

Wenck File 0147-243-2 September 2014



Upper Minnehaha Creek Watershed Nutrient and Bacteria TMDL Restoration Strategy Report

Prepared for:

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

Prepared by:

WENCK ASSOCIATES, INC.

1800 Pioneer Creek Center P.O. Box 249 Maple Plain, Minnesota 55359-0249 (763) 479-4200



1-800-472-2232 Corporate Headquarters: 1800 Pioneer Creek Center, PO Box 249, Maple Plain, MN 55359 www.wenck.com Maple Plain, MN Woodbury, MN Cheyenne, WY Buffalo, WY Windom, MN Roswell, GA Fargo, ND Mandan, ND Minot, ND 763-479-4200 651-294-4580 507-831-2703 678-987-5840 701-858-9999 701-297-9600 701-751-3370 307-634-7848 307-684-7953

Table of Contents

1.0	INTROD	UCTION	1
	1.1 1.2	Purpose TMDL Summary	1 1
2.0	RESTOR	ATION STRATEGY FRAMEWORK	2
	2.1	Implementation Approach	2
3.0	POLLUT	ANT SOURCE ASSESSMENT & MANAGEMENT	3
	3.1 3.2	Permitted Source Management Practices3.1.1Construction and Industrial StormwaterNonpoint Source Management Practices	3 3 4
4.0	RESTOR	ATION STRATEGIES	5
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Stakeholder Involvement Implementation Scope, Schedule, and Cost Restoration Strategy Tables	6 6 7
5.0	MONITO	DRING PLAN	8
6.0	LITERAT	URE CITED	9

TABLES

able 4-1: Soluble Phosphorus Concentrations

FIGURES

Figure 2-1.	Adaptive Management		2
· · · g • · · • = · · ·	, augente managemente	-	-

APPENDICES

A	MCWD Board Policy: Distribution of Pollution Reduction Credit from District Projects for the
	Purpose of TMDL Reporting
В	Restoration Strategy Tables

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this restoration strategy report is to outline the framework for implementation and identify potential activities to achieve the phosphorus and bacteria load reductions outlined in the *Upper Minnehaha Creek Watershed Nutrient and Bacteria TMDL Study* (TMDL). The complete TMDL study addresses nutrient impairments in twenty lakes in the Minnehaha Creek watershed, and an *E. coli* impairment in Painter Creek. The TMDL study quantified the load reductions required to meet state standards.

1.2 TMDL Summary

The water bodies addressed in the TMDL and this restoration strategy report are located within a distinct hydrologic basin within the Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) referred to as the "Upper Watershed," which drains through agricultural land and suburbs west of Minneapolis to Lake Minnetonka, which outlets into Minnehaha Creek. Fifteen of the addressed lakes are defined as deep lakes for which the North Central Hardwood Forest ecoregion numeric water quality standards are a summer average total phosphorus concentration of 40 μ g/L, 14 μ g/L chlorophyll-*a*, and greater than 1.4 meter in Secchi depth. The other six lakes are shallow, for which the numeric water quality standards are a summer average total phosphorus concentration of 60 μ g/L, 20 μ g/L chlorophyll-*a*, and greater than one meter in Secchi depth.

Nutrient budgets and lake response models were developed for all twenty lakes to set the TMDL and Load and Wasteload Allocations. Wasteload reductions ranging from no reduction to a 96 percent reduction and load reductions ranging from no reduction to 76 percent reduction will be necessary to meet water quality standards.

Flow and bacteria monitoring data recorded in Painter Creek were used to establish a load duration curve meeting the *E. coli* numeric standard of no more than 126 organisms per 100 mL as a geometric mean of not less than five samples representative of conditions within any calendar month, nor more than 10% of all samples taken during any calendar month individually exceed 1,260 organisms per 100 mL. A TMDL, Wasteload Allocations, and Load Allocations were established for five flow categories: high flow, wet, mid-range, dry, and low flow. No reductions are necessary for high flow, wet, and mid-range flows. A 31 percent reduction will be necessary during dry conditions and a 37 percent reduction under low flow conditions to meet *E. coli* concentration standards.

To avoid repetition between the TMDL report and this restoration strategy document, the final TMDL report is incorporated by reference (Wenck 2014), and should be read in conjunction with this document to guide in the selection and implementation of appropriate best management practices (BMPs) to achieve State water quality standards in the impaired waterbodies.

The framework for restoration will guide all of the responsible parties in selecting appropriate activities for achieving the TMDL. In addition, the framework is meant to foster opportunities for partnerships among the stakeholders to address the TMDL and achieve the required load reductions. The focus will be on reducing the growing season phosphorus loads to the lakes and the bacteria load to Painter Creek. Sequencing of restoration activities, estimated load reductions, and the scale of implementation required are addressed in this section. Implementation will be a collaborative effort between state and local government and individuals, with the overall effort led by the Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD). Existing regulatory framework and relationships will be leveraged to generate support for implementation efforts and to maximize efficiency and cost savings.

2.1 Implementation Approach

This strategy document is meant to be a living document and provide the general strategies for restoration. While specific BMPs are presented, implementation will be conducted using adaptive management principles. Adaptive management is an iterative approach of implementation, evaluation, and course correction (see Figure 2.1). Adaptive management is appropriate because it is difficult to predict the lake and stream responses to load reductions. Future conditions and technological advances may alter the specific course of actions detailed in this document. Continued lake and stream water quality monitoring and course corrections responding to monitoring results offer the best opportunity for meeting the water quality goals established in the TMDL.



Figure 2-1. Adaptive Management

To develop the appropriate strategies for restoring or protecting waterbodies, the stressors and/or sources impacting or threatening them must be identified and evaluated. The TMDL identifies potential sources contributing to the impaired water quality within the waterbodies assessed in the study. Both permitted and non-permitted sources are present within the watershed. There are also a number of factors that can influence the nutrient levels in a lake. A pollutant source summary is included in Section 3.6 of the TMDL. Pollutant sources must be managed to achieve in-lake water quality goals.

3.1 Permitted Source Management Practices

The restoration strategies presented in this document include BMPs to reduce watershed load from MS4 areas and regulated MS4 conveyance systems. The two major complimentary frameworks for achieving these load reductions are between the MPCA and regulated MS4s through the MPCA's NPDES Stormwater Program and between the MCWD and the LGUs within the TMDL study area through MCWD's Water Resources Management Plan and the LGU local water management plans. These programs are discussed in detail in Section 5.0 of the TMDL Study document. Sequencing of implementation activities within each lakeshed will be influenced by available funds and partnerships/cooperation amongst MS4s, LGUs, the MCWD, and other agencies.

For the purposes of the Upper Watershed TMDL, the baseline year for calculating cumulative load reductions will be the mid-range year of the data years used for the lake response modeling and development of the bacteria load duration curve. Any wasteload-reducing BMP implemented since the baseline year will be eligible to "count" toward an MS4's load reductions. If a BMP was implemented during or just prior to the baseline year, the MPCA is open to presentation of evidence by the MS4 permit holder that it should be considered as a credit.

The MCWD has approved a policy for distribution of pollutant reduction credit from district projects for the purpose of TMDL reporting. The policy distributes pollutant reductions achieved through District projects between MS4s based on financial contribution, project location, and other factors. The full MCWD policy is included as Appendix A.

3.1.1 Construction and Industrial Stormwater

BMPs for construction and industrial site stormwater are not specifically addressed in this document. Construction stormwater BMPs and control measures that should be implemented are defined in the State's NPDES/SDS General Stormwater Permit for Construction Activity (MNR100001). BMPs and other stormwater control measures that should be implemented at industrial sites are defined in the State's NPDES/SDS Industrial Stormwater Multi-Sector General Permit (MNR050000) or NPDES/SDS General Permit for Construction Sand & Gravel, Rock Quarrying and Hot Mix Asphalt Production facilities (MNG490000). It is expected that compliance with the permit requirements for construction and industrial stormwater will result in discharges consistent with the TMDL wasteload allocation.

3.2 Nonpoint Source Management Practices

Proposed restoration strategies also include BMPs to reduce watershed load from non-MS4 areas (i.e. agricultural land use areas, wetlands, etc.) and lake internal loading. For the nutrient TMDLs, implementation activities should be sequenced so that watershed loading is largely addressed prior to implementing in-lake reduction strategies like alum dosing and hypolimnetic withdrawal. However, internal loading from biological sources such as vegetation and/or rough fish (i.e. carp) should be evaluated and addressed concurrent with addressing the watershed load.

Numerous governing units have water quality responsibilities in the watershed, including all MS4 permit holders and the MCWD. These agencies are focused on protecting water quality through implementation of their watershed and local plans as well as MS4 Stormwater Pollution Prevention Programs (SWPPPs). These plans and permits will continue to detail the activities to be undertaken by each governing unit including best management practices and capital improvements. This implementation strategy document will guide the governing units in the implementation of BMPs focused on achieving the TMDLs.

The restoration strategies presented in this document are expected to be further refined and applied by local working groups to target conservation practices, and spatially targeted using any number of tools available. Eventually, the refined restoration strategies will be reflected in local water plans, comprehensive watershed plans, and applications for federal and state clean water funds.

The restoration strategies are presented in tabular format. The tables were developed based on guidance from the MPCA and are grouped based on Minor Subwatersheds within the Upper Minnehaha Creek Watershed (HUC-10 ID 070102060). There are also two general key tables called Key Table-All Lakes and Key Table-Painter Creek.

Each overview table provides an overview of the TMDL and the general strategies that would be beneficial in reducing loads and improving water quality. Some of these strategies apply to all the lakes in a chain, while some are specific to a particular lake. This table also shows generally which stakeholders would be implementing or supporting those strategies. The specific BMPs tables provide specific actions, which may be projects, programs, ordinances, etc. The key tables provide more detailed narrative about the general strategies. For the nutrient impaired lakes, the identified strategies target both particulate and soluble phosphorus. There is very little soluble phosphorus water quality data available within the Upper Minnehaha Creek Watershed. Table 4-1 provides the average in-lake soluble phosphorus concentrations for lakes where data was available.

