

Pollution Control Agency | Department of Health

Intrusion Screening Values

Technical Support Document – October 2024

Intrusion Screening Values (ISVs) are chemical-specific, risk-based inhalation screening criteria for volatile compounds commonly evaluated during vapor intrusion investigations. An ISV is defined as a concentration of a contaminant in indoor air that is unlikely to harm human health. The ISVs are used to screen out buildings unlikely to pose a health concern due to the vapor intrusion pathway, as well as determine when action may be needed to protect health.

The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) and the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) cooperatively produced this technical support document.

The ISVs are located in a separate spreadsheet on the MPCA website.

I. ISV calculation

The ISVs are calculated based on U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Superfund risk assessment methodology (EPA, 2024a) and default parameters (EPA, 2014) that represent the highest exposure reasonably likely to occur. These exposure parameters are combined with toxicity values to calculate the ISVs in micrograms per cubic meter (μ g/m³). The following points are described in more detail in this document.

- Two ISVs one to evaluate cancer risks and one to evaluate noncancer chronic risks are derived when toxicity data are available. The lowest of either the cancer or noncancer ISV is selected as the final value. ISVs based on acute toxicity values are also considered and recommended in some cases.
- ISVs are calculated for residential and commercial/industrial exposure scenarios.
- Expedited intrusion screening values (EISVs) are calculated to help determine when expedited action may be needed to protect health.
- Screening values for soil gas and sub-slab vapor results are calculated by applying an attenuation factor (33X ISVs, 33X EISVs).

A. Cancer and noncancer risk characterization

Cancer risks are characterized using an excess lifetime cancer risk, representing the incremental probability that an individual will develop cancer over a lifetime due to exposure to a carcinogen. Minnesota's long-standing public health policy is to derive values that limit excess cancer risk to 1 in 100,000 (10^{-5}) as a negligible, or acceptable, additional lifetime risk from exposure to carcinogens. The risk is a mathematical approximation of the likelihood of occurrence of cancer — it does not equate to actual increased cases of cancer. The true risk of cancer due to exposure to a chemical at an ISV could be zero.

Noncancer risks use a hazard quotient (HQ), the ratio of the exposure concentration over a concentration where no adverse health impacts are expected. An HQ of 1 means adverse noncancer effects are unlikely, and it is used to derive the ISV. For HQs greater than 1, the potential for adverse effects increases, although by how much is unknown.

B. Toxicity values

As the equations in Section C show, the ISV calculations for cancer are based on the inhalation unit risk (IUR) – the upper bound excess cancer risk from a continuous lifetime exposure to a chemical, which is derived from toxicological studies. For some chemicals, IURs were available, but were not used to calculate a cancer ISV due to professional judgment about the acceptability of the data, including considerations such as poor data quality, weak evidence of carcinogenicity, etc.

In contrast, chronic reference concentrations (RfCs) are the basis of the noncancer ISVs calculations. The RfCs are also derived from toxicological studies and are defined by EPA as "an estimate, with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude, of a continuous inhalation exposure to the human population, including sensitive subgroups, that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime." MDH chose to use less-then-chronic duration RfCs to calculate ISVs when chemicals lacked acceptable chronic RfCs. The shorter duration values were considered acceptable for vapor intrusion screening. They are indicated by footnotes in the ISV table.

Acute RfCs that are lower than the ISVs/EISVs have been considered in place of the ISVs/EISVs. Acute RfCs are concentrations that are likely to be without risk of non-cancer health effects over a short exposure duration. MDH has identified, reviewed, and made a determination on those select acute toxicity values. The chemicals in the table below have acute values that have replaced the indicated ISV. The acute RfCs are not adjusted for an exposure scenario (because they are based on studies with an exposure of hours to days) so a single acute value may be used across the ISV table (residential, commercial/industrial, and expedited). Action is needed to reduce exposure if air concentrations are near the acute values in indoor air, but it may not be considered urgent because they are safe levels, not effect levels, with factors built into the calculation to ensure safety. Contact MDH for assistance with interpretation of health risks.

