eb	271822.016 eb	570865.629 eb	145.517 b	193.481 b	1002.516 eb	1073.116 e	341.913	280.959 bh	49.565 bh	294.493	244.150	228.059	234000 e	132000	44700	97400
2,3,7,8-Dibenzofuran, tetra	Lab	ng/kg	< 1.0	7.499 c	6.097 c	6.274 c	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 0.992	< 1.0	< 1.0	0.425 ch	< 0.058 h	< 0.443	< 0.350	< 0.464	1.49 EMPC	4.26	0.772 J	< 0.558
1,2,3,7,8-Dibenzofuran, penta	Lab	ng/kg	< 2.5	0.969 J	33.480	37.517	< 2.5	< 2.5	< 2.481	< 2.5	< 2.5	0.149 Jh	< 0.047 h	0.158 j	< 2.5	< 2.5	5.34 P	11.8 P	2.12 JEMPC	1.67 J EMPCP
2,3,4,7,8-Dibenzofuran, penta	Lab	ng/kg	< 2.5	1.930 J	27.610	31.845	< 2.5	< 2.5	< 2.481	< 2.5	< 2.5	0.276 Jh	< 0.044 h	0.284 J	0.342 J	0.420 j	6.18	10.6	1.52 J	0.471 J
1,2,3,4,7,8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	2.945 JEMPC	11.841	246.71	300.766	0.464 J	0.458 j	0.572 JEMPC	< 2.5	< 2.5	0.998 jbh	0.218 jbh	0.635 JEMPC	0.563 JEMPC	0.605 j	170	154 P	22.5	28
1,2,3,6,7,8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	0.923 JEMPC	3.356	47.883	60.483	< 2.5	< 2.5	< 2.481	< 2.5	< 2.5	0.430 Jh	0.120 Jh	0.521 J	0.395 JEMPC	0.654 j	27.7	36.5	5.82	4.64 J
1,2,3,7,8,9-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	< 2.5	< 2.5	< 2.5	15.142	< 2.5	< 2.5	< 2.481	< 2.5	< 2.5	< 0.120 h	< 0.022 h	< 2.5	< 2.5	< 2.5	4.38 P	10.2 P	2.15 J	< 1.37
2,3,4,6,7,8-Dibenzofuran, hexa	Lab	ng/kg	2.278 j	2.871	64.604	48.965	< 2.5	< 2.5	< 2.481	< 2.5	< 2.5	0.490 Jh	0.191 Jh	1.093 j	1.000 J	1.611 J	70	66.3 P	14.1	13.7
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Dibenzofuran, hepta	Lab	ng/kg	73.351	441.851	4050.639	6750.237	4.831	6.755	37.458	23.134	8.163	10.748 bh	1.736 jbh	14.027	9.191	10.379	7540	4310	1120	1880
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-Dibenzofuran, hepta	Lab	ng/kg	5.477	37.704	311.2	524.085	0.469 j	0.486 JEMPC	< 2.481	< 2.5	< 2.5	0.958 Jh	0.159 Jh	0.677 J	0.581 J	0.512 j	529	331	71.2	119
Dibenzofuran, octa	Lab	ng/kg	360.604	2142.915	20242.349 e	42579.379	15.455	22.554	250.824	98.848	32.620	35.586 h	5.404 h	49.653	31.620	41.648	63000	32400	7640	15400
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 0, TEF 2005	Calc	ng/kg	6.53 a	52.6 a	401 a	772 a	0.497 a	0.663 a	2.52 a	1.91 a	0.663	1.43 a	0.196 a	1.38 a	1.00 a	1.56 a	639	367	107	183
TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 1/2, TEF 2005	Calc	ng/kg	7.61 a	53.3 a	401 a	772 a	3.08 a	3.25 a	5.34 a	5.01 a	3.76	2.07 a	2.53 a	2.03 a	1.68 a	1.75 a	639	367	107	183

### Barr Standard Footnotes and Qualifiers (Historical)

-	Not analyzed/Not available.
N	Sample Type: Normal
FD	Sample Type: Field Duplicate
a	Estimated value, calculated using some or all values that are estimates.
b	Potential false positive value based on blank data validation procedures.
с	Coeluting compound.
e	Estimated value, exceeded the instrument calibration range.
h	EPA recommended sample preservation, extraction or analysis holding time was exceeded.
j	Reported value is less than the stated laboratory quantitation limit and is considered an estimated value.
р	Relative percent difference is >40% (25% CLP pesticides) between primary and confirmation GC columns.
EMPC	Estimated maximum possible concentration.