Please note that loading reduced from some implementation actions listed in the tables is creditable to the load allocation and some to the wasteload allocation. The strategy tables do not specify the applicable allocation categories.

	In Lake Soluble Phosphorus Percentage (of
Lake	Total Phosphorus)
Dutch	23%
E. Auburn	
Forest	11%
Gleason	13%
Holy Name	
Langdon	8%
Long	13%
Halsted's Bay	7%
Jenning's Bay	7%
Stubb's Bay	10%
West Arm	6%
Mooney	
Stone	
Tamarack	
Tanager	8%
Wolsfeld	
Snyder	
School	
Hadley	
Turbid	
water qualit	y data not available

4.1 Stakeholder Involvement

A stakeholder participation process was undertaken for the TMDL to obtain input from, review results with, and take comments from the public and interested and affected agencies regarding the development of the TMDL. Section 8 of the TMDL discusses the stakeholder process in detail. As part of this process, the draft implementation strategy tables were presented to stakeholders at an August 17, 2013 stakeholder meeting with general strategies for reducing nutrient and bacterial loading identified. The draft tables were subsequently distributed to stakeholders on September 13, 2013 and the stakeholders were given the opportunity to provide more specificity to the tables by providing information on local projects and actions, both planned projects and load-reducing actions undertaken since the baseline year. The information collected from stakeholders, projects identified in MCWD's Capital Improvements Plan, as well as other identified BMPs are presented in the tables as restoration strategies.

4.2 Implementation Scope, Schedule, and Cost

The strategy tables identify estimates of the following for each potential BMP: scope of implementation required, potential load reduction, and cost. Costs to implement water quality practices are difficult to

quantify without exact designs. Exact costs per waterbody project will be determined through additional feasibility studies and design. However, reasonable estimates for the potential restoration strategies are provided as well as recommended timeframes for implementation, considering sequencing, and interim milestone targets.

As discussed in Section 5 of the TMDL, reduction targets were assigned to LGUs through MCWD's Comprehensive Water Resources Management Plan of 2007. These targets were generally less stringent than those identified in the TMDL and therefore are also recommended as interim goals for achieving State water quality standards.

4.3 Restoration Strategy Tables

There are a number of strategies that are common to many of the impaired waters. Such BMPs are described in the strategy key table for the impaired lakes; refer to the individual lake strategy tables for the locations where these BMPs are recommended. The strategy key table for Painter Creek also provides an overview of the BMPs recommended for achieving the bacteria TMDL. The subwatershed implementation strategy table includes specific details. All of the restoration strategy tables as described in this document are included in Appendix B.

Section 6.0 of the Upper Minnehaha Creek Watershed Nutrient and Bacteria TMDL Study (TMDL Study) discusses MCWD's existing monitoring program and the TMDL monitoring plan. It is recommended that such monitoring activities continue in an effort to measure progress toward meeting the TMDL goals and to track the effectiveness of implemented BMPs. The restoration strategies proposed in this document also include recommendations for implementation of additional monitoring efforts within the Upper Watershed to further inform BMP selection. For example, there are waterbodies within the Upper Watershed where limited water quality data, if any, is available.

- Minnesota Department of Agriculture (MDA). 2012. The Agricultural BMP Handbook for Minnesota. September 2012.
- Wenck Associates, Inc. 2007. Minnehaha Creek Watershed District Comprehensive Water Resources Management Plan. Wenck File 0185-4315
- Wenck Associates, Inc. 2014. Upper Minnehaha Creek Watershed Nutrient and Bacteria TMDL Study. Wenck File 0147-243

Appendix A

MCWD Board Policy: Distribution of Pollution Reduction Credit from District Projects for the Purpose of TMDL Reporting

Minnehaha Creek Watershed District Board Policy

Distribution of Pollutant Reduction Credit from District Projects for the Purpose of TMDL Reporting

Policy

It is the policy of the Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD or District) that credit for pollutant reductions achieved through District projects be distributed, as specified below, among its member communities for the purpose of MS4 permit compliance associated with TMDLs.

Applicability

- This policy applies to the distribution of pollutant reduction credit for the purpose of MS4 permit compliance associated with TMDL requirements. It does not apply to or affect a municipality's phosphorus load reduction obligations assigned through the District's 2007 Comprehensive Water Resources Management Plan.
- It applies to pollutant reductions achieved through the District's capital improvement projects as well as cost-share projects to the extent that they are funded by the District. To the extent the District is able to quantify load reduction and the proper allocation of reduction credits for particular past projects, this includes such projects back to the baseline year identified in each TMDL. It does not apply to reductions achieved through the requirements of the District's regulatory program.
- It applies to phosphorus, *E. coli*, and any other pollutants identified through a TMDL study for which the District is able to quantify a load reduction achieved through its projects.

Distribution of Credit

Credit for pollutant reductions achieved through District projects will be distributed in the following order:

- First, to the extent that a project addresses non-regulated load sources (i.e. internal load, streambank erosion, or others defined in the TMDL), the reductions will be applied toward the reduction targets specified for those sources.
- Second, consistent with past practice, if an MS4 has partnered with the District and contributed funding towards a project, it will receive credit proportional to its percent financial contribution or as otherwise defined in the project cooperative agreement between the District and MS4(s). Eligible costs will be defined in the agreement but will generally include: design, construction, maintenance, and land purchases or value of land provided by the MS4. This policy will not supersede any allocation of credit made through project agreements already in place.
- Third, in areas where the District has a load reduction assigned to it through a TMDL (i.e., where there is a storm water conveyance that is owned or operated by the District), the credit will go toward achieving that reduction.

• Finally, the remaining credit will be distributed among all MS4 member communities within the drainage area of the impaired water using the same percentages that were used to partition the wasteload allocations for that particular TMDL. If an MS4 has already met its reduction needs for the TMDL, its portion of the credit will be distributed among the remaining MS4 communities.

Tracking and Reporting

- The reductions achieved through District projects will be determined by the District as part of project development, and the method of calculation will be documented.
- Beginning in 2014, the District will track and report annually, by May 30th, to the MS4s and MPCA a summary of the reductions achieved in the previous calendar year and the breakdown of credit by MS4.

Internal Policy: This policy is adopted by the District Board of Managers to guide District practice and creates no right or expectation in any third party including any party explicitly intended to be benefited by the terms of the policy. The Board of Managers may deviate from this policy when in its judgment deviation is warranted, and may amend this policy from time to time. This disclaimer does not supersede or affect any commitment regarding the allocation of pollutant load reduction credits that the District has made in a project cooperative agreement or other legally binding form.

Appendix **B**

Restoration Strategy Tables

Strategy Key Table All Lakes

Strategy	Description
Evaluate pond efficiency and feasibility of iron enhanced filter benches.	As opportunities arise, retrofit stormwater treatment through a variety of BMPS. Pond expansion and pre-treatment of water before it reaches the ponds may be beneficial dependent on drainage area. Also, identify target areas for new stormwater pond installation. Address the dissolved phosphorus component through the installation of iron enhanced filter benches.
Explore opportunities to increase infiltration.	Encourage the use of rain gardens and similar features as a means of increasing infiltration and evapotranspiration. Opportunities may range from a single property owner to parks and open spaces.
Educate homeowners regarding urban stormwater BMPs.	Provide education and outreach on low-impact lawn care practices, and other topics to increase awareness of sources of pollutants.
Evaluate street sweeping program.	Identify target areas for increased frequency of street sweeping and consider upgrades to traditional street sweeping equipment.
Achieve goals in upstream lake.	Implement appropriate management activities as necessary to achieve water quality goals in upstream lake(s). For multiple lakes address in the TMDL, water quality goals in upstream lake(s) must be achieved in order to achieve water quality goals in the lake downstream.
Inspect open channels and swales.	Inspect open channels and swales within the watershed for erosion, animal access, and buffer as applicable and implement corrective actions as necessary.
Aquatic vegetation survey and appropriate management activities.	Conduct periodic aquatic plant surveys and prepare and implement vegetation management plans.
Fish survey and evaluate need for management activities.	Consider partnership with the DNR to monitor and manage the fish population. Conduct periodic fish surveys and evaluate options to reduce rough fish populations such as installation of fish barriers to reduce rough fish access and migration.
Evaluate whether additional internal load management is required following biologic evaluation/management activities.	Prior to internal load reduction strategy implementation, a technical review is recommended to evaluate the cost and feasibility of lake management techniques such as hypolimnetic withdrawal, alum treatment, and hypolimnetic aeration to manage internal nutrient sources. If determined feasible based on technical review, pump nutrient- rich water from the hypolimnion to an external location for phosphorus treatment and discharge treated water back into the lake. Or as an alternate option, aerate the hypolimnetic waters to maintain oxic condition (the anoxic condition of the hypolimnetic sediments is the contributor to the internal phosphorus load).
Evaluate wetland system phosphorus loading.	evaluate inflow/outflow chemistry from the wetland system located in the watershed and address wetland system phosphorus loading based on the evaluation.

Strategy Key Table All Lakes

Strategy	Description									
Shoreline restoration.	Evaluate shoreline conditions and encourage property owners to restore their shoreline with native plants and install/enhance shoreline buffers.									
Streambank Stabilization/Buffer Enhancement on tributary streams.	Stabilize with native vegetation to filter runoff and minimize bank erosion.									
Lake specific strategy.	Lake specific recommendations are defined in the implementation strategy tables.									
Evaluate upstream lake impacts.	Collect upstream lake outlet concentration data and evaluate impacts on the downstream waterbody. Implement management practices upstream as necessary.									
Education and cooperation with golf course.	Evaluate role of golf course runoff in phosphorus loading. Educate and cooperate with local golf course owners on appropriate BMP implementation.									
Septic System Inspection Program	Although not a significant source of nutrients, Hennepin and Carver Counties should continue to inspect and order upgrades of existing septic systems; prioritizing properties near surface waters.									
Pasture Management	Livestock exclusion from public waters, creating alternate livestock watering systems, rotational grazing, and vegetated buffer strips between grazing land and surface water bodies.									
Incorporate BMPs during linear transportation projects	Stormwater BMPs should be incorporated during major highway and road construction (or re-construction). Member cities should also incorporate BMPs during local street improvement projects.									
Educate property owners regarding agricultural BMPs.	Provide educational and outreach opportunities about proper fertilizer use, manure management, grazing management, and other topics to encourage good individual property management practices.									