Contaminant	Acute value replaces these values:
Acetone*	Res ISV and EISV; C/I ISV and EISV
Benzene	C/I EISV
Chloroform	Res EISV, C/I ISV and EISV
MEK	Res ISV and EISV; C/I ISV and EISV
Methylene chloride	C/I ISV and EISV
Toluene	Res EISV, C/I ISV and EISV
1,1,1-TCA	Res EISV, C/I ISV and EISV
Vinyl acetate	C/I ISV and EISV

*An acute toxicity value is the only value available. Res = residential, C/I = commercial/industrial

The sources of toxicity values used to develop the ISVs are MDH, EPA Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), EPA's Provisional Peer Reviewed Toxicity Values (PPRTVs), California Environmental Protection Agency, and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). The MDH used professional judgment to select the highest quality toxicity values available. Selected toxicity values are expected to change over time with the addition of new MDH chemical reviews and other published values, which may result from additional study data or new data assessment methodologies. Toxicity values selected are included in the ISV spreadsheet. For chemicals that do not commonly drive risk decisions at vapor intrusion sites, it may be appropriate to request further consideration of toxicity values from MDH if they affect site decisions.

Incorporating early-life sensitivity for carcinogens

The EPA recommends combining age-dependent adjustment factors (ADAFs) with the cancer toxicity values to account for early-life susceptibility. The EPA developed ADAFs of 10, 3, and 1 for the age groups of 0-2 years, 2-16 years, and 16-70 years, respectively (EPA, 2005).

The MDH agrees with EPA that for many carcinogens, toxicity values calculated from adult animal studies or adult epidemiological studies underestimate lifetime exposure cancer risk. The MDH applies EPA's ADAFs to

linear carcinogens (for which there is considered no risk-free level of exposure), unless study data sufficiently account for early-life susceptibility (see section on vinyl chloride below), or there is other chemical-specific information to determine that a different numerical adjustment should be made, or that no adjustment is appropriate (MDH, 2020). The MDH reviewed the study data for the carcinogens and as a result has applied ADAFs to all of the carcinogenic residential ISVs (with the exception of vinyl chloride).

C. Residential ISV equations and parameters

The residential scenario assumes people spend most, if not all, of the day at home in their current residence for 26 years. This assumption is likely to be overprotective for most people. Equation #1 calculates the residential noncancer ISV.

Equation #1: Residential noncancer ISV (
$$\mu g/m^3$$
) = $\frac{HQ * RfC * AT}{ED * EF}$

Parameter	Value	Description/Reference	
HQ – Hazard Quotient	1	See "Cancer and Noncancer Risk Characterization" section above	
RfC – Reference Concentration	Varies	See "Toxicity Values" section above	
AT – Averaging Time	9,490 days	26 years*365 days	
		90 th percentile for current residence time (EPA, 2024a; EPA, 2011,	
ED – Exposure Duration	26 years	Table 16-108)	
EF – Exposure Frequency	350 days	Away from home two weeks/year (EPA, 2024a; EPA 1991, pg. 15)	

Equation #2 calculates the residential cancer ISV, including age-dependent adjustment factors for early life sensitivity.

Equation 2: Residential Cancer ISV with ADAFs $(\mu g/m^3) =$

 $\frac{CR * AT}{IUR * [(EF * ED_{(0-2)} * ADAF_{(0-2)}) + (EF * ED_{(2-16)} * ADAF_{(2-16)}) + (EF * ED_{(16-26)} * ADAF_{(16-26)})]}$

Parameter	Value	Description/Reference
CR – Cancer Risk Level	0.00001 (10 ⁻⁵)	MDH guidance for acceptable cancer risk of 1 excess cancer in 100,000 people exposed
AT – Averaging Time	25,550 days	70 years (lifetime)*365 days
IUR – Inhalation Unit Risk	Varies	See "Toxicity Values" section above
ED- Exposure Duration	26 years	90 th percentile for current residence time (EPA, 2024a; EPA, 2011, Table 16-108)
EF- Exposure Frequency	350 days	Away from home two weeks/year (EPA, 2024a; EPA 1991, pg. 15)
ED ₍₀₋₂₎ Exposure Duration	2 years	EPA, 2005; MDH, 2020
ED ₍₂₋₁₆₎ Exposure Duration	14 years	EPA, 2005; MDH, 2020
ED ₍₁₆₋₂₆₎ Exposure Duration	10 years	EPA, 2005; MDH, 2020
ADAF ₍₀₋₂₎ Age-Dependent Adjustment Factor	10	EPA, 2005; MDH, 2020
ADAF ₍₂₋₁₆₎ Age-Dependent Adjustment Factor	3	EPA, 2005; MDH, 2020
ADAF(16-26) Age-Dependent Adjustment Factor	1	EPA, 2005; MDH, 2020

D. Commercial/industrial ISV equations and parameters

The commercial/industrial (C/I) scenario is based on adult workers exposed for ten hours a day, five days a week, 50 weeks a year, for 25 years. Although EPA uses an exposure time of eight hours a day for this scenario, MDH recommends using ten hours to be protective of workers who are present in the workplace longer than eight hours a day. Equation #3 calculates the C/I noncancer ISV, while Equation #4 calculates the C/I cancer ISV.