### Minnesota Soil Reference Values

	DI	Value represents a criteria for 2,3,7,8-TCDD or 2,3,7,8-TCDD equivalents.
--	----	---

### Table 3. Soil Boring Matrix

### Soil Boring Matrix with TCDD-TEQ and TOC Results

Additional Soil Characterization, Operable Unit 5 Joslyn Manufacturing Supply Co. Brooklyn Center, Minnesota

				Soil Sampling Results										
Boring Location	Sample Date	Planned Excavation Depth	Boring Depth (feet, bgs)	Sample Intervals	TCDD-TEQ** (ng/kg)	TOC (%)	ALS Lab Report #	Date Analyzed						
				0.5 - 2	13,800	3.81	K1501105	2/18/2015						
B-1	2/2/2015	0*	10	2 - 3.5	3,950	2.47	K1501100	3/18/2015						
5-1	21212013	0	10	6.5 - 9	2,260	0.553	K1501100	3/18/2015						
				9 - 10	427	0.124	K1501100	3/18/2015						
				3.5 - 5	4,360	43.7	K1501105	2/18/2015						
B-3	2/2/2015	3.5	10	5 - 6.5	2,000	42.2	K1501100	3/18/2015						
				6.5 - 9	1.62	11.3	K1503395	4/11/2015						
				3.5 - 5	7,940	39.4	K1501105	2/20/2015						
C-3	2/2/2015	3.5	10	5 - 6.5	15,000	40.2	K1501100	3/31/2015						
0-5	2/2/2013	5.5	10	6.5 - 9	1,790	32.2	K1503395	4/11/2015						
				9 - 10	8.74	7.33	K1504931	5/19/2015						
				0.5 - 2	17,800	1.33	K1501105	2/18/2015						
D-1	2/2/2015	0*	10	2 - 3.5	1,540	1.37	K1501100	3/31/2015						
				3.5 - 9	51	14.3	K1503395	4/11/2015						
				3.5 - 5	834	44.3	K1501105	2/18/2015						
E-4	2/2/2015	3.5	10	5 - 6.5	1,070	31.9	K1501100	3/31/2015						
24	21212013	0.0	10	6.5 - 9	509	8.01	K1501100	3/31/2015						
				9 - 10	3,450	3.91	K1501100	3/31/2015						
				2.5 - 4	2,840	11	K1501105	2/18/2015						
F-3	2/2/2015	2.5	10	4 - 5.5	1,950	17.9	K1501100	3/31/2015						
1-5	21212013	2.0	10	5.5 - 9	542	38.4	K1503395	4/11/2015						
				9 - 10	3.14	11.8	K1504931	5/19/2015						

\* locations B-1 and D-1 will be covered as part of the recommended alternative

\*\* TCDD Equivalent, reporting limit at 0, TEF 2005 (estimated maximum possible concentration @ 1)

PRG Classification	Applicable site sub- areas	Media	COCs	Units	Value	Sources
Industrial worker	West Area	Soil	Dioxins	ng TCDD- TEQ/kg (ppt)	35	MPCA, 1999; MPCA, 2005a
receptor SRV			cPAHs	mg B[a]P- equivalents/kg (ppm)	3	MPCA, 1999; MPCA, 2005a
			PCP	mg/kg (ppm)	120	MPCA, 1999; MPCA, 2005a
Resident receptor SRV	Southern Lots	Soil	Dioxins	ng TCDD- TEQ/kg (ppt)	20	MPCA, 1999
Recreational use receptor SSV	Middle Twin Lake	Sediment	Dioxins	ng TCDD- TEQ/kg (ppt)	50	MPCA, 2006b
Aquatic and terrestrial	OU5, Middle Twin	Soil, sediment	Dioxins	ng TCDD- TEQ/kg (ppt)	11.2	CCME, 2002; MPCA, 2005a
ecological receptor*	Lake		cPAHs	mg B[a]P- equivalents/kg (ppm)	12.2	Crane et al., 2000; MPCA, 2005a
			PCP	mg/kg (ppm)	0.785	MPCA, 2005a

### Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRGs) Joslyn Manufacturing & Supply Co. Brooklyn Center, Minnesota

\*Aquatic sediment quality values are assumed to be applicable as terrestrial values, given the paucity of OU5 surface area above the OHWL

TCDD-TEQ = tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin [TCDD] Toxicity Equivalency Quotient [TEQ], or TCDD-TEQ

SRV = Soil Reference Value

SSV = Sediment Screening Value

B[a]P = Benzo(a)pyrene

Ppt = parts per trillion

Ppm = parts per million