Strategy Key Table Painter Creek

Strategy	Description
Additional Study	Collect and analyze additional data within the watershed regarding bacteria sources to inform BMP implementation.
Streambank Stabilization/Buffer Enhancement	Stabilize native vegetation to filter runoff from pastures adjacent to the stream. A recommended goal is at least 50 feet of buffer on 100% of both sides of the stream.
Education	Provide educational and outreach opportunities about proper fertilizer use, manure management, grazing management, and other topics to encourage good individual property management practices.
Pasture Management	Livestock exclusion from public waters, creating alternate livestock watering systems, rotational grazing, and vegetated buffer strips between grazing land and surface water bodies.
Manure Management	Reduction of winter spreading, eliminate spreading near open inlets, apply at agronomic rates, erosion control practices, and manure stockpile runoff controls.
Septic System Inspection Program	Although not a significant source of bacteria, Hennepin County should continue to inspect and order upgrades of existing septic systems; prioritizing properties near surface waters.
Limit Animal Access	Limit animal access to the stream by installing fencing in pastures where access is unimpeded and installing buffer vegetation where existing fencing is directly adjacent to the stream bank.
Pet Waste Management	Review member cities local ordinances and associated enforcement and fines for residents who do not clean up pet waste. Increase enforcement and education about compliance with such an ordinance.

				Water Quality										Go	vernm	ental Units w	ith Prima	ary Respo	onsibility					
			Lo	ading	Water	body	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing				pa		ntal		4		4			Interim 10-yr	1	
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (Ibs/year)	TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (Ibs/year)	Current (µg/L)	Goal (µg/L)				Specific BMP Implementation	Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Watersh District	MNDNR	Hennepin County Environme Services / NRCS	MPCA Hennepin County MS4	Minnehaha Creek WD MS [,]	Minnetonka City MS4	MNDOT Metro District MS	Plymouth City MS4	Wayzata City MS4	villestones (goal percentage of the estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets	
										Complete performance evaluation and feasibility study.	25 ponds	\$25,000 - \$37,500					х	х		х	х			
										Pre-treatment	5 ponds; 10-25 lbs/yr	\$50,000					x	x		x	х		1	
								Evaluate pond efficiency and feasibility of iron enhanced filter benches.	0-10 years	Install iron enhanced filter benches.	5 ponds; 12-24 lbs/yr	\$50,000					х	х		x	х	50%	1	
										Expanded Gleason Lake North Pond to increase removal efficiency.	Completed; TBD, estimated to increase removal efficiency by 15-35%	\$100,000	x											
										Install sump catch basins.	10 structures throughout watershed; 0-10 lbs/yr	\$50,000				х		х	x	x	х		I	
				l	l					Incorporate BMPs during linear transportation projects	0-10 years	Install rain gardens.	10 rain gardens throughout watershed; 5-10 lbs/yr	\$30,000 - \$60,000				x		х		х	х	50%
	All	-	-	-	-	-	-			Install hydrodynamic separators.	2 structures throughout watershed; 0-5 lbs/yr	\$30,000				х		х	x	x	х		-	
								Explore opportunities to increase	0.10 years	Complete evaluation.	Watershed wide; NA	\$50,000	х			х	x	x		x	х	E0%	l	
								infiltration.	0-10 years	Install infiltration BMPs.	10 BMPs; 0-10 lbs/yr	\$100,000 - \$200,000	x			х	x	х		x	х	50%	1	
								Educate homeowners regarding urban stormwater BMPs.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	Watershed wide; unknown	\$2,000 - \$10,000	х					х		x	х	100%	l	
										Complete evaluation.	Watershed wide; NA	\$2,500 - \$5,000						х		x	х		I	
								Evaluate street sweeping program.	0-5 years	Replace/supplement street sweeping equipment	Watershed wide; unknown	\$75,000 - \$150,000						х		x	х	100%	l	
0701020606										Increase sweeping activities.	Watershed wide; unknown	\$6,000 - \$26,000						х		x	х		<u> </u>	
(Upper Minnehaha Creek Watershed) / Gleason Lake	Snyder Lake 27-0108-00	ТР	57 11 	39 [18] 8 [4] <u>2 [0]</u> 49 [22]	72	60	2007	Achieve goals in upstream lake (Kreatz Lake).	10-30 years	Evaluate internal load and implement management activities as necessary.	Kreatz Lake; 18 lbs/yr	\$10,000 - \$50,000	x							x		20% reduction in TP conc in Kreatz (118 ug/L to 94 ug/L)	2045	
								Achieve goals in upstream lake (Snyder Lake.)	10-30 years		See Above		x			x				x	:	10% reduction in TP Conc in Snyder(77 ug/L to 70 ug/L)		
								Inspect open channels and swales	0-5 years	Inspect 1.5 miles of open channels/swales.	Watershed wide; NA	\$1,000 - \$3,000					x			x		100%	1	
								inspect open channels and swales.	0 5 years	Establish buffers.	1,000 LF; 0-12 lbs/yr	\$30,000					x			х		10070	l	
								Evaluate wetland system phosphorus	5-10 years	Complete evaluation.	GLC-3, GLC-4, GLC-5; NA	\$25,000	х							х		25%	I	
	Glasson Laka		531	291 [240]				loading.	5 10 years	Alter wetland outlet structure/hydrology.	GLC-3, GLC-4, GLC-5; 3-15 lbs/yr	\$200,000 - \$400,000	х							x		2370	l	
	27-0095-00	ТР	325 	<u>22 [0]</u>	98	60	2008			Complete vegetation survey.	Gleason Lake; NA	\$3,000 - \$5,000		x						х			2045	
			850	431 [447]				Aquatic vegetation survey and appropriate management activities.	0-5 years	Prepare vegetation management plan.	Gleason Lake; NA	\$3,000 - \$5,000		x						x		100%	1	
										Treat curly leaf pondweed.	Gleason Lake; unknown	\$3,000 - \$5,000		x						x			4	
								Fish survey and evaluate need for	0-5 years	Complete fish survey.	Gleason Lake; NA	\$10,000 - \$15,000	x	x						x		100%	I	
								management activities.	,	Install fish barriers	Gleason Lake; unknown	\$10,000 - \$15,000	x	x						x			4	
								Evaluate whether additional internal load management is required following	10-20 years	Complete Evaluation.	Gleason Lake; NA	\$25,000 - \$30,000	x	x			<u> </u>					5%	1	
								biologic evaluation/management 10-20 activities.		Aium treatment or other internal load management project.	Gleason Lake; project dependent	\$200,000 - \$300,000	х	х						х			1	

				Water Quality										Governmei	tal Units v	with Prim	ary Respo	nsibility			
			Loa	ding	Waterb Concent	oody ration							pa	ntal						Interim 10-yr	
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (Ibs/year)	TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (Ibs/year)	Current (μg/L)	Goal (µg/L)	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing	Specific BMP Implementation	Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Watershe District	MNDNR Hennepin County Environmei	Services / NRCS MPCA	Hennepin County MS4	Medina City MS4	Orono City Ms4	 percentage of the estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years) 		Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets
										Complete vegetation survey.	Mooney Lake, Hadley Lake; NA	\$3,000 - \$5,000 (each lake)	х	х			х	х	х		
								Aquatic vegetation survey and appropriate management activities	0-5 years	Prepare vegetation management plan.	Mooney Lake, Hadley Lake; NA	\$3,000 - \$5,000 (each lake)	х	x			x	х	x	100%	
								activities.		Treat curly leaf pondweed.	Mooney Lake, Hadley Lake; Unknown	\$3,000 - \$5,000 (each lake)	х	х			х	х	х		
	All	_	_		_		_			Install sump catch basins	10 structures throughout watershed; 0-5 lbs/yr	\$25,000				x	x	х	х		
		_			-	_	_	Incorporate BMPs during linear transportation projects	0-10 years	Install rain gardens	10 rain gardens; 5-10 lbs/yr	\$30,000 - \$60,000				x	x	х	х	50%	-
									<u> </u>	Install hydrodynamic separators	2 structures throughout watershed; 0-5 lbs/yr	\$30,000				x	x	х	х		
								Fish survey and evaluate need for	0-5 years	Complete fish survey.	Mooney Lake, Hadley Lake; NA	\$10,000 - \$15,000 (each lake)	х	х			х	х	х	100%	
								management activities.	0 5 years	Install fish barriers	Mooney Lake, Hadley Lake; Unknown	\$10,000 - \$15,000 (each lake)	х	х			х	х	х	10070	
										Complete evaluation.	Watershed wide; NA	\$2,500 - \$5,000					х	х	х		
0701020606 (Upper								Evaluate street sweeping program.	0-5 years	Replace/supplement street sweeping equipment	Watershed wide; Unknown	\$75,000 - \$150,000					х	x	x	100%	
Minnehaha Creek Watershed) /										Increase sweeping activities.	Watershed wide; Unknown	\$3,000 - \$5,000					х	х	х		
Hadley Lake							l	Inspect open channels and swales.	5-10 years	Complete evaluation.	Watershed wide; NA	\$5,000					x	х	х	50%	
								Shoreline restoration	0-5 years	Evaluate shoreline condition.	Mooney Lake Shoreline; NA	\$1,500 - \$3,000	х				x	х	х	100%	
	N 4		144	121 [23]				Shoreline restoration.	0 5 years	Native plantings and buffer establishment.	1,700 LF of Mooney Lake Shoreline; 0-3 lbs/yr	\$25,500	х				x	х	х	10070	
	27-0134-00	TP	209	7 [58] <u>7 [0]</u> 134 [81]	78	60	2007	Fucture would officiance and		Complete feasibility study.	10 ponds; NA	\$10,000 - \$15,000						х	х		2030
			205	134 [61]				feasibility of iron enhanced filter	5-10 years	Pre-treatment	2 ponds; 4-10 lbs/yr	\$20,000						х	х	50%	
								Denches.		Construct additional stormwater pond in LLC-21.	1 pond; 3-6 lbs/yr	\$50,000 - \$100,000					x	х	x		
								Lake specific strategy: For Mooney Lake, evaluate need for	0.5.0000	Complete evaluation.	8 ponds; NA	\$10,000 - \$15,000							x	100%	
								maintenance of upstream stormwater ponds.	0-5 years	Excavate accumulated sediment.	10,000 CY; Unknown	\$150,000 - \$350,000							х	100%	
						l		Explore opportunities to increase 0-5 years	Complete evaluation.	Watershed wide; NA	\$50,000	x				х	х	х	X 100%	╡ ┃	
									0-5 years	Install infiltration BMPs.	2 BMPs; 8-16 lbs/yr	\$40,000 - \$60,000	х				х	х	х	100%	