Equation #3: Commercial/Industrial Noncancer ISV $(\mu g/m^3) = \frac{HQ * RfC * AT}{ED * EF * ET}$

Parameter	Value	Description/Reference
HQ – Hazard Quotient	1	See "Cancer and Noncancer Risk Characterization" section above
RfC – Reference Concentration	Varies	See "Toxicity Values" section above
AT – Averaging Time	9,125 days	25 years*250 days
ED – Exposure Duration	25 years	95 th percentile tenure with current employer (EPA, 2024a; EPA, 1991 - citing Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1990)
EF – Exposure Frequency	250 days	5 days a week for 50 weeks (EPA, 2024a; EPA, 1991)
ET – Exposure Time	10/24 hours	10 hours a day (MDH recommendation)

Equation #4: Commercial/Industrial Cancer ISV $(\mu g/m^3) = \frac{CR * AT}{IUR * ED * EF * ET}$

Parameter Value		Description/Reference	
CR – Cancer Risk Level	0.00001 (10 ⁻⁵)	MDH guidance for acceptable cancer risk of 1 excess cancer in 100,000 people exposed	
AT – Averaging Time	25,550 days	70 years (lifetime) *365 days	
IUR – Inhalation Unit Risk	Varies	See "Toxicity Values" section above	
ED – Exposure Duration	25 years	95 th percentile tenure with current employer (EPA, 2024a; EPA, 1991 - citing Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1990)	
EF – Exposure Frequency	250 days	5 days a week for 50 weeks (EPA, 2024a; EPA, 1991)	
ET – Exposure Time	10/24 hours	10 hours a day (MDH recommendation)	

E. Significant digits

The ISVs are rounded to two significant figures. This level of precision is often not possible, since most toxicity values have one significant digit. Two significant digits are used for the ISVs to facilitate interpretation of the analytical data, which is typically reported with at least two significant digits. The EISVs, 33X ISVs, and 33X EISVs are all derived from ISVs calculated to two significant digits, which minimizes the effects on the values due to rounding.

F. Expedited ISVs (EISVs)

Expedited or EISVs are calculated using the same equations as the ISVs, except a cancer risk level (CR) of 1 in 10,000 (10⁻⁴) or a noncancer hazard quotient (HQ) of three is used. The choice of the CR and HQ values are policy decisions that mirror EPA's methodology for deriving their Regional Management Levels that support their decisions for removal actions (EPA, 2024). Both cancer and noncancer EISVs are calculated and the final EISV is the lowest of either the cancer or noncancer EISV.

The EISVs have been developed in order to help determine if expedited actions are needed to protect health. Exceedances of EISVs indicate an increased potential for exposure risk from vapor intrusion and it may be necessary to take a closer look at the situation, often in conjunction with MDH. Consult MPCA's program specific Best Management Practices for vapor intrusion to determine the need for expedited action.

Expedited action may be needed when TCE exceeds the ISVs rather than the EISVs (see TCE and Expedited Action below).

G. Sub-slab and soil gas screening values (33X ISV, 33X EISV)

The predicted amount of a contaminant in indoor air that may result from vapor intrusion is estimated using the concentrations in a sub-slab sample multiplied by an attenuation factor (AF).

An AF represents the reduction in vapor concentrations between a subsurface source and indoor air. The MPCA uses EPA's recommended default attenuation factor for sub-slab and soil gas air of 0.03 (about 3% of the concentration in sub-slab soil vapor is expected in indoor air) (EPA, 2015). This is the 95th percentile of attenuation factors observed at twelve vapor intrusion sites located in eight states, which includes 431 paired residential sub-slab and indoor air samples. Although the amount of soil vapor that enters is different for every building, this default value should overestimate indoor air concentrations due to vapor intrusion in most situations.

Sub-slab and soil gas screening values (33X ISV, 33X EISV) are calculated by dividing the ISV or EISV by the attenuation factor as shown in equations #5 and #6 below.