				Water Quality										Governi	mental l	Jnits wit	th Prima	ry Respoi	nsibility								
			Loa	Loading		oody ration							pəu		ental						Interim 10-yr Milestones (goal						
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (Ibs/year)	TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (Ibs/year)	Current (μg/L)	Goal (µg/L)	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing	Specific BMP Implementation	Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Waters District	MNDNR	Hennepin County Environm Services / NRCS	MPCA	Hennepin County MS4	Medina City MS4	Orono City Ms4	Plymouth City MS4	percentage of the estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets					
								Evaluate wetland system	0.5	Complete evaluation.	HL-1; NA	\$25,000	х							х	500/						
									phosphorus loading.	U-5 years	Alter wetland outlet structure/hydrology.	HL-1; 3-18 lbs/yr	\$200,000 - \$400,000	х							х	50%					
								Inspect open shappels and swales		Inspect 0.5 miles of open channels/swales.	Watershed wide; NA	\$1,000 - \$1,500								x	100%						
			96	96	96	96	96						inspect open channels and swales.	0-5 years	Establish buffers.	500 LF; 0-3 lbs/yr	\$15,000								x	100%	
0701020606 (Upper								49 [47]				Educate homeowners regarding urban stormwater BMPs.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	Watershed wide; Unknown	\$2,000 - \$10,000	х							х	100%		
(Upper Minnehaha Creek Watershed) / Hadley Lake	Hadley Lake 27 0109-00	ТР	61	36 [25] <u>4 [0]</u> 89 [72]	58	40	2007	Lake specific strategy: For Hadley Lake, collect additional lake data, such as bathymetry, to inform internal lake processes and subsequent implementation actions.	5-10 years	Collect additional data.	Hadley Lake; NA	\$3,500 - \$5,000	x	x						x	50%	2030					
								Evaluate whether additional internal load management is required following biologic evaluation/management activities.		Complete evaluation.	Hadley Lake; NA	\$25,000 - \$30,000	х	х						х							
									anagement is ving biologic ement activities.	Alum treatment or other internal load management project.	Hadley Lake; Project Dependent	\$25,000 - \$50,000	x	x						x	5%						

				Water Quality										Gove	rnmental U	nits with	Primar	ry Res	ponsik	oility			
			Load	ding	Water Concent	body tration							ed		ntal					4		Interim 10-yr	
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (Ibs/year)	TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (lbs/year)	Current (μg/L)	Goal (µg/L)	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing	Specific BMP Implementation	Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Watersh District	MNDNR	Hennepin County Environme Services / NRCS	MPCA Hennepin County MS4	Medina City MS4	Orono City Ms4	Long Lake City MS4	MNDOT Metro District MS	Plymouth City MS4	estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets
										Install sump catch basins.	11 structures throughout watershed; 0-11 lbs/yr	\$55,000				x	x	x	x	x	x		
	All	-	-	-	-	-	-	Incorporate BMPs during linear transportation projects	0-10 years	Install rain gardens.	10 rain gardens in urban watershed areas; 5-10 lbs/yr	\$30,000 - \$60,000					x	x	x		x	100%	-
										Install hydrodynamic separators.	2 structures throughout watershed; 0-5 lbs/yr	\$30,000				x	x	x	x	x	x		
0701020606								Evaluate upstream lake impacts (Swamp Lake).	5-10 years	Implement water quality monitoring and evaluation program in Swamp Lake.	Swamp Lake; NA	\$20,000	x			x						25%	
(Upper Minnehaha Creek								Education and cooperation with golf		Evaluate golf course runoff.	LLC-2; NA	\$6,000 - \$12,000	x				х						
Watershed) / Tanager Lake								course.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	LLC-2; Unknown	\$5,000	x				x					100%	
			202	58 [144]				Fish survey and evaluate need for	5.40	Complete fish survey.	School Lake; NA	\$10,000 - \$15,000		x			x					500/	
	School Lake 27- 0151-00	ТР	39	8 [32] 3 [0]	158	60	2009	management activities.	5-10 years	Install fish barriers	School Lake; Unknown	\$10,000 - \$15,000	x	х			х					50%	2035
			242	69 [176]						Complete vegetation survey.	School Lake; NA	\$3,000 - \$5,000		х			х						
								Aquatic vegetation survey and appropriate management activities.	5-10 years	Prepare vegetation management plan.	School Lake; NA	\$3,000 - \$5,000		х			х					50%	
										Treat curly leaf pondweed.	School Lake; Unknown	\$3,000 - \$5,000	x	х			х						
								Evaluate whether additional internal		Complete evaluation.	School Lake; NA	\$25,000	x	х			х						
								biologic evaluation/ management activities.	10-15 years	Alum treatment or other internal load management project.	School Lake; Project Dependent	\$15,000 - \$30,000	x	x			x					5%	

				Water Quality									Gove	mmental U	nits with	Primar	y Respo	nsibility			
			Load	ding	Water Concent	body tration							eq	ntal				4		Interim 10-yr	
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (Ibs/year)	TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (Ibs/year)	Current (µg/L)	Goal (µg/L)	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing	Specific BMP Implementation	Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Watersh District MNDNR	Hennepin County Environme Services / NRCS	MPCA Hennepin County MS4	Medina City MS4	Orono City Ms4	Long Lake City MS4 MNDOT Metro District MS	Plymouth City MS4	estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets
								Achieve goals in upstream lake (School	15-20 years		See above.		х			х				conc. In School	
								Evaluate upstream lake impacts (Krieg Lake).	5-10 years	Krieg Lake; NA	MCWD, MPCA	\$20,000	x		x					50%	
								Septic system inspection program.	5-10 years	Continue septic system inspection program.	Watershed wide; NA	\$25,000 - \$30,000 (County Wide Program)		x	x					100%	
										Repair failing septic systems	1 septic system; 3 lbs/yr	\$3,000 - \$10,000		х	x						
								Pasture management.	0-5 years	Develop pasture management plans and educate regarding rotational grazing.	Throughout watershed; NA	\$5,000 - \$25,000	x	x				100%		100%	
	Wolsfeld Lake	TD	265 96	112 [153] 17 [79]	80	10	2007	Inspect open shappels and swales		Inspect 1 mile of open channels/swales.	Watershed wide; NA	\$5,000	x			x		100		100%	2025
	27-0157-00	IP	361	<u>7 [0]</u> 136 [232]	80	40	2007	inspect open channels and swales.	0-5 years	Establish buffers.	500 LF; 0-5 lbs/yr	\$15,000	х			x				100%	2035
								Lake specific strategy: Evaluate Wolsfeld Woods Scientific Natural Area (SNA) for	0-5 years	Complete evaluation.	3 miles of trail.	\$1,500 - \$5,000	x x	х						100%	
								trail erosion.	-	Repair erosion.	0.5 miles of trail.	\$5,000 - \$10,000	x x	x							
0701020606 (Upper								Fish survey and evaluate need for	0-5 years	Complete fish survey.	Wolsfeld Lake; NA	\$10,000 - \$15,000	x x							100%	
Minnehaha Creek Watershed) /								management activities.		Install fish barriers.	Wolsfeld Lake; Unknown	\$10,000 - \$15,000	x x								
Tanager Lake										Complete vegetation survey.	Wolsfeld Lake; NA	\$3,000 - \$5,000	x x							+	
								Aquatic vegetation survey and appropriate management activities.	0-5 years	Prepare vegetation management plan.	Wolsfeld Lake; NA	\$3,000 - \$5,000	x x							100%	
										Treat curly leaf pondweed.	Wolsfeld Lake; Unknown	\$3,000 - \$5,000	x x								
								Educate property owners regarding agricultural BMPs.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	Watershed wide; Unknown	\$5,000 - \$10,000	х	х		х				100%	
								Educate homeowners regarding urban stormwater BMPs.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	Watershed wide; Unknown	\$2,000 - \$10,000	x	x		x			х	100%	
										Complete vegetation survey.	Holy Name Lake; NA	\$3,000 - \$5,000	x x			x					
	Holy Name		418	99 [319]				Aquatic vegetation survey and appropriate management activities.	5-10 years	Prepare vegetation management plan.	Holy Name Lake; NA	\$3,000 - \$5,000	x x			x				75%	
	Lake 27-0158-00	ТР	32	1 [31] <u>5 [0]</u>	150	60	2007			Treat curly leaf pondweed.	Holy Name Lake; Unknown	\$3,000 - \$5,000	x x			x					2035
			450	106 [350]				Fish survey and evaluate need for	5-10 years	Complete fish survey.	Holy Name Lake; NA	\$10,000 - \$15,000	x x			x				100%	
								management activities.		Install fish barriers.	Holy Name Lake; Unknown	\$10,000 - \$15,000	x x			x					
								Lake specific strategy: Evaluate feasibility of whole lake drawdown to	10-15 years	Complete Evaluation.	Holy Name Lake; NA	\$25,000 - \$50,000	x x			x				5%	
								address biologic contributors and internal load management.	, -	Whole lake drawdown.	Holy Name Lake; Unknown	\$500,000 - \$1,000,000	x x			х					

				Water Quality										Gover	nmental Un	its with	Prima	ary Res	sponsil	oility			
			Loa	ding	Water	body							pa		ntal					4		Interim 10-yr	
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (Ibs/year)	TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (lbs/year)	Current (µg/L)	Goal (µg/L)	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing	Specific BMP Implementation	Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Watersh District	MNDNR	Hennepin County Environme Services / NRCS	MPCA Hennenin County MSA	Medina City MS4	Orono City Ms4	Long Lake City MS4	MNDOT Metro District MS	Plymouth City MS4	Milestones (goal percentage of the estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets
								Achieve goals in upstream lake (Wolsfeld and Holy Name Lakes).	20-30 years		See above.		x			>	(x	×			x	10% reduction in TP conc. In Wolsfeld (80.1 ug/L to 72 ug/L) and 20% reduction in TP conc. In Holy Name (149.5 ug/L to 120 ug/L)	
								Explore opportunities to increase	0 E voarc	Complete evaluation.	Watershed wide; NA	\$30,000	х			>	x	x	x	x		100%	
								infiltration.	0-5 years	Install infiltration BMPs.	10 BMPs; 0-10 lbs/yr	\$100,000 - \$200,000	х			×	x	x	x	x		100%	
								Evaluate pond efficiency and feasibility		Complete performance evaluation and feasibility study.	50 ponds; NA	\$50,000 - \$75,000					x	x					
0701020606			800	468 [332]				of iron enhanced filter benches.	0-10 years	Pre-treatment	5 ponds; 10-25 lbs/yr	\$50,000					x	x				50%	
(Upper Minnehaha Creek	Long Lake 27-0160-00	TP	665	255 [411] <u>38 [0]</u>	61	40	2008			Install iron enhanced filter benches.	15 ponds; 20-45 lbs/yr	\$150,000					x	x					2045
Watershed) / Tanager Lake			1465	761 [742]				Inspect open channels and swales	0-5 years	Inspect 2 miles of open channels/swales.	Watershed wide; NA	\$1,000 - \$3,000					х	x				100%	
								inspect open channels and swales.	0-5 years	Establish buffers.	1,000 LF; 0-7 lbs/yr	\$30,000					x	x				100%	
								Education and cooperation with golf	0.5	Evaluate golf course runoff.	LLC-17, 18, 24, and 41; NA	\$6,000 - \$12,000					x	x				100%	
								course.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	LLC-17, 18, 24, and 41; Unknown	\$5,000					x	x				100%	
										Evaluate shoreline condition.	Long Lake shoreline; NA	\$2,000 - \$5,000											
								Shoreline restoration.	0-5 years	Native plantings and buffer establishment.	2,000 lineal feet on Long Lake shoreline; 0- 13 lbs/yr	\$30,000	x					x	x			100%	
								Lake specific strategy: Evaluate effectiveness of previous alum		Complete evaluation.	Long Lake	\$25,000 - \$50,000	х	x				x	x				
								treatment and the feasibility of re- treatment for internal load management.	5-10 years	Alum treatment.	Long Lake	\$400,000	x	x				x	x			50%	

				Water Quality									(Govern	nmental U	nits with	Primar	y Resp	onsibilit	y		
			Load	ding	Water Concent	body tration							rshed		mental	45				NI54	Interim 10-yr Milestones (goal	
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (Ibs/year)	TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (lbs/year)	Current (µg/L)	Goal (µg/L)	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing	Specific BMP Implementation	Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Wate District	MNDNR	Hennepin County Environ Services / NRCS	MPCA Hennepin County M	Medina City MS4	Orono City Ms4	Long Lake City MS4	NINDOT NIETRO DISTRICT	estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets
								Achieve goals in upstream lake (Long Lake).	20-30 years		See above.		x			x	x	x	x	x x	10% reduction in TP conc. In Long Lake (61.4 ug/L to 55 ug/L)	
								Lake specific strategy: Re-visit project recommendations from Long Lake Creek Corridor feasibility study to guide future implementation phases.	5-10 years	Complete re-assessment	Long Lake Creek; NA	\$25,000 - \$50,000	x					x	x		Complete re- assessment	
								Streambank stabilization/buffer	0-10 years	Long Lake Creek Corridor Improvements - Phase I (Wayzata Blvd streambank stabilization)	To Be Constructed; 2.1 lbs/yr		x								100%	
								enhancement on tributary streams.		Long Lake Creek Corridor Improvements - Phase I (Brown Rd streambank stabilization)	To Be Constructed; 6.7 lbs/yr	\$66,313	x								100%	
0701020606 (Upper Minnehaha Creek Watershed) /	Tanager Lake 27-0141-00	ТР	1003 174	356 [647] 68 [106] <u>22 [0]</u>	92	40	2008			Long Lake Creek Corridor Improvements - Phase I (Wetland restoration)	To Be Constructed; 4.9 lbs/yr		x									2050
Tanager Lake			1178	447 [753]				Evaluate wetland system phosphorus loading.	5-10 years	Long Lake Creek Corridor Improvements - Phase II (Long Lake Wastewater Treatment Pond restoration)	NA; TBD	\$561,029	х								100%	
								Fish survey and evaluate need for	0.5	Complete fish survey.	Tanager Lake: NA	\$10,000 - \$15,000	х	x				х	х		1000/	
								management activities.	0-5 years	Install fish barriers.	Tanager Lake: Unknown	\$10,000 - \$15,000									100%	
										Complete vegetation survey.	Tanager Lake	\$3,000 - \$5,000	х	x				x	x			
								Aquatic vegetation survey and appropriate management activities.	0-5 years	Prepare vegetation management plan.	Tanager Lake	\$3,000 - \$5,000	х	x				x	x		100%	
										Treat curly leaf pondweed.	Tanager Lake	\$3,000 - \$5,000	х	х				x	x			
								Evaluate whether additional internal load management is required following	5-10 vears	Complete evaluation.	Tanager Lake: NA	\$25,000 - \$50,000	х	х							25%	
								biologic evaluation/management activities.		internal load management	Tanager Lake: Project dependent.	\$50,000 - \$75,000	х	x							2370	

Subwatershed Implementation Strategies Stubbs Bay Subwatershed

				Water Quality									Gove	ernmenta	l Units wit	h Primary F	Responsib	lity		
			Lo	oading	Waterb Concentr	ody <u>ation</u>							pəu	-	ental		S4		Interim 10-yr Milestones (goal	
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (Ibs/year)	TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (lbs/year)	Current (μg/L)	Goal (µg/L)	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing	Specific BMP Implementation	Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Watersh District	MNDNR	Hennepin County Environm Services / NRCS MPCA	Hennepin County MS4	MNDOT Metro District M	Orono City Ms4	percentage of the estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets
								Septic system inspection program.	0-5 years	Continue septic system inspection program.	Watershed wide; NA	\$25,000 - \$30,000 (County Wide Program)			x	x			100%	
										Repair failing septic systems.	8 septic systems; 46 lbs/yr	\$24,000 - \$80,000			x	х				
										Install sump catch basins.	2 structures throughout watershed; 0-2 lbs/yr	\$10,000				х	х	x		
								transportation projects	0-10 years	Install rain gardens.	4 rain gardens in urban watershed areas; 2-4 lbs/yr	\$15,000 - \$25,000			x			x	50%	
								Evaluate wetland system	F 10 years	Complete evaluation.	SB-1, SB-2, CLC-1, CLC-2, CLC-3; NA	\$10,000 - \$20,000	х					х	25%	
0701020606								phosphorus loading.	5-10 years	Alter wetland outlet structure/hydrology.	SB-1, SB-2, CLC-1, CLC-2, CLC-3; 30-100 lbs/yr	\$200,000 - \$400,000	х					x	25%	
(Upper Minnehaha Creek	Minnetonka		309 275	252 [57] 134 [142]		10		Inspect open channels and swales	0-10 years	Inspect 1 mile of open channels/swales	Classen Creek; NA	\$1,000 - \$5,000	х					х	100%	
Watershed) / Minnetonka	(Stubbs Bay) 27-0133-12	TP	585	<u>20 [0]</u> 406 [199]	50	40	2008	(Classen Creek channel).	0-10 years	Buffer establishment	500 Lineal Feet; 0-4 lbs/yr	\$15,000	х					х	100/0	2030
(Stubbs Bay)								Educate property owners regarding agricultural BMPs.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	Watershed wide; Unknown	\$5,000 - \$10,000	x		x				100%	
								Evaluate pond efficiency and		Complete performance evaluation and feasibility study.	10 ponds; NA	\$10,000 - \$15,000	x					x		
								feasibility of iron enhanced filter benches.	5-10 years	Excavation of Swan Lake impoundment along Classen Creek to increase removal efficiency.	Complete; 40 lbs/yr	\$150,000	x						25%	
										Evaluate shoreline condition.	Stubb's Bay; NA	\$1,000 - \$5,000						x		
								Shoreline restoration.	5-10 years	Native plantings and buffer establishment.	2,500 lineal feet on Stubb's Bay shoreline; 0- 2 lbs/yr	\$37,500						x	25%	