Equation 5:
$$33X ISV = \frac{ISV}{0.03}$$

Equation 6: $33X EISV = \frac{EISV}{0.03}$

II. ISV Application

A. Determining when to use residential and commercial / industrial ISVs

The ISVs are calculated for only residential and commercial/industrial scenarios. Site-specific ISVs or modifications to the ISVs are not used, due to the need for program consistency and the need to account for future changes in building occupancy. Both types of ISVs are protective of people considered to be sensitive, including people who are pregnant or may become pregnant, the elderly, and people living with chronic disease or a compromised immune system.

Residential ISVs are recommended for use if children are present for a significant amount of time and for longterm care facilities (see table below). Applying residential values for situations with children is a long-standing practice in Minnesota, rooted in experiences with public acceptance. From a communication standpoint, public perception and acceptance can be important considerations when choosing whether to use residential or C/I values where children and/or sensitive people are present. However, C/I ISVs are expected to be protective of children visiting a location up to 4 hours/day for 5 days a week, with the exception of vinyl chloride (Note: the residential ISV for vinyl chloride should always be used in any scenario where children are expected to be present).

Type of ISV	Examples of exposures scenarios or settings		
	Any type of housing, long-term care facilities, schools, childcare centers, after-school		
Residential	programs, etc.		
Commercial/Industrial	Restaurants, retail, hotels, churches, hospitals, health clinics, libraries, etc.		

B. Workplaces that use contaminants of concern

The C/I 33X ISVs are used to evaluate the vapor intrusion pathway in occupational settings, including at properties that use vapor intrusion contaminants of concern in the workplace. Mitigation decisions to address the vapor intrusion pathway are based on sub-slab concentrations. Mitigation may be needed at a property (despite the presence of an indoor air source of a subsurface contaminant) to address the added risk or future changes in chemical use or building occupancy. However, the ISVs do not replace applicable OSHA occupational standards. Note that MPCA and Minnesota OSHA have distinct legal responsibilities in identifying and minimizing environmental or workplace hazards.

C. Chemicals for which ISVs are not available

The ISVs are not shown for several chemicals in the ISV spreadsheet because the data needed to derive a toxicity value is unavailable or is considered insufficient by MDH or other agencies. If any of these contaminants are present in a soil gas plume at considerable quantities (using professional judgment) it may be appropriate to contact MDH to see if *any* data exists to help determine if there is a risk. For example, see contaminant–specific information below on dichlorodifluoromethane, a commonly detected contaminant that does not have an ISV. In addition, please contact MDH if there are volatile chemicals in soil vapor at a site that are not listed on the ISV table (e.g., 1-bromopropane).

III. Contaminant-specific information

A. 1,3-Butadiene

1,3-Butadiene is a product of incomplete combustion of fossil fuels and biomass. It is also an industrial chemical used primarily in the production of polymers. 1,3-butadine is commonly detected in soil vapor samples at concentrations above ISVs or 33X ISVs and therefore has the potential to drive mitigation decision making. The MPCA recognizes the difficulties of confirming or refuting a 1,3-butadine release as the compound rapidly degrades in air and is not commonly found in soil or groundwater due to its high reactivity. For sites where 1,3-butadine is detected in soil gas or sub-slab soil vapor, an evaluation of sampling techniques and potential sources should be conducted to help determine if the detections likely reflect cross-contamination from air emissions or are an artifact of the sampling process, rather than a release of contaminants at the site.

B. Dichlorodifluoromethane

Dichlorodifluoromethane (DCDFM, or Freon 12), was widely used as a refrigerant and localized leak or spills appear relatively common. It is frequently found in soil vapor — occasionally in the hundreds of thousands of micrograms per cubic meter. There is no ISV (listed as "NA" or not available) because available data are not sufficient to develop a chronic toxicity value and evidence of health effects is lacking. Further investigation or mitigation of DCDFM detected in soil gas is usually not needed. The MDH consultation may be warranted in cases where DCDFM is present in a widespread soil gas plume at extremely high concentrations (at least hundreds of thousands of μ g/m³) and there is evidence of indoor air exposure.

C. 1,2-Dichloroethane

1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) is occasionally found in indoor air at concentrations above the ISVs. This solvent is known for its past use as an additive in leaded gasoline (along with 1,2-dibromoethane) to prevent buildup of lead deposits in engines. There have been studies that have investigated sources of 1,2-DCA in indoor air at vapor intrusion sites that have found evidence of indoor air sources (molded plastic products, PVC pipes, vinyl composite floor adhesive) and include discussion of other possible sources (Doucette, et al., 2009; Kurtz, et al., 2010). Indoor air sources may be considered a likely explanation when vapor intrusion as a source is in doubt. Health risk at concentrations just above the ISVs is considered low.