Subwatershed Implementation Strategies West Arm Subwatershed

				Water Quality											G	overnme	ntal Uni	ts with Pr	rimary Re	esponsibil	ity					
		-	Lo	ading	Water Concen	rbody tration							ed		intal										Interim 10-yr	
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (lbs/year)	TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (lbs/year)	Current (µg/L)	Goal (μg/L)	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing	Specific BMP Implementation	Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Watersh District	MNDNR	Hennepin County Environme Services / NRCS MPCA	Three Rivers Park District	Hennepin County MS4	Minnetrista City MS4	Mound City MS4	Independence City MS4	Maple Plain City MS4	Medina City MS4	Orono City MS4	Spring Park City MS4	percentage of the estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets
										Complete fish survey.	Dutch Lake, Jennings Bay,	\$10,000 - \$15,000	х	х				х	х				х	х		
								Fish survey and evaluate need for management activities.	0-5 years	Install fish barriers.	Dutch Lake, Jennings Bay, Forest Lake, West Arm; Unknown	\$10,000 - \$15,000 (each lake)	x	x				x	х				x	x	100%	
										Complete vegetation survey.	Dutch Lake, Jennings Bay,	\$3,000 - \$5,000	х	х				х	х				х	x		
								Aquatic vegetation survey and	0-5 years	Prepare vegetation management	Dutch Lake, West Arm, NA	\$3,000 - \$5,000	x	x				х	х				х	x	100%	
								activities.	o o yearo	Treat curly leaf pondweed.	Dutch Lake, Jennings Bay, Forest Lake, West Arm;	\$3,000 - \$5,000 (each lake)	x	x				x	x				x	x	100/0	
										Install sump catch basins.	Unknown 20 structures throughout	\$100,000					x	х	x	x	х	x	х	x		
	All	-	-	-	-	-	-	Incorporate BMPs during linear transportation projects	0-10 years	Install rain gardens.	20 rain gardens in urban	\$100,000 - \$200,000					x	x	x	x	х	x	х	x	75%	-
										Install hydrodynamic separators.	6 structures in urban watershed areas: 0-15 lbs/yr	\$90,000					х	х	х	x	х	x	х	x		
										Evaluate shoreline condition.	Dutch Lake, Forest Lake, Jennings Bay, and West Arm Shoreline.	\$7,000 - \$15,000	x					x	x				x	x		
										Dutch Lake native plantings and buffer establishment.	1,000 lineal feet of shoreline; 0-3 lbs/yr	\$15,000	x						х							
								Shoreline restoration.	5-10 years	Forest Lake native plantings and buffer establishment.	2,500 lineal feet of shoreline; 0-5 lbs/yr	\$37,500	x										х		50%	
0701020606										Jennings Bay native plantings and buffer establishment.	4,500 lineal feet of shoreline; 0-8 lbs/yr	\$67,500	x					х	х				х			
(Upper Minnehaha										West Arm native plantings and buffer establishment.	7,500 lineal feet of shoreline; 0-8 lbs/yr	\$112,500	x						х				х	x		
Creek Watershed) /								Evaluate wetland system	5-10 vears	Complete evaluation.	DL-1, 2, 3, and 4; NA	\$25,000 - \$50,000	x					x							50%	
Minnetonka (West Arm)								phosphorus loading.	,	Alter wetland outlet structure/hydrology.	DL-1, 2, 3, and 4; 20-100 lbs/yr	\$200,000 - \$400,000	x					х								l
								Educate homeowners regarding urban stormwater BMPs.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	Watershed wide; unknown	\$2,000 - \$10,000	x					x	х						100%	ł
								Educate property owners regarding agricultural BMPs.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	Watershed wide; unknown	\$5,000 - \$10,000	x		x			х	x						100%	ł
			272	203 [69]				Lake specific strategy: Evaluate role of runoff from Camp Christmas Tree in phosphorus loading. Educate and cooperate with the camp on appropriate BMP implementation.	5-10 years	Evaluation complete.	DL-5; unknown	Unknown	x					x							100%	
	Dutch Lake 27- 0181-00	ТР	319	126 [193] <u>17 [0]</u>	55	40	2008	Lake specific strategy: Stabilize erosion	0-5 years	Concrete curbing and stabilized outlet.	TBD; Game Farm Road south of Kingswood Road	\$8,000						х							100%	2030
			591	347 [262]				Evaluate pond efficiency and feasibility of iron enhanced filter benches.	Complete	Installed an iron filing filter berm on a tributary to Dutch Lake to provide particulate and dissolved phosphorus reduction.	Project complete (DL-3); 25- 30 lbs/yr	\$265,000	x												100%	
								Lake specific strategy: Dutch Lake Phosphorus Reduction Plan.	0-5 years	Flocculation Treatment System.	1,825 acres; 24 lbs/yr	\$400,000	x					x							100%	
								Septic System Inspection Program	0-5 years	Continue septic system inspection program.	Watershed wide; NA	\$25,000 - \$30,000 (County Wide Program)			x										100%	
										Repair failing septic systems.	8 septic systems; 46 lbs/yr	\$24,000 - \$80,000			x		x									

Subwatershed Implementation Strategies West Arm Subwatershed

				Water Quality												Gov	vernme	ental Unit	s with P	rimary Re	esponsibil	ity					
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Load Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (Ibs/year)	ding TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (Ibs/year)	Water Concent Current (µg/L)	body ration Goal (μg/L)	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing	Specific BMP Implementation	Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Watershed District	MNDNR	Hennepin County Environmental Services / NRCS	MPCA	Three Rivers Park District	Hennepin County MS4	Minnetrista City MS4	Mound City MS4	Independence City MS4	Maple Plain City MS4	Medina City MS4	Orono City MS4	Spring Park City MS4	Interim 10-yr Milestones (goal percentage of the estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets
								Achieve goals in upstream lake (Dutch Lake).	15-20 years		See above.		х	х	х	х		х	х	х						50%	
								Inspect open channels and swales (Painter Creek and tributaries).	0-5 years	Inspect 11 miles of Painter Creek and tributaries.	Watershed wide; NA	\$5,000 - \$10,000	x				x		x	x	x	х	x	x		100%	
								Lake specific strategy: Stabilize and restore eroded streambanks (Painter Creek and tributaries).	10-20 years	Streambank restoration.	2 miles of streambank; 0-135 lbs/yr	\$300,000 - \$500,000	x				x		x	x	x	x	x	x		5%	
								Evaluate wetland system phosphorus loading.	Complete	Remeandered Painter Creek through wetland to restore hydrology and enhance phosphorus removal.	Complete	\$200,000	x													100%	
								Lake specific strategy: Conversion of cropland to prairie, woodland, and wetland.	Complete	Created 3-celled wetland to filter runoff and converted 20 acres of row crops to prairie and woodland.	Complete	\$200,577	x					x								100%	
0701020606								Pasture Management	Complete	Placed conservation easement over property adjacent to Painter Marsh that restricts number of horses and requires 80% vegetative cover.	Complete	TBD	x													100%	
(Upper Minnehaba	Minnetonka		1346	391 [955]				Educate property owners regarding agricultural BMPs.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	Watershed wide; unknown	\$5,000 - \$10,000	x		х				х		х		x	х		100%	
Creek	(Jennings Bay)	ТР	2159	596 [1563] 52 <u>[0]</u>	97	40	2008	Education and cooperation with		Evaluate golf course runoff.	PC-16	\$3,000 - \$6,000															2045
Minnetonka (West Arm)	27 0135 15		3505	1039 [2518]				golf course.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	PC-16; NA	\$5,000							х							100%	
(Lake specific strategy: Evaluate the feasibility of a phosphorus load reduction project in Painter Creek near the outlet to Jenning's Bay.	5-10 years	Jennings Bay Wet Detention Pond (MCWD CIP)	To Be Determined	\$150,000	x						x							50%	
										Erosion repair	Complete (Ravine along Minneapolis Ave); TBD	\$100,000							x								
								Lake specific strategy: Stabilize erosion	0-5 years	Drainageway stabilization and stormwater pond installation.	Between 1350 and 1360 Morningview Drive; TBD	\$200,000							х							100%	
										Restore drainageway.	Near 810 County Road 110 N.; TBD	\$25,000							х								
								Lake specific strategy: Jennings Bay Phosphorus Reduction Plan	0-5 years	Flocculation Treatment System.	2,079 acres; 31 lbs/yr	\$450,000							x							100%	
								Explore opportunities to increase	0-5 vears	Complete evaluation.	Watershed wide; NA	\$30,000							х							100%	
								infiltration.	0-5 years	Raingarden	1455 Westwood Drive; 2 lbs/yr	\$15,000							х							100%	
								Evaluate whether additional internal load management is required following biologic evaluation/ management activities.	10-20 years	Jennings Bay Internal Load Management Project (MCWD CIP)	To Be Determined	\$500,000 - \$2,000,000	x													5%	

Subwatershed Implementation Strategies West Arm Subwatershed

				Water Quality												(Governm	ental Uni	ts with P	rimary Re	esponsibi	lity					
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Lo Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (Ibs/year)	ading TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (lbs/year)	Water Concent Current (µg/L)	oody <u>ration</u> Goal (μg/L)	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing	Specific BMP Implementation	Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Watershed District	MNDNR	Hennepin County Environmental	Services / NRCS MPCA	Three Rivers Park District	Hennepin County MS4	Minnetrista City MS4	Mound City MS4	Independence City MS4	Maple Plain City MS4	Medina City MS4	Orono City MS4	Spring Park City MS4	Interim 10-yr Milestones (goal ercentage of the stimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be thieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets
								Evaluate wetland system	5-10 years	Complete evaluation.	FL-1 and 3; NA	\$25,000 - \$50,000	х						х					х		50%	
								phosphorus loading.	5-10 years	Alter wetland outlet structure/hydrology.	FL-1 and 3; 10-50 lbs/yr	\$200,000 - \$400,000	х						x					х		50%	
			124	102 [21]				Educate homeowners regarding urban stormwater BMPs.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	Watershed wide; unknown	\$2,000 - \$10,000	х						х					х		100%	
	Forest Lake 27-	ТР	194	78 [116]	59	40	2008	Education and cooperation with	0-5 years	Evaluate golf course runoff.	FL-2 and 3; NA	\$3,000 - \$6,000														100%	2040
	0135-00		327	189 [147]				golf course.	o o years	Implement outreach and education.	FL-2 and 3; unknown	\$5,000							х					х		100/0	
								Evaluate whether additional internal load management is		Complete evaluation.	Forest Lake; NA	\$25,000 - \$50,000	х	x													
0701020606 (Upper Minnehaha								required following biologic evaluation/ management activities.	10-20 years	Alum treatment or other internal load management project.	Forest Lake; Project dependent	\$75,000 - \$125,000	x	x										x		5%	
Watershed) /								Achieve goals in upstream lake (Jennings Bay and Forest Lake).	20-30 years		See above.		х	x	х	x	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х		30%	
(West Arm)								Educate homeowners regarding urban stormwater BMPs.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	Watershed wide; unknown	\$2,000 - \$10,000	х							х				х	x	100%	
	Minnetonka (West Arm) 27- 0133-14	ТР	3265 156 	1800 [1465] 19 [137] <u>96 [0]</u> 1915 [1602]	60	40	2008	Lake specific strategy: Further evaluate the interaction between Jennings Bay and West Arm and the effect on West Arm in-lake concentrations.	5-10 years	Complete evaluation.	Jennings Bay/West Arm; NA	\$30,000 - \$50,000	x	x												50%	2050
								Evaluate whether additional internal load management is		Complete evaluation.	West Arm; NA	\$25,000 - \$50,000	х	x													
								required following biologic evaluation/ management activities.	10-15 years	Alum treatment or other internal load management project.	West Arm; Project dependent	\$500,000 - \$1,000,000	x	x												5%	

				Water Quality									Gov	vernmei	ntal Units	with Pri	mary Re	sponsibili	ty		
			Loadi	ing	Waterb Concentr	ody ation							hed		ental					Interim 10-yr Milestones (goal	
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (Ibs/year)	TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (lbs/year)	Current (μg/L)	Goal (µg/L)	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing	Specific BMP Implementation	Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Watersh District	MNDNR	Hennepin County Environm Services / NRCS	MPCA	Hennepin County MS4	Minnetrista City MS4	Mound City MS4	percentage of the estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets
								Evaluate upstream lake impacts (Saunders Lake).	0-5 years	Implement water quality monitoring and evaluation program in Saunders Lake.	Saunders Lake; NA	\$20,000	x			x				100%	
								Incorporate BMPs during linear	0-10 years	Install sump catch basins.	3 structures; 0-3 lbs/yr	\$15,000					х	х	х	100%	
								transportation projects	0 10 years	Install hydrodynamic separators.	2 structures; 0-5 lbs/yr	\$30,000					х	х	х	10070	
								Evaluate pond efficiency and		Complete performance evaluation and feasibility study.	10 ponds; NA	\$10,000 - \$15,000						x	x		
								feasibility of iron enhanced filter benches.	5-10 years	Pre-treatment.	2 ponds; 2-5 lbs/yr	\$20,000						х	х	75%	
										Install iron enhanced filter benches.	2 ponds; 8-14 lbs/yr	\$20,000						x	х		
								Educate homeowners regarding urban stormwater BMPs.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	Watershed wide; unknown	\$2,000 - \$10,000	х					x	х	100%	
0701020606 (Upper Minnehaha Creek	Langdon Lake 27-0182-00	ТР	228 166	188 [40] 121 [44] <u>16 [0]</u>	65	60	2010	Explore opportunities to increase infiltration.	5-10 years	Langdon-Saunders Raingarden Project: Constructed curb-cut raingardens in the South Saunders Neighborhood.	4 rain gardens; 4 Ibs/yr	\$22,064	x				x	x	x	75%	2030
Watershed) / Langdon Lake			393	325 [84]				Lake specific strategy: Evaluate		Complete evaluation and select BMPs.	Within City of Mound: NA	\$10,000 - \$20,000	х						х		
								Mound for retrofit potential or BMP selection during redevelopment.	5-10 years	Install infiltration BMP.	Within City of Mound; 0-10 lbs/yr	\$30,000 - \$50,000	x						x	50%	
								Fish survey and evaluate need for	0-5 years	Complete fish survey.	Langdon Lake; NA	\$10,000 - \$15,000		х					х	100%	
								management activities.	o o years	Install fish barriers.	Langdon Lake; unknown	\$10,000 - \$15,000		х					х	10070	
								Aquatic vegetation survey and		Complete vegetation survey.	Langdon Lake; NA	\$3,000 - \$5,000		х					х		
								appropriate management	0-5 years	Prepare vegetation management plan.	Langdon Lake; NA	\$3,000 - \$5,000		х					х	100%	
										Treat curly leaf pondweed.	Langdon Lake; unknown	\$3,000 - \$5,000		х					х		
								Evaluate whether additional internal load management is		Complete evaluation.	Langdon Lake; NA	\$25,000 - \$50,000	x	x					х		
								required following biologic evaluation/management activities.	5-10 years	Alum treatment or other internal load management project.	Langdon Lake; Project dependent	\$150,000 - \$200,000	x	x					х	25%	

				Water Quality	/						Govern	mental	Units v	with Prim	ary Resp	onsibility				
			Loa	ading	Waterb Concentr	ody ation]			bər		CS				S4		Interim 10-yr Milestones (goal		
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (Ibs/year)	TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (lbs/year)	Current (µg/L)	Goal (μg/L)	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing	Minnehaha Creek Watersh District	MNDNR	Carver County SWCD / NR	MPCA	Carver County MS4	Chanhassen City MS4	MNDOT Metro District M	Victoria City MS4	percentage of the estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets	Interim 10-yr Milestones
0701020606								Consider partnership with the Minnesota Landscape Arboretum Horticultural Research Center for BMP education and implementation.	0-10 years	х		x					х	100%		Ongoing effort
(Upper Minnehaha	Tamarack Lake 10-0010-	ТР	67 6	67 [0] 6 [0]	39	40	2008	Incorporate BMPs during linear transportation projects	0-10 years					х	x	x	х	100%	NA	Ongoing effort
Creek Watershed) / Tamarack Lake	00		73	73 [0]				Educate homeowners regarding urban stormwater BMPs.	0-10 years	х					x		х	100%		Ongoing effort
								Regular monitoring of biologic community and in-lake concentrations to maintain in-lake water quality below state standards.	0-10 years	х	x		x					100%		Ongoing monitoring

Subwatershed Implementation Strategies Halsted Bay Subwatershed

				Water Quali	ty												Governme	ental Units	with Pri	mary Res	ponsibility	y					
			Load	ling	Water Concent	body tration							ed		S			_		34			4			Interim 10-yr Milestones (goal	
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (lbs/year)	TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (lbs/year)	Current (µg/L)	Goal (µg/L)	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing	Specific BMP Implementation	Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Watersh District	MNDNR	Carver County SWCD / NR	Three Rivers Park District	Hennepin County MS4	Laketown Township MS4	Minnetrista City MS4	MNDOT Metro District MS	Victoria City MS4	Carver County MS4	Minnehaha Creek WD MS	Mound City MS4	St Bonifacius City MS4	percentage of the estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets
										Complete vegetation survey.	Stone Lake, East Auburn Lake, Turbid Lake, Halsteds Bay; NA	\$3,000 - \$5,000 (each lake)	x	x				x	x		x			x			
								Aquatic vegetation survey and appropriate management activities.	0-5 years	Prepare vegetation management plan.	Stone Lake, East Auburn Lake, Turbid Lake, Halsteds Bay; NA	\$3,000 - \$5,000 (each lake)	x	x				x	x		x			x		100%	
	All	-	-	-	-	-	-			Treat curly leaf pondweed.	Stone Lake, East Auburn Lake, Turbid Lake, Halsteds Bay; unknown	\$3,000 - \$5,000 (each lake)	x	x				x	x		x			x			-
0701020606 (Upper										Install sump catch basins.	25 structures throughout watershed; 0-25 lbs/yr	\$125,000					х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х		
Minnehaha Creek Watershed) / Six Mile Creek &								Incorporate BMPs during linear transportation projects	0-10 years	Install rain gardens.	25 rain gardens in urban watershed areas; 12-25 lbs/yr	\$75,000 - \$150,000					x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x	100%	
Halsteds Bay										Install hydrodynamic separators.	5 structures throughout watershed; 0-25 lbs/yr	\$75,000					х	х	х	x	x	х	х	х	х		
								Fish survey and evaluate need for	0-5 years	Complete fish survey.	Stone Lake; NA	\$10,000 - \$15,000	х	x					х		х					100%	
	Ci i i i i i i		171	142 [29]				management activities.	0-5 years	Install fish barriers.	Stone Lake; unknown	\$10,000 - \$15,000	x	х					х		х					100%	
	0056-00	ТР	206	34 [0] <u>9 [0]</u> 186 [29]	43	40	2006	Evaluate whether additional		Complete evaluation.	Stone Lake; NA	\$25,000	х	х													2025
								required following biologic evaluation/management activities.	5-10 years	Alum treatment or other internal load management project.	Stone Lake; Project dependent	\$75,000 - \$100,000	x	x												50%	