D. Trichloroethylene (TCE) and expedited action

Animal studies show TCE exposure in early pregnancy may increase the risk of certain heart defects to the developing fetus. TCE may also affect the developing immune system early in life. Because of these potential short-term effects, the need for expedited action is evaluated when there are exceedances of the ISV in indoor air samples and the 33X ISV in sub-slab samples (rather than the EISV and 33X EISV). Expedited action is needed if people who are pregnant or may become pregnant are occupants in a building affected by TCE vapor intrusion above these screening values. For more information on the application of the TCE values, please refer to the MPCA guidance document *Vapor investigation and mitigation decision best management practices (c-rem3-06e).*

E. Vinyl chloride

The vinyl chloride residential ISV is calculated using a unique equation provided by EPA to account for evidence in animal studies of increased sensitivity to vinyl chloride-induced cancer during early-life and prenatal exposures. Equation #7, shown below, adds early-life risk to later-life risk. EPA's Toxicological Review for Vinyl Chloride in IRIS explains this equation in section 5.3.5.1 (EPA, 2000).

Equation #7. Vinyl Chloride residential cancer ISV
$$(\mu g/m^3) = \frac{CR}{(IUR) + \frac{(IUR * EF * ED)}{AT}}$$

Due to the additional early life cancer risks to children, the vinyl chloride C/I ISV is not reasonably protective of young children. The residential ISV should be used if children are expected to be present.

Vinyl chloride has not been identified as a common vapor intrusion risk driver at sites in Minnesota. This may be due to biodegradation of vinyl chloride in the vadose zone (EPA, 2015).

References

Doucette WJ, Hall AJ, and Gorder KA. 2009. Emissions of 1,2-Dichloroethane from Holiday Decorations as a Source of Indoor Air Contamination. *Ground Water Monitoring & Remediation* 30, no. 1/ Winter 2010/pages 65–71.

EPA 1991. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund Volume 1: Human Health Evaluation Manual, Supplemental Guidance Standard Default Exposure Factors. OSWER Directive 9285.6-03. <u>https://semspub.epa.gov/work/03/2218731.pdf</u>.

EPA 2000. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Toxicological Review of Vinyl Chloride. May 2000. https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris/iris_documents/documents/toxreviews/1001tr.pdf.

EPA 2005. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early Life Exposures to Carcinogens. March 2005. <u>http://epa.gov/cancerguidelines/guidelines-carcinogen-supplement.htm</u>.

EPA 2011. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Exposure Factor Handbook. September 2011. http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/risk/recordisplay.cfm?deid=236252.

EPA 2014. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Human Health Evaluation Manual, Supplemental Guidance: Update of Standard Default Exposure Factors. February 2014. <u>https://rais.ornl.gov/documents/OSWER-Directive-9200-1-120-Exposure-Factors_corrected.pdf</u>.

EPA 2015. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Technical Guide for Assessing and Mitigating the Vapor Intrusion Pathway from Subsurface Vapor Sources to Indoor Air. June 2015. <u>https://www.epa.gov/vaporintrusion/technical-guide-assessing-and-mitigating-vapor-intrusion-pathway-subsurface-vapor</u>.

EPA 2024a. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) – Generic Tables (May 2024). Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) - Generic Tables | US EPA

EPA 2024b. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Regional Removal Management Levels (RMLs) User's Guide (May 2024). <u>https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-removal-management-levels-rmls-users-guide</u>.

Kurtz JP, Wolfe EM, Woodland AK, and Foster SJ. 2010. Evidence for Increasing Indoor Sources of 1,2-Dichloroethane Since 2004 at Two Colorado Residential Vapor Intrusion Sites. *Ground Water Monitoring & Remediation* 30, no.3/Summer 2010/ pages 107-112.

MDH 2020. Minnesota Department of Health. Health Risk Assessment Methods: Incorporating Early-Life Sensitivity into Cancer Risk Assessments for Linear Carcinogens. March 2020. https://www.health.state.mn.us/communities/environment/risk/docs/guidance/adafrecmd.pdf.

Contacts

Minnesota Department of Health

Site Assessment and Consultation Unit: 651-201-4897 health.hazard@state.mn.us

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

Vapor Hotline: 651-757-2040 vaporinfo.pca@state.mn.us