Subwatershed Implementation Strategies Halsted Bay Subwatershed

				Water Quali	ty												Governm	ental Units	s with Pri	mary Res	ponsibility	У					
			Load	ling	Waterk Concent	oody ration							pa		S					4			4			Interim 10-yr Milostopos (goal	
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (Ibs/year)	TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (Ibs/year)	Current (µg/L)	Goal (µg/L)	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing	Specific BMP Implementation	Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Watersh District	MNDNR	Carver County SWCD / NRC	Three Rivers Park District	Hennepin County MS4	Laketown Township MS4	Minnetrista City MS4	MNDOT Metro District MS	Victoria City MS4	Carver County MS4	Minnehaha Creek WD MS	Mound City MS4	St Bonifacius City MS4	percentage of the estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets
								Achieve goals in upstream lake	15-25 vears	Meet the TMDL Allocations Established for Wassermann Lake in the <i>MCWD Lakes TMDL</i> (EOR, February 2011).	Wassermann Lake; 201 Ibs/yr	Unknown	x					x			x	x				40%	
								(Church and Wassermann).		Evaluate Church Lake internal and watershed loading and implement management activities as necessary.	Church Lake, SMC-8, SMC- 9; 27 lbs/yr	Unknown	x								x	x					
								Septic System Inspection Program	0-5 years	Continue septic system inspection program.	Watershed wide; NA	\$25,000 - \$30,000 (County Wide Program)			x							x				100%	
										Repair failing septic systems.	1 septic system; 6 lbs/yr	\$3,000 - \$10,000			х							x					_
										Six Mile Marsh Infiltration SMC-1 (MCWD CIP)	SMC-1; unknown	\$800,000	х														
			854	639 [215]				Evaluate wetland system	5-10 years	Wassermann Phase I Culvert/Stream/Wetland Restoration (MCWD CIP)	Wassermann Lake Watershed; unknown	\$700,000	x													50%	
East Au 10-0	East Auburn Lake 10-0044-02	ТР	1245 2099	835 [410] <u>78 [0]</u> 1551 [626]	49	40	2010	phosphorus loading.	5 10 years	Wassermann Phase II Wetland Restoration (MCWD CIP)	Wassermann Lake Watershed; unknown	\$700,000	x													507	2040
										Six Mile Marsh Infiltration SMC-11 (MCWD CIP)	SMC-11; unknown	\$700,000	х														
								Educate homeowners regarding urban stormwater BMPs.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	Watershed wide; NA	\$2,000 - \$10,000	х								x					100%	
0701020606 (Upper								Evaluate upstream lake impacts (Sunny Lake).	5-10 years	Implement water quality monitoring and evaluation program in Sunny Lake.	Sunny Lake; NA	\$20,000	x		>											25%	
Winnehaha Creek Watershed) / Six								Lake specific strategy: Evaluate		Complete evaluation.	East Auburn Lake Watershed; NA	\$15,000 - \$25,000	х	x		х											
Mile Creek & Halsteds Bay								carp reproduction potential in open water areas of the wetland by fish surveys or winterkill evaluations. Also monitor carp movement between East Auburn and Sunny Lakes and install barrier as necessary	5-10 years	Install fish barriers.	East Auburn Lake Watershed; unknown	\$10,000 - \$15,000	x	x		x										50%	
								Septic System Inspection Program	0-5 years	Continue septic system inspection program.	Watershed wide; NA	\$25,000 - \$30,000 (County Wide Program)			x							x				100%	
										Repair failing septic systems.	3 septic systems; 15 lbs/yr	\$9,000 - \$30,000			х							x					
								Evaluate wetland system	5-10 years	Turbid/Lunsten Laketown Rd Wetland Restoration (MCWD CIP)	Unknown	\$500,000	х													50%	-
								phosphorus loading.		Restoration (MCWD CIP)	Unknown	\$2,000,000	х														_
	Turbid Lake 10-	ТР	244 5	108 [137] 4 [1]	67	40	2006	agricultural BMPs.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	Watershed wide; unknown	\$5,000 - \$10,000	х		х			x								100%	2035
	0051-00		249	<u>6 [U]</u> 117 [138]				Lake specific strategy: Evaluate		Complete evaluation.	Turbid Lake; NA	\$15,000 - \$25,000	х	x													-
								carp movement and reproduction potential in the lake and remove carp if deemed appropriate.	5-10 years	Install fish barriers.	Turbid Lake; unknown	\$10,000 - \$15,000	x	x												50%	
								Evaluate whether additional		Complete evaluation.	Turbid Lake; NA	\$25,000	х	x													
								internal load management is required following biologic evaluation/management activities.	10-15 years	Alum treatment or other internal load management project.	Turbid Lake; Project Dependent	\$30,000 - \$50,000	x	x												5%	

Subwatershed Implementation Strategies Halsted Bay Subwatershed

	Waterbody		Water Quality									Governmental Units with Primary Responsibility																	
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed			Loading		Waterbody Concentration								ed	cs	;	1		_		54			4			Interim 10-yr Milestones (goal			
		Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Current Loading o Load o Wasteload o Total (Ibs/year)	TMDL Allocation [Reduction] o Load o Wasteload o MOS o Total (lbs/year)	Current (µg/L)	Goal (µg/L)	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; All Lakes")	Sequencing	Specific BMP Implementation	Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Watersl District	MNDNR Carver County SWCD / NR	MPCA	Three Rivers Park Distric	Hennepin County MS4	Laketown Township MS	Minnetrista City MS4	MNDOT Metro District M	Victoria City MS4	Carver County MS4	Minnehaha Creek WD MS	Mound City MS4	St Bonifacius City MS4	percentage of the estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets		
0701020606 (Upper Minnehaha Creek Watershed) / Six Mile Creek &	Minnetonka (Halsted Bay) 27- 0133-09							Educate property owners regarding agricultural BMPs.	0-5 years	Implement outreach and education.	Watershed wide; Unknown	\$5,000 - \$10,000	x	x				x	x				x			100%			
									5 10 years	Evaluate shoreline condition.	Halsted Bay Shoreline; NA	\$3,000 - \$6,000	х						x					х		- 25%			
									5 10 years	Native plantings and buffer establishment.	4,000 LF of Halsted Shoreline; 4-6 lbs/yr	\$60,000	x						x				x	х					
		.7- TP						Educate homeowners regarding urban stormwater BMPs.	0-5 years	Complete evaluation.	Six Mile Marsh; NA	\$25,000 - \$50,000	x						x						х	100%			
											Six Mile Marsh Improvement Project: Installation of a storm water treatment pond, infiltration and irrigation system, and stabilization of erosion problems at the downstream end of the subwatershed.	101 acres; 20 lbs/yr	\$600,000							x									
			3314 2858 6171	1190 [2123] 771 [2087] <u>103 [0]</u> 2064 [4210]	89	40	2008	Evaluate wetland system phosphorus loading.	5-10 years	Mud Lake/Six Mile Creek Water Quality Improvements: Construction of a flocculation treatment infiltration system that will remove phosphorus from Mud Lake and Six Mile Creek prior to discharge into 6 Mile Marsh.	566 acres; 25 lbs/yr	\$550,000							x							50%	2035		
Thaisteus bay										Alter wetland outlet structure/hydrology.	Six Mile Marsh; 50-100 Ibs/yr	\$200,000 - \$400,000	х						x				x						
								Lake specific strategy: Monitor and		Complete evaluation.	SMC-61, SMC-66, Halsted Bay; unknown	\$15,000 - \$25,000	x	x					x				х						
									evaluate carp movement. Consider carp passage barrier between Mud Lake and Halsted Bay.	0-5 years	Install fish barriers.	SMC-61, SMC-66, Halsted Bay; unknown	\$10,000 - \$15,000	x	x					x				x			100%		
										Lake specific strategy: Conversion of cropland to prairie, woodland, and wetland.	Complete	Six Mile Marsh Prairie Restoration: Converted 130 acres of cropland to native prairie and woodland and restored/created 6 wetlands.	Complete; 120-130 lbs/yr	\$329,974	x													100%	
								Evaluate whether additional internal load management is required following biologic evaluation/management activities.	10-15 years	Halsteds Bay Internal Load Management/Tributary Alum Injection System (MCWD CIP)	To Be Determined	\$5,000,000 - \$14,000,000	x						x							50%			

Subwatershed Implementation Strategies Painter Creek Subwatershed

			Water C	Quality	Baseline Year	Strategies (See key in "Strategy Key Table; Painter Creek")	Sequencing	Specific BMP Implementation			Governmental Units with Primary Responsibility																		
HUC-10 Subwatershed / Minor Subwatershed	Waterbody	Parameter (incl. non- pollutant stressors)	Current Loading by Flow Regime o High o Wet o Mid o Dry o Low (Billions of Organisms/Day)	TMDL Allocation by Flow Regime [Reduction %] o High o Wet o Mid o Dry o Low (Billions of Organisms/Day)					Estimated Scale of Adoption Needed; Estimated Load Reduction	Estimated Cost	Minnehaha Creek Watershed Distri	MNDNR	Hennepin County Environmental Services / NRCS MPCA	Medina City MS4	Orono City MS4	Hennepin County MS4	Independence City MS4	Maple Plain City MS4	Minnetrista City MS4	Interim 10-yr Milestones (goal percentage of the estimated scale of adoption and estimated load reduction to be achieved within the first 10 years)	Timeline for Achievement of Water Quality Targets								
						Additional Study 0-10 years Con	Complete evaluation.	Watershed wide; unknown	\$50,000	х	х	х						Ì	75%										
0701020606 (Upper Minnehaha						Streambank Stabilization/Buffer	5-10 years	Streambank restoration with buffer establishment. 2 miles of streambank on Painter Creek and tributaries; unknown \$300,000 - \$500,000 X X X							x		x		x	75%									
	Painter Creek 07010206- 700						Cover/Perennial crop establishment in floodplains.500 acres; unknown\$20,000X		x		x		x		x														
						Education0-10 yearsImplement outreach and education regarding agricultural best management practices. Specifically regarding practices identified in The Minnesota Department of Agriculture (MDA) document The Agricultural BMP Handbook for Minnesota.Watershed wide; NA\$10,000\$10,000\$10,000Pasture Management0-10 yearsPlaced conservation easement over property adjacent to Painter Marsh that restricts number of horses and requires 80% vegetative cover.Completed; TBDTBD\$25Manure Management0-10 yearsManure management plan development and implementation.25 manure management plans; unknown\$7,500 - \$10,000\$25	0-10 years	Implement outreach and education regarding agricultural best management practices. Specifically regarding practices identified in The Minnesota Department of Agriculture (MDA) document The Agricultural BMP Handbook for Minnesota.	Watershed wide; NA	\$10,000	x	x	x							100%									
		Bacteria (<i>E.</i>	30.8 15.5 20 5	110 [0%] 51.0 [0%] 21.9 [0%]	2006		0-10 years	Placed conservation easement over property adjacent to Painter Marsh that restricts number of horses and requires 80% vegetative cover.	Completed; TBD	TBD	x									100%	2025								
Creek Watershed) /		coli)	4.99	3.45 [31%]	2008		х		x								2035												
Painter Creek			0.0030	0.0401 [37%]			0-10 years	Manure management plan development and implementation.	25 manure management plans; unknown	\$7,500 - \$10,000	x		x							50%									
								Livestock waste management; runoff control practices.	10 sites; unknown	\$100,000 - \$500,000	x		x																
						Sentic System Inspection Program	0-10 years	Continue septic system inspection program.	Watershed wide; NA	\$25,000 - \$30,000 (County wide program)			x			х				100%									
						Septic System hispection Program	Program 0-10 years Repair failing septic systems. 4 septic systems; 400 Billions of Organisms per Month \$12,000 - \$40,000			x			х				100%												
						Limit Animal Access	0-10 years	Livestock exclusion fencing installation.	25 pastures; unknown	\$150,000			x		х		х		х	25%									
								Education and outreach regarding pet waste management.	Watershed wide; NA	\$5,000 - \$10,000				x	x		x	x	x										
															Pet Waste Management	0-10 years	Increase enforcement of pet waste ordinances.	Watershed wide; limit mismanaged pet waste to 5%; 30,000 - 40,500 Billions of Organisms per Month	\$5,000 - \$10,000				x	x		x	x	x	100%